

The effect of future ambient air pollution on human premature mortality to 2100 using output from the ACCMIP model ensemble

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY)

Open access

Silva, R. A., West, J. J., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Collins, W. J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7419-0850>, Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L. W., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Rumbold, S. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8138-4541>, Sudo, K., Takemura, T., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Josse, B., MacKenzie, I. A., Plummer, D. S., Righi, M., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G. (2016) The effect of future ambient air pollution on human premature mortality to 2100 using output from the ACCMIP model ensemble. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16. pp. 9847-9862. ISSN 1680-7324 doi: 10.5194/acp-2015-1002 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/66201/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-2015-1002>

Publisher: Copernicus Publications

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



The effect of future ambient air pollution on human premature mortality to 2100 using output from the ACCMIP model ensemble

Raquel A. Silva¹, J. Jason West¹, Jean-François Lamarque², Drew T. Shindell³, William J. Collins⁴, Stig Dalsoren⁵, Greg Faluvegi⁶, Gerd Folberth⁷, Larry W. Horowitz⁸, Tatsuya Nagashima⁹, Vaishali Naik⁸, Steven T. Rumbold^{7,a}, Kengo Sudo¹⁰, Toshihiko Takemura¹¹, Daniel Bergmann¹², Philip Cameron-Smith¹², Irene Cionni¹³, Ruth M. Doherty¹⁴, Veronika Eyring¹⁵, Beatrice Josse¹⁶, Ian A. MacKenzie¹⁴, David Plummer¹⁷, Mattia Righi¹⁵, David S. Stevenson¹⁴, Sarah Strode^{18,19}, Sophie Szopa²⁰, and Guang Zengast^{21,b}

¹Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

²NCAR Earth System Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

³Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA

⁴Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK

⁵CICERO, Center for International Climate and Environmental Research – Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁶NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies and Columbia Earth Institute, New York, New York, USA

⁷Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK

⁸NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

⁹National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan

¹⁰Earth and Environmental Science, Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

¹¹Research Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

¹²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

¹³Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile (ENEA), Bologna, Italy

¹⁴School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

¹⁵Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

¹⁶GAME/CNRM, Météo-France, CNRS – Centre National de Recherches Meteorologiques, Toulouse, France

¹⁷Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis, Environment Canada, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

¹⁸NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA

¹⁹Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, Maryland, USA

²⁰Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE-CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

²¹National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Lauder, New Zealand

^anow at: National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), University of Reading, Reading, UK

^bnow at: NIWA, Wellington, New Zealand

Correspondence to: J. Jason West (jjwest@email.unc.edu)

Received: 10 December 2015 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 4 February 2016

Revised: 26 May 2016 – Accepted: 7 July 2016 – Published: 5 August 2016

Abstract. Ambient air pollution from ground-level ozone and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) is associated with premature mortality. Future concentrations of these air pollutants will be driven by natural and anthropogenic emissions and by climate change. Using anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions projected in the four Representative Concentration Pathway scenarios (RCPs), the ACCMIP ensemble

of chemistry–climate models simulated future concentrations of ozone and PM_{2.5} at selected decades between 2000 and 2100. We use output from the ACCMIP ensemble, together with projections of future population and baseline mortality rates, to quantify the human premature mortality impacts of future ambient air pollution. Future air-pollution-related premature mortality in 2030, 2050 and 2100 is estimated for

