University of Reading

Density- and age-dependent reproduction partially compensates culling efforts of invasive non-native American mink

Article

Accepted Version

Melero, Y. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4337-1448, Robinson, E. and Lambin, X. (2015) Density- and age-dependent reproduction partially compensates culling efforts of invasive non-native American mink. Biological Invasions, 17 (9). pp. 2645-2657. ISSN 1573-1464 doi: 10.1007/s10530-015-0902-7 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/83110/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See Guidance on citing.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-0902-7

Publisher: Spinger

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

University of Reading

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Density- and age-dependent reproduction partially compensates culling efforts of invasive non-native American mink

Yolanda Melero[1,2] Ella Robinson[1] and Xavier Lambin[1]

(1) School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen. Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK.

(2) CREAF (Center for Ecological Research and Forestry Applications), Autonomous University of Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

(1,2) Yolanda Melero: y.melero@abdn.ac.uk; Present address: y.melero@creaf.uab.es

(1) Ella Robinson: ella.robinson.10@aberdeen.ac.uk

(1) Xavier Lambin (corresponding author): x.lambin@abdn.ac.uk; phone: + 44(0)1224 273 259

Abstract

Management strategies of wildlife species must pay due regard to density dependent changes in vital rates. Knowledge of density dependent relationships is sparse for most species but such knowledge ought to inform adaptive management. Using data from a large-scale, six years of control effort of the invasive non-native American mink (*Neovison vison*) in Scotland, we analysed density dependent changes in reproduction as revealed by placental scar counts in culled females. Control strongly reduced mink density but it varied substantially over time and space, reflecting variation in when control was initiated in each river section. We used hurdle statistical models to simultaneously relate the probability of conception, litter size and female age to prevailing mink density in river sections where the female was culled. Both the probability of conceiving a litter (average 0.81) and litter size (average 5.52 pups) increased as the density of females, but not males, declined. In addition, there was a senescent decline in both components of fecundity, which given culling of mink and subsequent reinvasions, resulted in a younger population, adding further to density dependent compensation in fecundity. There was no evidence of depensation, even at the lowest density. The predicted combined impact of changes in density and age structure could lead to an increase in fecundity of up to 2.1 pups per female occupying or reinvading the controlled area. Control strategies must be sufficiently adaptable and robust in order to overcome this compensation and suppress densities of mink and other invasive mammals.

Keywords Density dependence; compensation; senescence; fecundity; introduced species

Introduction

Density dependence demographic processes underpin the sustainable harvest of wildlife species. Indeed, a reduction in population density may lead to density dependent compensation (i.e. per capita growth rate increases as population density decreases). as a consequence of abundant per capita resource availability at low density. In some circumstances however, individuals living in low and sparsely populated areas may experience depensation or Allee effects (i.e. per capita growth rate decreases as the density decreases) which may reduce their fitness (Courchamp et al., 2008). Strong Allee effects may even give rise to inverse (or positive) density dependence, which in principle could result in a minimum threshold population size below which the population growth rate becomes negative and extinction is expected (Gregory & Courchamp 2010).

While changes in per-capita resource availability typically underpin patterns of density dependent variation in the population growth rate, the specific limiting resources driving the changes are rarely identified (Sinclair and Pech, 1996). In addition to inducing density dependent changes in vital rates, elevated mortality through harvesting may truncate age and size structures. This truncation typically leads to more young animals in harvested populations with a subsequent impact on vital rates that are not density dependent *per se* (e.g. Robinson et al. 2008).

Studies of animal populations occurring at low densities are notoriously difficult to perform and hence relatively scarce. As a result, much uncertainty remains on the relative contributions of compensatory and depensatory processes in shaping per capita growth rate in low-density populations for species with different social systems or reproductive physiologies. Indeed, evidence of depensation is controversial and largely restricted to failures of cooperative processes in social species across taxa (Gregory et al., 2010; Kramer et al., 2009). In this respect, control campaigns of nefarious introduced non-native species (INNS hereafter) designed to reduce the abundance of target species to very low values provide useful, quasi-experimental conditions to study density dependent processes.

While eradication of INNS is the management objective on islands not subjected to immigration, reducing INNS to densities where their ecological impact on native communities becomes benign is widely practiced in “mainland areas” where ultimate eradication is a distant goal (Bomford and O′Brien, 1995; Simberloff et al., 2013). Thus many INNS mammalian carnivores are

63 controlled through sustained trapping rather than through one-off poisoning campaigns in areas where
64 the use of toxins is inappropriate (e.g. Bonesi & Palazón 2007). Management strategies involving
65 either large numbers of "citizen conservationists" (e.g. Bryce et al. 2011) or substantial injection of
66 resources (Ebbert and Byrd, 2011; Harding et al., 2001) have successfully reduced INNS to low
67 densities over large areas. In most cases, the species involved are territorial, solitary, highly mobile
68 and fecund mammals with relatively low survival (e.g. mongooses, feral cats *Felis catus* and
69 American mink *Neovison vison*). To date, consideration of the roles of compensatory and depensatory
70 processes as well as demographic stochasticity in INNS biology has been largely restricted to the
71 early establishment stage of invasive species spread (e.g. Sax et al., 2007). Yet the same processes
72 may also contribute to invasive species′ response to reduction in population density following control
73 programs, and as such, are of both fundamental and applied interest. However, empirical evidence of
74 compensatory and depensatory mechanisms in wildlife species is still scarce and mostly represented
75 by compensatory dispersal from the outer perimeter of controlled area (e.g. Englund 1970), or by
76 juvenile dispersers (e.g. Berry et al. 2013). Little is known about how changes in *in situ* reproduction
77 by individuals who either escaped control or immigrated might contribute to compensation or
78 depensation in the persisting low density population. Specifically, the relative contribution of
79 variation in per capita resource availability and mate finding to variation in the reproductive output is
80 scarcely known for most pest species.
81 Here we use data from a large-scale participatory INNS control effort, during which densities
82 of a small carnivore were reduced over a very large area, to determine whether compensatory and
83 depensatory processes affecting reproduction countered or facilitated control.
84
85 Study Species

86 The American mink (mink hereafter) is a widely distributed mammalian INNS and the focus of much
87 control effort worldwide (see Dunstone, 1993; Genovesi et al., 2012; Santulli et al., 2014). Mink is a
88 highly mobile, solitary, territorial species occupying linear territories arranged along waterways.
89 Mating takes place in March-April with males abandoning their territories and becoming nomadic in

search of mating opportunities during the rut (Dunstone, 1993). Female mink mate with, and can be fertilised by, multiple males per mating season (superfecundation). They continue ovulating after successfully mating (superfoetation) and have embryonic diapauses which synchronise embryonic development (Dunstone, 1993; Thom et al., 2004). The combination of these characteristics results in the production of a single annual litter of typically 4-5 offspring (up to 14), frequently of different paternities (Yamaguchi et al., 2004). Kits are born in April-May and can fend for themselves by late August. Juveniles leave the maternal territory and disperse from October when approximately 5 months old and seek a vacant territory in which they typically settle by the end of the year. Once settled, mink do not abandon their territories except for males during mating. Juveniles mature sexually when 8-10 months old (Dunstone, 1993; Melero and Palazón, 2011).

Based on knowledge of mink social system and reproductive physiology and the assumption that food availability constrains body condition and fecundity, we predicted that female fecundity would rise with decreasing mink density. We assumed that territory size would become less constrained by the presence of conspecifics and that immigrants would be able to settle in the best quality territories. We thus expected that females would be able to attain higher body condition and hence conceive larger litters. We further envisaged that as mink density declined to very low values, the number of mating events per oestrus would decline. Thus we predicted that the relationship between litter size and mink density would be non-linear, with a decline below a threshold reflecting a reduction in mating frequency and an increasing fraction of females failing to conceive at very low male density.

Material and methods

The project

Carcasses of mink culled as part of the Cairngorm Water vole Conservation Project (2006-2009; Bryce et al. 2011) and its successor the Scottish Mink Initiative (2009-present) were collected in an area centred around the Cairngorms National Park (57º 0′N 3º3′W) in northern Scotland. The culling

117 area extended to 10,000km^2 by 2009 and gradually expanded to cover more than 20,000km^2 in 2013.
118 Both projects used mink rafts (details in Reynolds et al., 2010), which were deployed at regular
119 intervals along waterways and operated more or less continuously by volunteers, with support from
120 one to four project officers. A cage trap was placed on the raft only when a mink was detected and left
121 in place for a few days or until a mink was caught (38 % of detections resulted in capture in 2006-
122 2009; Beirne, 2011). The spatial coverage of the projects expanded over time but in a non-systematic
123 manner. This variation was largely a consequence of the temporal and spatial variation in project
124 funding (details in Bryce et al. 2011). The projects were funded through a succession of awards, each
125 of one to three years duration, and there were also some interim periods with minimal funding. This
126 led to variation in levels of staffing and hence variation in the level of mink detection effort by
127 volunteers, the intensity of mink raft coverage and in the collection of data. There was a marked
128 temporary decline in late 2009 in particular.
129
130 Mink sampling

131 Carcasses of culled mink were frozen upon collection from volunteers. Uteri were then extracted and
132 refrozen until subsequent examination. Embryos and placental scars in the uteri were counted to
133 estimate litter size. In mink, as in other mammals with a zonary endotheliochorial or discoid
134 hemochorial type of placenta (Burton et al., 1994), the uteri is left with one placental scar per
135 implanted embryo that heals within 10-11 months of parturition. The scars become visible and can be
136 counted when the uteri is stained following the protocol described by Fournier-Chambrillon et al.
137 (2010). A single observer (YM) counted the scars twice in quick succession and gave a subjective
138 confidence score to each count, as some scars were difficult to discern. Mink age was estimated in
139 two steps. First an X-ray image of canines was taken and individuals were classed as younger or older
140 than 10 months based on the appearance of dental pulp (Helldin, 1997). Those judged to be older than
141 10 months were further aged using tooth cementum analyses which was performed by Matson
142 Laboratory LLC (MT, USA). Throughout, kits were assumed to be born in May and females were
143 assumed to be able to conceive from 10 months old.

144

145 Derived variables

146 The expansion of the mink control projects and the collection of mink carcasses took place in a
147 heterogeneous physical landscape with overlaid heterogeneity both in the timing of comprehensive
148 mink control being first implemented and in the number of conspecifics known to persist when each
149 focal mink was caught (see Bryce et al. 2011). In order to reflect this heterogeneity, we divided the
150 project area into 21 river sections (average length of waterways: 153 km, SD = 26) with a consistent
151 control history. Each section was assigned a "year since first controlled". In order to estimate the
152 degree of saturation of mink territories (i.e. the relative mink density post control) in each river
153 section at a given time, we estimated the maximum number of territories per section. To do so, we
154 first buffered all capture locations of settled adult mink in all years by 2 km along rivers. Next, we
155 merged the buffers to describe areas which were ever settled by mink and divided the total occupied
156 length of waterway by 2 km territories assuming no-overlap (Birks and Linn, 1982; Dunstone and
157 Birks, 1987). Relative mink densities were then taken to be the ratio of the number of occupied
158 territories per year and section, relative to the maximum number of territories estimated under the
159 above assumptions (Fig 1a). Even though saturation of river section with territories was an empirical
160 cumulative measure, 15 of 21 sections had reached the maximum number of territories by the second
161 year of control, meaning that no new mink territories were discovered after the second year
162 (Appendix: Fig. 1).