each scenario and for each model using a health impact function based on changes in concentrations of ozone and PM_{2.5} relative to 2000 and projected future population and baseline mortality rates. Additionally, the global mortality burden of ozone and PM_{2.5} in 2000 and each future period is estimated relative to 1850 concentrations, using present-day and future population and baseline mortality rates. The change in future ozone concentrations relative to 2000 is associated with excess global premature mortality in some scenarios/periods, particularly in RCP8.5 in 2100 (316 thousand deaths year⁻¹), likely driven by the large increase in methane emissions and by the net effect of climate change projected in this scenario, but it leads to considerable avoided premature mortality for the three other RCPs. However, the global mortality burden of ozone markedly increases from 382 000 (121 000 to 728 000) deaths year⁻¹ in 2000 to between 1.09 and 2.36 million deaths year⁻¹ in 2100, across RCPs, mostly due to the effect of increases in population and baseline mortality rates. PM_{2.5} concentrations decrease relative to 2000 in all scenarios, due to projected reductions in emissions, and are associated with avoided premature mortality, particularly in 2100: between -2.39 and -1.31 million deaths year⁻¹ for the four RCPs. The global mortality burden of PM_{2.5} is estimated to decrease from 1.70 (1.30 to 2.10) million deaths year⁻¹ in 2000 to between 0.95 and 1.55 million deaths year⁻¹ in 2100 for the four RCPs due to the combined effect of decreases in PM_{2.5} concentrations and changes in population and baseline mortality rates. Trends in future air-pollution-related mortality vary regionally across scenarios, reflecting assumptions for economic growth and air pollution control specific to each RCP and region. Mortality estimates differ among chemistry-climate models due to differences in simulated pollutant concentrations, which is the greatest contributor to overall mortality uncertainty for most cases assessed here, supporting the use of model ensembles to characterize uncertainty. Increases in exposed population and baseline mortality rates of respiratory diseases magnify the impact on premature mortality of changes in future air pollutant concentrations and explain why the future global mortality burden of air pollution can exceed the current burden, even where air pollutant concentrations decrease.

1 Introduction

Ambient air pollution has adverse effects on human health, including premature mortality. Exposure to ground-level ozone is associated with respiratory mortality (e.g., Bell et al., 2005; Gryparis et al., 2004; Jerrett et al., 2009; Levy et al., 2005). Exposure to fine particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 µm (PM_{2.5}) is associated with mortality due to cardiopulmonary diseases and lung cancer (e.g., Brook et al., 2010; Burnett et al., 2014; Hamra et al., 2014; Krewski et al., 2009; Lepeule et al., 2012). Pre-

vious studies have estimated the present-day global burden of disease due to exposure to ambient ozone and/or PM_{2.5} (e.g., Apte et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2013; Forouzanfar et al., 2015), with several studies estimating this burden using only output of global atmospheric models (Anenberg et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2013a; Lelieveld et al., 2013; Rao et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2013). However, few studies have evaluated how the global burden might change in future scenarios (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Likhvar et al., 2015; West et al., 2007). Other global studies have estimated future air-pollution-related mortality as a by-product of analyses of other future changes, such as the effects of climate change or of climate change mitigation (e.g., Fang et al., 2013b; Selin et al., 2009; West et al., 2013), but do not focus on the range of plausible future mortality as their main purpose. Similarly, studies at local and regional scales have evaluated the mortality impact of changes in air quality due to future climate change (Bell et al., 2007; Chang et al., 2010; Fann et al., 2015; Heal et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2010; Knowlton et al., 2004, 2008; Orru et al., 2013; Post et al., 2012; Sheffield et al., 2011; Tagaris et al., 2009) but few such studies have evaluated changes beyond 2050.

Future ambient air quality will be influenced by changes in emissions of air pollutants and by climate change. Changes in anthropogenic emissions will likely dominate in the near-term (Kirtman et al., 2013, and references therein) and depend on several socioeconomic factors including economic growth, energy demand, technological choices and developments, demographic trends and land use change, as well as air quality and climate policies. Climate change will affect the ventilation, dilution and removal of air pollutants, the frequency of stagnation, photochemical reaction rates, stratosphere-troposphere exchange of ozone and natural emissions (Fiore et al., 2012, 2015; Jacob and Winner, 2009; von Schneidmesser et al., 2015; Weaver et al., 2009). Climate change is likely to increase ozone in polluted regions during the warm season, particularly in urban areas and during pollution episodes. In remote regions, however, ozone is likely to decrease due to greater water vapor concentrations, which increase the loss of ozone by photolysis and subsequent formation of hydroxyl radicals (Doherty et al., 2013). The effects of climate change on PM_{2.5} concentrations are generally uncertain as changes in temperature affect both reaction rates and gas to particle partitioning, as well as wildfires and biogenic emissions, and vary regionally primarily due to differing projections of changes in precipitation (Fiore et al., 2012, 2015; Fuzzi et al., 2015; Jacob and Winner, 2009; von Schneidmesser et al., 2015; Weaver et al., 2009).

The Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) simulated preindustrial (1850), present-day (2000) and future (2030, 2050 and 2100) concentrations of ozone and PM_{2.5} with an ensemble of 14 state-of-the-art chemistry climate models (Table S1 in the Supplement) (Lamarque et al., 2013; Stevenson et al., 2013) to support the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report. Using

modeled 1850 and 2000 concentrations from this ensemble, we showed previously that exposure to present-day anthropogenic ambient air pollution is associated with 470 (95 % confidence interval (CI): 140, 900) thousand deaths year⁻¹ from ozone-related respiratory diseases and 2.1 (1.3, 3.0) million deaths year⁻¹ from PM_{2.5}-related cardiopulmonary diseases and lung cancer (Silva et al., 2013). These results were obtained for a wider range of cardiopulmonary diseases and using a different exposure–response model for PM_{2.5} mortality than the present study, as discussed later.

The ACCMIP models simulated future air quality for specific periods through 2100, for four global greenhouse gas (GHG) and air pollutant emission scenarios projected in the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) (Van Vuuren et al., 2011a, and references therein). The four RCPs were developed by different research groups with different assumptions regarding the pathways of population growth, economic and technological development, and air quality and climate policies. Anthropogenic radiative forcing in 2100 ranges from a very low level in the mitigation scenario RCP2.6 (Van Vuuren et al., 2011b), to medium levels in the two stabilization scenarios, RCP4.5 (Thomson et al., 2011) and RCP 6.0 (Masui et al., 2011), to a high level in the very high baseline emissions scenario RCP8.5 (Riahi et al., 2011). All RCPs assume increasingly stringent air pollution controls as countries develop economically, leading to decreases in air pollutant emissions that reflect the different methods of the different RCP groups (e.g., Smith et al., 2011). However, as assumptions are similar among the RCPs, the four scenarios do not span the range of possible futures published in the literature for short-term species. For example, other studies have simulated scenarios in which air pollution controls are kept at current levels while underlying trends (e.g., energy use) increase overall emissions (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Likhvar et al., 2015). While most air pollutants are projected to decrease, ammonia increases in all RCPs due to the projected increase in population and food demand, and methane increases in RCP8.5 because of its projected rise in livestock and rice production. However, these scenarios follow different pathways in different regions. In some regions, emissions increase to mid-century before decreasing, while in other regions emissions are already decreasing at present and continue decreasing to 2100. Models in the ACCMIP ensemble incorporate chemistry–climate interactions, including mechanisms by which climate change affects ozone and PM_{2.5}, although models do not all include the same mechanisms of interactions and do not always agree on the net effect of these interactions (von Schneidemesser et al., 2015).

Using modeled ozone and PM_{2.5} concentrations from the ACCMIP ensemble, we estimate the future premature human mortality associated with exposure to ambient air pollution. Our premature mortality estimates are obtained using a health impact function, combining the relative risk of exposure to changes in air pollution with future exposed population and cause-specific baseline mortality rates. We esti-

mate overall future premature mortality considering the difference in air pollution associated with 2030, 2050 and 2100 emissions and climate relative to that resulting from 2000 emissions and climate. Mortality estimates are obtained at a sufficiently fine horizontal resolution (0.5° × 0.5°) to capture both global and regional effects and inform regional and national air quality and climate change policy but are not expected to capture local scale (e.g., urban) air pollution effects.