163 Relative mink densities were calculated per year for (a) adult conspecifics of both sexes as a
164 measure of competition for territories; (b) adult females as a measure of intersexual competition for
165 territories and mating opportunities; (c) adult males reflecting competition for territories after the rut;
166 and (d) mating males as a measure of mating opportunities. Mating males were all the potential
167 mating partners present during the mating season of year t. They were defined as adult males captured
168 from the beginning of March to the end of the calendar year (year t hereafter) and the individual male
169 mink captured from January to the end of February the next year (year t+1) if >21 months old (Fig
170 1b).

To quantify the mating opportunities that females experienced, while taking into account the mobility of males during the rut, we calculated an index of connectivity between all relevant adult males and each focal oestrus female. The connectivity index S^{mm} was the sum of the number of mating males weighed by a negative exponential function of the Euclidean distance (in m) between the focal females *i* and the location of capture of each male d_{ij}. Keeping in mind that the capture location of males may not reflect their location at the time of mating, S^{mm} should only be considered as an index of the number of mating opportunities. S^{mm} was expressed as: $S_i^{mm} = \sum e^{(-d_{ij}/d')}$ where S^{mm} increases with the number of adult male mink in a female′s neighbourhood, and decreases with the distance following a negative exponential function shaped by the parameter d' (also expressed as $\alpha = 1/d'$). The parameter d' should reflect the mobility and mate finding ability of mink and was estimated from the data using profile likelihood. The global models (see statistical analysis below), with probability of conception and litter size as response variables, were iteratively fitted to the data using values of S^{mm} estimated for a range of values of d' (1000–40000). The most likely value of d' was taken as that which yielded the model with the highest log-likelihood. When d' is large, connectivity becomes the total number of males un-weighted by distance (d_{ij}). All covariates, including connectivity, were standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by two standard deviations. Because the best models did not include connectivity between females and males (see section 3.2), the relative density of mating males was not calculated by section but rather per year over the entire project area, as the ratio of mating males′ territories relative to the maximum number of territories that could have been accommodated in that year.

Hypotheses testing and statistical analyses

When testing our predictions on density dependence in mink fecundity, we modelled pregnancy status (whether the female had conceived a litter or not) and litter size (the number of placental scars) in relation to four measures of mink density (a-d, testing first for co-linearity), including the connectivity index to mating males. To investigate the influence of the control history of each river section on pregnancy rate and litter size, we also tested the time (in years) elapsed from "year since first

198 controlled". In all models, we also considered female age (in months) at conception (setting April as
199 the month of conception), and the month of capture to account for possible changes in the
200 detectability of placental scars after parturition.
201 In all analyses, we first sought evidence of non-linear relationships between mink fecundity and
202 indices of mink density or the month of capture, using generalised additive mixed models (GAMM),
203 with year as a random variable. Wherever smoothing terms were non-significant, we used hurdle
204 models, also called mixture models, because the zeros are modelled as arising from two different
205 processes: the binomial process (of conceiving) and the count process (of the number of embryos
206 implanted) fitted as a truncated Poisson distribution (Zuur et al 2009). Confidence in the measurement
207 of placental scars based on two counts was included in all relevant models by weighing each
208 observation according to:
209 $W_i = (1 - (LS_{i1} - LS_{i2})/5)xR_{i1}/100$, where the weight ($W$) of each count of litter size i is a
210 function of the difference between the two counts ($i1$ and $i2$) divided by five to avoid zeros and
211 multiplying by the confidence score R_{i1} scaled 0-1. For each analysis, a global model was defined
212 containing all mentioned covariates and model selection was conducted by sequentially dropping
213 terms based on AIC (Table 1 in Supplementary material). Only additive effects were considered.
214 Model averaging and estimates weighting across the most likely models (ΔAIC < 1) were used to
215 incorporate model uncertainty in the parameter estimates using the R package MuMIn (Bartoń, 2014).
216 Analyses were carried out in R using packages gamm4, pscl and nlme (Jackman, 2012; Pinheiro et al ,
217 2014; Wood, 2014).
218
219 **Results**
220
221 A total of 979 mink were captured of which 387 were female, 452 were male and 140 were of
222 unrecorded sex. Amongst females, 238 were > 10 months old, hence potentially reproductive. Only
223 seven females were culled when pregnant. The repeated placental scar counts were identical for 97%
224 of instances. Overall, 81% of the females conceived, with an average litter size of 5.52 (SD = 2.89;
225 Fig. 2a, b). There were four instances of litters of one pup, in different river sections and years. One

11 months old female at parturition had an apparent litter size of 12 (based on placental scars) in the first year of control of a river section. Female age at conception varied between years from 11 to 59 months (~5 years) old with an average of 16.76 months (SD = 10.86) (Fig. 2c, d). The proportion of females judged to have conceived declined with the calendar month of capture, from all females in January to 81% in December. A similar pattern was evident for the estimated litter size which varied from six to three pups (Table 1, Appendix: Fig. 2 and 3). These patterns persisted when 22 litters from females culled in January-March with above average size were excluded from the analyses.

Population relative density and female age

The relative density of conspecifics per section varied widely between river sections and fluctuated over time. There was a consistent decline in density when time since control was instigated, with a project-wide reduction of 80% after six years of control. Relative density decreased to less than 20% of the estimated maximum in six sections, and to 50% in eight other sections during the first year of control. Relative mink density in the remaining seven sections increased in the first but decreased in the second year of control (Fig. 3a, b). Overall, 57% of culled females inhabited river sections with relative densities lower than 20 and 6.7 % females at relative densities lower than 10 and 5% of the local maximum respectively.

None of our indices of relative density were significantly or strongly correlated to each other (all p-values >0.1), with the relative density of conspecifics and females having the highest Pearson correlation $r_p = 0.23$.

Culling lead to an increasingly young population, with the percentage of females older than two years declining from an average of 38% in the first two years of control to 23% in the fifth year and 0% in the sixth when all culled females were yearlings (Fig. 3b).

Modelling mink fecundity: density dependence and senescence

Our prediction of density dependence was supported by the best models of fecundity. The part of the hurdle model relating to the probability that a female conceived included negative relationships with the relative density of females, as well as with female age at conception and month of capture. The litter size part of the model also included the above covariates, as well as relative densities of males and mating males (Appendix: Table 1). Our prediction of a non-linear effect of density on fecundity was not supported since no smoothing terms were deemed to be significant for any of the GAMM models, providing no evidence for non-linearity in the influence of any of the density indices indicative of depensation. The best models relating conception probability and litter size in relation to mating males did not include connectivity between females and males (max d' Δloglikelihood= 0.15; Appendix: Table 1 and Fig. 4). Throughout, model selection was unaffected by weighing observation according to repeatability (w).

Probability of conception decreased monotonically with an increase in the relative density of females and the age of the focal female. Using all other covariates within their real range of values, the hurdle model predicted conception probabilities ranging from 0.92 (95% CI 0.86-0.96) at the minimum recorded relative densities of females (<2%) to 0.82 (0.51-0.93) at the maximum relative densities (84%). Predicted probability of conception declined in relation to female age from 0.86 (0.73-0.94) in yearling females (n=127) to 0.55 (0.23-0.85) in the oldest females in the fifth breeding year (59 months old, n=2) (Table 1 and Fig. 4a, b). The effects of both covariates on the probability of conception were equivalent in strength (coefficients of standardised covariates: -0.369 and -0.351 respectively, Table 1).

Litter size also declined with increasing relative density of females and with female age. Predicted litter size declined from 5.6 pups (interval confidence 4.5-6.4) at the minimum recorded densities to 3.8 pups (2.9-4.8) at maximum densities, while within the female age at parturition litter size declined from 4.9 (4.8-5) in yearlings to 3.1 (2-4.8) pups in the eldest females (Fig. 4c, d). Despite being part of the best model selected based on AIC scores, the impact of relative density of males and of mating males on litter size had an effect size close to zero, with a litter size of 4.9 pups seemingly invariant with male densities. Thus despite being selected in the best models (Fig. 5),

model parameters (either weighted or not) offer no evidence to support the notion that the potential for multiple mating leads to higher litter size.

Compensation in fecundity and mink control efforts

Both population density and the average female age declined over the course of the management project (Fig. 2d and Fig. 3c, d), and both influenced mink fecundity through the conception rate and litter size components of fecundity. To illustrate the maximum model-predicted magnitude of their combined impact, we selected two of the 21 project sections, which had constant coverage since the start of the project and current low densities (Fig. 3). We used the observed range of their local values for female density and age while holding all other covariates at their median value. Litter size was predicted to have increased by 1.5 fold, from 3.67 (95% CI 2.42-5.26) pups in the first year of control to 5.49 (95% CI 4.56-6.61; Fig. 2b) after six years of control. The probability of conception was predicted to have increased from 0.78 (95% CI 0.79-0.93) to 0.91 (95% CI 0.83-0.96). When considering the range of density and female age observed in all river sections, the model predicted a combined increase of 2.1 pups per litter (95% CI 1.0-3.3). No such pattern is evident in the raw mink fecundity data (Fig. 2b) owing to the fluctuations of asynchrony between river sections with different control histories in their relative female density and age (Fig. 3d).

Discussion

We found evidence of a density dependent response in both conception rates and female fecundity to the culling-induced decline of an invasive American mink population, with a negative relationship between fecundity and relative density of females but not with the other indices of density we evaluated. We found no evidence of depensation. In addition, we found evidence of reproductive senescence, with a decrease in both the probability of conceiving and litter size with age. Mink culling lead to a younger, more fecund mink population which ought to have contributed to an overall compensation effect over the course of the management project.

Density dependence in mink fecundity

Sustained mink culling over multiple river catchments led to a substantial reduction in the relative density of females with increasing number of years of control. The compensatory increases in the proportion of females conceiving and in litter sizes must have partly counteracted control efforts. Clearly the overall compensatory response was insufficient to negate the effects of culling since density declined continuously within the years of control. Compensation effects are commonly observed in the wild as a consequence of relaxation of competition at low density (e.g. Boyce et al., 1999; Fryxell et al., 1999, 2001). We derived a pragmatic estimate of mink relative density by comparing the number of settled mink in a given year with the potential number that could have settled by combining information on the likely location of breeding territories over several years. On that basis, mink densities in eight out of 21 river sections were never saturated, as in these areas the maximum density in any year never reached the inferred maximum number of potential territories, which were inferred from the capture locations of females (Fig. 3). This could indicate that female mink were caught in, and possibly occupied, sub-optimal habitats in some years, denoting scope for compensation.

While keeping in mind the caveats inherent to a non-experimental study like this one, the statistical influence of female density on fecundity points towards female-biased intersexual competition for territories occurring in American mink. While most females do conceive each year, the increase in both conception rate and litter size suggest females attain better physiological condition at low density. If conception and litter size were constrained by nutritional status, preferential settlement of females in the best, most productive territories, might have contributed to the pattern we observed.

We postulated that features of female mink reproductive physiology might give rise to a positive relationship between the number of males a female mated with and litter size. Despite a substantial fraction of the culled females originating from river sections with severely depleted male mink, our measures of the availability of males in spring provided no strong evidence of mating limitation or of

any impact on litter size. Indeed, the relative density of females was the most important predictor of litter size and, together with age, was one of the two most important predictors of the probability of conception. We expected that mink, being solitary animals, would have experienced a reduction in the probability of conception because of inefficient mate finding at the lowest densities. However, assuming that the proxy for density of mating males we used reflects mating opportunities, mate availability only had a marginal effect on litter size and none on the probability of conception. We considered separately the densities of females and conspecifics of either sex. Only female relative density contributed to explaining variation in mink reproduction. On that basis, we find no evidence for inter-sexual competition for territories such that the evidence is more consistent with the notion of female territoriality.

The combined effect of age and female density reduction led to a predicted compensatory increase in mink fecundity of up to 2.1 additional pups per surviving or immigrating female. Keeping all other traits constant and using a simple two stage, female-only matrix model with adult and yearling survival set at 0.5 % (Melero, 2007), the resulting increase in overall fecundity would increase the annual population multiplication rate from 1.19 to 1.39, a difference of 26%. We know of no reliable estimates of population growth rate for American mink, but our simple model approximations are in line with estimates for similar sized mustelids such as the American marten (*Martes americana*), feral ferret (*Mustela putorius*) and black footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*), which can range between 1.3-1.35 (Barlow and Norbury, 2001; Fryxell et al., 1999; Grenier et al., 2007). While this illustrates the potency of an increase in fecundity for a short-lived species like mink, it is of course possible that other traits such as post weaning juvenile survival could also be density-dependent and that the overall compensation to culling might be greater still.

Senescence in mink fecundity

In addition to density dependence, mink fecundity was affected by senescence, with the youngest breeding females having the largest litters and a higher pregnancy rate, resulting in higher fecundity.

Reproductive senescence has been detected in ungulates (e.g. McElligott et al., 2002; Jolles, 2007) and rodents (reviewed in Nussey et al., 2013) but evidence is scarce for carnivores, being restricted to long-lived species such as badgers (Dugdale et al., 2011), lions (Packer et al., 1988) and bears (Schwartz et al., 2003). In a short-lived species with young age at primiparity such as mink, senescence is expected to occur earlier than in long-lived ones (Bradley and Safran, 2014) but little evidence is available. Litter size was reported to be independent of age in fur-farmed mink over the first three years of age (Koivula et al., 2010). Thus to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that senescence in fecundity is detected in free ranging mink, and to our knowledge, in a short-lived carnivore.

Consequences and applications

Despite a predicted increase in mink fecundity due to density dependence and changes in age structure combined with senescence, the current management regime that entails ongoing mink detection followed by trapping has succeeded in controlling invasive mink density over a large area. The model prediction of an increase in fecundity was evident amongst females that re-invaded those river sections in which mink control has been sustained over multiple years, with severely depressed density. No such increase was discernible over the whole project area because the project expanded in an asynchronous manner, with trapping in river sections beginning in different years. Our analyses stress the importance of precluding recolonisation of low density areas by juveniles born in adjacent areas and of early detection of immigrants before they express their potentially high fecundity.

Our analyses also uncovered a noticeable project area-wide increase in mink density in 2010, coinciding with the decline of staff and vigilance in late 2009. Fortunately a key feature of the mink management protocol implemented in this project, i.e. the fact that mink trapping effort is not constant but adjusted locally in response to the detection of mink footprints on mink detection rafts, overcame (i) this temporary setback and (ii) the model-predicted increase in fecundity at low mink density. Thus

386 there is a need to continue intensive detection and response to trapping in the event of any localised
387 mink reinvasion to counteract the density dependent increase in mink fecundity that putatively could
388 lead to the production of large litters of young, potentially dispersive mink by surviving breeding
389 females. While the management regime instigated by the management projects caters for this
390 eventuality (Bryce et al 2011), maintaining vigilance by volunteers operating mink rafts when mink
391 are scarce is an ongoing challenge.
392
393 Author contributions
394 Y.M and X.L. designed the study. Y.M. and E.R. carried out the laboratory uteri staining procedures.
395 Y.M. analysed the data and with X.L. wrote the manuscript.
396
397 Acknowledgements
398 Y.M. was funded by a Marie Curie FP7-PEOPLE-2011-IEF 300288-Project Depensation and XL by
399 NERC grant NE/J01396X/1. We thank the Scottish Mink Initiative, staff, funders and multiple mink
400 volunteers for the continued effort, samples and data, Alex Douglas and Matthew K. Oliver for
401 statistical advice. We also thank MSc. William Morgan, MSc. Ewan Mchenry and Dr. Emma Sheehy
402 for their English grammar and spelling correction.
403
404 References

405 Barlow, N., Norbury, G., 2001. A simple model for ferret population dynamics and control in semi-
406 arid New Zealand habitats. Wildl. Res. 28, 87–94. doi:10.1071/WR99090

407 Bartoń, K., 2014. MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.10.5.

408 Barun, A., Hanson, C.C., Campbell, K.J., Simberloff1, D., 2011. A review of small Indian mongoose
409 management and eradications on islands, in: Veitch, C.R., Clout, M.N., Towns, D.R. (Eds.),
410 Island Invasives: Eradication and Management. UICN, Gland, Switzerland], pp. 17–25.

411 Beirne, C., 2011. Novel use of mark-recapture framework to study volunteer retention probabilities
412 within an invasive non-native species management project reveals vocational and temporal
413 trends. University of Aberdeen.

414 Berkeley, S.A., Hixon, M.A., Larson, R.J., Love, M.S., 2004. Fisheries Sustainability via Protection
415 of Age Structure and Spatial Distribution of Fish Populations. Fisheries 29, 23–32.
416 doi:10.1577/1548-8446(2004)29[23:FSVPOA]2.0.CO;2

417 Berry, O., Tatler, J., Hamilton, N., Hilmer, S., Hitchen, Y., Algar, D., 2013. Slow recruitment in a
418 red-fox population following poison baiting: a non-invasive mark–recapture analysis. Wildl.
419 Res. 40, 615. doi:10.1071/WR13073

420 Birks, J.D.S., Linn, I.J., 1982. Studies of home range of the feral mink, Mustela vison. Symp. Zool.
421 Soc. London 49, 231–257.

422 Bomford, M., O′Brien, P., 1995. Eradication or Control for Vertebrate Pests? Wildl. Soc. Bull. 23,
423 249–255.

424 Bonesi, L., Palazón, S., 2007. The American mink in Europe: Status, impacts, and control. Biol.
425 Conserv. 134, 470–483. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2006.09.006

426 Boyce, M.S., Sinclair, A.R.E., White, G.C., 1999. Seasonal Compensation of Predation and
427 Harvesting. Oikos 87, 419–426.

428 Bradley, R.J., Safran, R.J., 2014. Conceptual Revision and Synthesis of Proximate Factors Associated
429 with Age-Related Improvement in Reproduction. Ethology 120, 411–426.
430 doi:10.1111/eth.12220

431 Bryce, R., Oliver, M.K., Davies, L., Gray, H., Urquhart, J., Lambin, X., 2011. Turning back the tide
432 of American mink invasion at an unprecedented scale through community participation and
433 adaptive management. Biol. Conserv. 144, 575–583. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.013

434 Burton, G., Kaufmann, P., Huppertz, B., 1994. Anatomy and genesis of the placenta, in: Knobil, E.,
435 Neill, J. (Eds.), The Physiology of Reproduction. Raven Press, New York, pp. 441–484.

436 Courchamp, F., Berec, L., Gascoigne, J., 2008. Allee effects in ecology and conservation. Oxford
437 University Press, Oxford.

438 Dugdale, H.L., Pope, L.C., Newman, C., Macdonald, D.W., Burke, T., 2011. Age-specific breeding
439 success in a wild mammalian population: selection, constraint, restraint and senescence. Mol.
440 Ecol. 20, 3261–74. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05167.x

441 Dunstone, N., 1993. The Mink. T and A D Poyserd Ltd, London.

442 Dunstone, N., Birks, J.D.S., 1987. The feeding ecology of mink (Mustela vison) in coastal habitat. J.
443 Zool. 212, 69–83.

444 Ebbert, S.., Byrd, G.., 2011. Eradication of Invasive species to restore natural biological diversity on
445 Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, in: Veitch, C.R., Clout, M.N. (Eds.), Turning the
446 Tide: The Eradication of Invasive Species. UICN, Gland, Switzerland, pp. 102–109.

447 Enders, R.K., 1952. Reproduction in the mink (Mustela Vison). Proc. Am. Philos. Soc. 96, 691–755.

448 Englund, J., 1970. Some aspects of reproduction and mortality rates in Swedish foxes (Vulpes vulpes)
449 1961-63 and 1966-69. Viltrevy 8, 1–82.

Fournier-Chambrillon, C., Bifolchi, A., Mazzola-Rossi, E., Sourice, S., Albaret, M., Bray, Y., Ceña, J.-C., Maya, F.U., Agraffel, T., Fournier, P., 2010. Reliability of stained placental scar counts in farmed American mink and application to free-ranging mustelids. J. Mammal. 91, 818–826. doi:10.1644/09-MAMM-A-297.1

Fryxell, J., Falls, J., Falls, E., Brooks, R., 1999. Density dependence, prey dependence, and population dynamics of martens in Ontario. Ecology 80, 1311–1321.

Fryxell, J.M., Falls, B., Falls, E.A., Brooks, R.J., Dix, L., Strickland, M., 2001. Harvest dynamics of mustelid carnivores in Ontario, Canada. Wildlife Biol. 7, 169–177.

Gaillard, J.-M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Yoccoz, N.G., Loison, A., Toigo, C., 2000. Temporal variation in fitness components and population dynamics of large hervibores. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 31, 367–393. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.367

Genovesi, P., Carnevali, L., Alonzi, A., Scalera, R., 2012. Alien mammals in Europe: updated numbers and trends, and assessment of the effects on biodiversity. Integr. Zool. 7, 247–253. doi:10.1111/j.1749-4877.2012.00309.x

Gregory, S.D., Bradshaw, C.J.A., Brook, B.W., Courchamp, F., 2010. Limited evidence for the demographic Allee effect from numerous species across taxa. Ecology 91, 2151–2161. doi:10.1890/09-1128.1

Gregory, S.D., Courchamp, F., 2010. Safety in numbers: extinction arising from predator-driven Allee effects. J. Anim. Ecol. 79, 511–4. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01676.x

Grenier, M.B., McDonald, D.B., Buskirk, S.W., 2007. Rapid Population Growth of a Critically Endangered Carnivore. Science (80-.). 317, 779.

Harding, E.K., Doak, D.F., Albertson, J.D., 2001. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Predator Control: the Non-Native Red Fox as a Case Study. Conserv. Biol. 15, 1114–1122. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2001.0150041114.x

Helldin, J.-O., 1997. Age determination of Eurasian Pine martens by radiographs of teeth in situ. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 25, 83–88.

Jackman, S., 2012. pscl: Political Science Computational Laboratory, Stanford University. R package version 1.04.4.

Jolles, A.E., 2007. Population biology of African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) at Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, South Africa. Afr. J. Ecol. 45, 398–406. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2028.2006.00726.x

Jones, O.R., Gaillard, J.-M., Tuljapurkar, S., Alho, J.S., Armitage, K.B., Becker, P.H., Bize, P., Brommer, J., Charmantier, A., Charpentier, M., Clutton-Brock, T., Dobson, F.S., Festa-Bianchet, M., Gustafsson, L., Jensen, H., Jones, C.G., Lillandt, B.-G., McCleery, R., Merilä, J., Neuhaus, P., Nicoll, M.A.C., Norris, K., Oli, M.K., Pemberton, J., Pietiäinen, H., Ringsby, T.H., Roulin, A., Saether, B.-E., Setchell, J.M., Sheldon, B.C., Thompson, P.M., Weimerskirch, H., Jean Wickings, E., Coulson, T., 2008. Senescence rates are determined by ranking on the fast-slow life-history continuum. Ecol. Lett. 11, 664–73. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01187.x

Koivula, M., Stranden, I., Mantysaari, E.A., 2010. Genetic and phenotypic parameters of age at first mating, litter size and animal size in Finnish mink. Animal 4, 183–188.

489 Kramer, A.M., Dennis, B., Liebhold, A.M., Drake, J.M., 2009. The evidence for Allee effects. Popul.
490 Ecol. 51, 341–354. doi:10.1007/s10144-009-0152-6

491 Lee, D.E., Tietje, W.D., 2005. Dusky-footed woodrat demography and prescribed fire in a california
492 oak woodland. J. Wildl. Manage. 69, 1211–1220. doi:10.2193/0022-
493 541X(2005)069[1211:DWDAPF]2.0.CO;2

494 McElligott, A.G., Altwegg, R., Hayden, T.J., 2002. Age-specific survival and reproductive
495 probabilities: evidence for senescence in male fallow deer (Dama dama). Proc. Biol. Sci. 269,
496 1129–37. doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.1993

497 Melero, Y., 2007. Study of the demographic parameters of the endangered European mink in the
498 Spanish population. Anim. Biol. University of Barcelona, Barcelona.

499 Melero, Y., Palazón, S., 2011. Visón americano--Neovison vison (Schreber, 1777). In: En: Salvador,
500 A., Cassinello, J. (Eds.). Encicl. Virtual los Vertebr. Españoles.

501 Melero, Y., Palazón, S., Bonesi, L., Gosàlbez, J., 2010. Relative abundance of culled and not culled
502 American mink populations in northeast Spain and their potential distribution: are culling
503 campaigns effective? Biol. Invasions 12, 3877–3885. doi:10.1007/s10530-010-9778-8

504 Nogales, M., Martin, A., Tershy, B.R., Donlan, C.J., Veitch, D., Puerta, N., Wood, B., Alonso, J.,
505 2004. A Review of Feral Cat Eradication on Islands. Conserv. Biol. 18, 310–319.
506 doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00442.x

507 Nussey, D.H., Froy, H., Lemaitre, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M., Austad, S.N., 2013. Senescence in natural
508 populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. Ageing
509 Res. Rev. 12, 214–25. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.07.004

510 Nussey, D.H., Kruuk, L.E.B., Donald, A., Fowlie, M., Clutton-Brock, T.H., 2006. The rate of
511 senescence in maternal performance increases with early-life fecundity in red deer. Ecol. Lett. 9,
512 1342–50. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00989.x

513 Packer, C., Herbst, L., Pusey, A.E., Bygott, J.D., Hanby, J.P., Cairns, S.J., Mulder, M.B., 1988.
514 Reproductive success of lions, in: Clutton-Brock, T.H. (Ed.), Reproductive Success: Studies of
515 Individual Variation in Contrasting Breeding Systems. University of Chicago Press, Chicago,
516 pp. 363–383.

517 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, S.D. and R.C.T., 2014. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects
518 Models. R package version 3.1-117.

519 Reynolds, J.C., Porteus, T. a., Richardson, S.M., Leigh, R.J., Short, M.J., 2010. Detectability of
520 American Mink Using Rafts to Solicit Field Signs in a Population Control Context. J. Wildl.
521 Manage. 74, 1601–1606. doi:10.2193/2009-209

522 Robinson, H.S., Wielgus, R.B., Cooley, H.S., Cooley, S.W., 2008. Sink populations in carnivore
523 management: cougar demography and inmigration in a huntd population. Ecol. Appl. 18, 1028–
524 1037. doi:10.1890/07-0352.1

525 Santulli, G., Palazón, S., Melero, Y., Gosálbez, J., Lambin, X., 2014. Multi-season occupancy
526 analysis reveals large scale competitive exclusion of the critically endangered European mink by
527 the invasive non-native American mink in Spain. Biol. Conserv. 176, 21–29.
528 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.002

529 Sax, D.F., Stachowicz, J.J., Brown, J.H., Bruno, J.F., Dawson, M.N., Gaines, S.D., Grosberg, R.K.,
530 Hastings, A., Holt, R.D., Mayfield, M.M., O′Connor, M.I., Rice, W.R., 2007. Ecological and
531 evolutionary insights from species invasions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 465–471. doi:DOI:
532 10.1016/j.tree.2007.06.009

533 Schwartz, C.C., Keating, K.A., Reynolds, H.V., I., Barnes, V.G., J., Sellers, R.A., Swenson, J.E.,
534 Miller, S.D., McLellan, B.N., Keay, J., McCann, R., Gibeau, M., Wakkinen, W.F., Mace, R.D.,
535 Kasworm, W., Herrero, S., 2003. Reproductive maturation and senescence in the female brown
536 bear. Ursus 14, 109–119.

537 Simberloff, D., Martin, J.-L., Genovesi, P., Maris, V., Wardle, D.A., Aronson, J., Courchamp, F.,
538 Galil, B., García-Berthou, E., Pascal, M., Pyšek, P., Sousa, R., Tabacchi, E., Vilà, M., 2013.
539 Impacts of biological invasions: what′s what and the way forward. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28, 58–66.

540 Simon Wood, F.S., 2014. gamm4: Generalized additive mixed models using mgcv and lme4. R
541 package version 0.2-3.

542 Sinclair, A.R.E., Pech, R.P., 1996. Density Dependence, Stochasticity, Compensation and Predator
543 Regulation. Oikos 75, 164–173.

544 Taylor, C.M., Hastings, A., 2005. Allee effects in biological invasions. Ecol. Lett. 8, 895–908.
545 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00787.x

546 Thom, M.D., Johnson, D.D.P., Macdonald, D.W., 2004. The evolution and maintenance of delayed
547 implantation in the mustelidae (Mammalia: Carnivora). Evolution (N. Y). 58, 175–183.
548 doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb01584.x

549 Tobin, P.C., Berec, L., Liebhold, A.M., 2011. Exploiting Allee effects for managing biological
550 invasions. Ecol. Lett. no–no. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01614.x

551 Walters, C., Kitchell, J.F., 2001. Cultivation/depensation effects on juvenile survival and recruitment:
552 implications for the theory of fishing. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 39–50. doi:10.1139/f00-160

553 Yamaguchi, N., Sarno, R.J., Johnson, W.E., O′Brien, S.J., Macdonald, D.W., 2004. Multiple paternity
554 an reproductive tactics of free-ranging American minks, Mustela vison. J. Mammal. 85, 432–
555 439.

556 Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A., Smith, G.M., 2009. Mixed Effects Models and
557 Extensions in Ecology with R, XXII. ed. Springer, New York, USA.

558

559

560 **Table 1** Weighted parameter estimates of the influence of standardised covariates with their
561 associated standard errors for variables included in the best hurdle models for the Probability of
562 conception and the Litter size at parturition in relation to the relative density of females (D_f), males
563 (D_m) and mating males (D_{mm}), the female age at conception in months (Age) and the month of capture
564 (Mcapt).

	Parameters	Estimate	SE	Z
Probability of conception ~	Intercept	3.607	1.005	3.586
	D_f	-0.369	0.237	-1.568
	Age	-0.351	0.205	-1.726
	Mcapt	-1.551	1.046	-1.482
Litter Size~	Intercept	1.982	0.131	14.807
	D_f	-0.067	0.103	-1.181
	D_m	-0.011	0.037	-0.801
	D_{mm}	-0.006	0.042	-0.212
	Age	-0.028	0.048	-0.543
	Mcapt	-0.263	0.159	-1.41

565

566

567

568

569

570 **Fig. 1** (a) Illustrative example of maximum number of mink territories; symbols represent capture
571 locations per years, circles are 2 km buffers and the colour code represents territories in different
572 years. In the example dark grey is 2007, grey 2008 and light grey 2009. (b) Illustrative example for
573 the definition of mating males, M1, M2 and M3 represent adult males captured in May 2009 (M2) and
574 February 2010 (M1 and M3). M1 and M2 were considered "mating males" of female F1 because they
575 were adult and still alive during rut 2009. M2 was not a potential mating male of F1 since it was born
576 after the rut 2009
577
578 **Fig. 2** Violin plot for the litter size of females that conceived (n = 205) and age at parturition of all
579 sampled females (n = 238 > 10 months old) in relation to the year and the number of years of control.
580 Grey shapes show the density distribution of the y-axis covariate, grey circles stand for the median,
581 black bars for the quartiles Q1 and Q3, straight black lines for the minimum and maximum values and
582 black areas in (a) and (b) shows the density distribution of non-mated females.
583
584 **Fig. 3** Relative density of mink per (a) year and (b) years of control and relative density of females
585 per (c) year and (d) years of control. Solid black lines describe the project-wide relative density. Thin
586 lines show relative densities per river section with black dots denoting the year of first control for
587 each section, illustrating the gradual expansion of the project. Red and green lines notes the selected
588 project sections with constant coverage since the start of the project and currently low densities to
589 illustrate the maximum, model-predicted, magnitude of their combined impact.
590
591 **Fig. 4** Observed (dot) and hurdle model derived predictions (lines) probability of conception in
592 relation to (a) the relative density of females; (b) female age at conception; and litter size of females
593 that conceived in relation to (c) the relative density of females, (d) female age at conception.
594 Parameters were estimated using weighted estimates of the three top best models. Continuous line
595 relates to the estimated fit setting all the other covariates as constant at their median value; dashed
596 lines denote the 95% confidence intervals.
597

598 **Fig. 5** Observed (dot) and model predictions of the litter size of conceived females in relation to (a)
599 the relative density of males and (b) the relative density of mating males. Parameters were estimated
600 using weighted estimates of the three best models. Continuous line relates to the estimate fit setting all
601 the other covariates as constant at their median value dashed lines relate to the 95 % confidence
602 intervals.
603
604
605
606
607