2 Methods

2.1 Ambient ozone and PM_{2.5} concentrations

Concentrations of ozone and PM_{2.5} in surface air are calculated for the present day (2000) and for the 2030, 2050 and 2100 decades for the four RCPs using the output of simulations by the ACCMIP ensemble of chemistry–climate models. As described by Lamarque et al. (2013), not all models are truly coupled chemistry–climate models. OsloCTM2 and MOCAGE are chemical transport models driven by offline meteorological fields, and UM-CAM and STOC-HadAM3 do not model the feedback of chemistry on climate.

All ACCMIP models used nearly identical anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions for the present day and future, but they used different natural emissions (e.g., biogenic volatile organic compounds, ocean emissions, soil and lightning NO_x), which mostly impacted emissions of ozone precursors (Lamarque et al., 2013; Young et al., 2013) and natural aerosols (i.e., dust and sea salt). Model output shows good agreement with recent observations, both for ozone (Young et al., 2013) and for PM_{2.5} (Shindell et al., 2013), although models tend to overestimate ozone in the Northern Hemisphere and underestimate it in the Southern Hemisphere and to underestimate PM_{2.5}, particularly in East Asia. Future surface concentrations of air pollutants vary across scenarios and models, but ozone is projected to decrease except in RCP8.5, mostly associated with the large increase in methane concentrations specific to this scenario and the effect of climate change in remote regions (von Schneidemesser et al., 2015; Young et al., 2013).

We obtained hourly and monthly output from the ACCMIP ensemble simulations for a base year (2000) and for future projections under the four RCPs (2030, 2050 and 2100), with each time period corresponding to simulations of up to 10 years, depending on the model. Only two models reported results for all four RCP scenarios and the three future time periods – GFDL-AM3 and GISS-E2-R. PM_{2.5} is calculated as a sum of aerosol species reported by six models (see Supplement), and four of these models also reported their own estimate of total PM_{2.5} (Table S1 in the Supplement). Our PM_{2.5} formula includes nitrate; since this species was reported by three models only, we calculate the average nitrate concentrations in each cell reported by these models and add this average to PM_{2.5} for the other models, follow-

ing Silva et al. (2013). We use our $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ estimates to obtain all mortality results and perform a sensitivity analysis using the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations reported by four models using their own $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ formulas, which differed among models, as done by Silva et al. (2013). The native grid resolutions of the 14 models varied from $1.9^\circ \times 1.2^\circ$ to $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$; we regrid ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ species surface concentrations from each model to a common $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ horizontal grid to take maximum advantage of how the grids of different models overlap, following Anenberg et al. (2009, 2014) and Silva et al. (2013).

Ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations are calculated in each grid cell for each model separately. For both pollutants, we use identical metrics to those reported in the epidemiological studies we considered for the health impact assessment (next section):

- seasonal average of daily 1 h maximum ozone concentration, for the 6 consecutive months with highest concentrations in each grid cell;
- annual average $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration.

Among the 14 models, 5 models reported only monthly ozone concentrations, while the remaining models reported both hourly and monthly values. We calculate the ratio of the seasonal average of daily 1 h maximum to the annual average of monthly concentrations, for each scenario/year, for those that reported both hourly and monthly concentrations. Then we apply that ratio to the annual average of monthly ozone concentrations for the former five models, as previously done by Silva et al. (2013). The differences in ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations between future year (2030, 2050 and 2100) and 2000 are shown in Tables S2 and S3, for each model. For 10 world regions (Fig. S1 in the Supplement), we also estimate regional multi-model averages for each scenario/year (Figs. S2 and S3).

2.2 Health impact assessment

We estimate future air-pollution-related cause-specific premature mortality using generally the same methods as those used by Silva et al. (2013) to obtain present-day estimates, but with two important differences: (1) we use the recently published Integrated Exposure–Response (IER) model for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (Burnett et al., 2014) instead of a log-linear model (Krewski et al., 2009), and (2) we use projections of population and baseline mortality rates from the International Futures (IFs) integrated modeling system (Hughes et al., 2011).

We apply a health impact function to estimate premature mortality associated with exposure to ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ambient air pollution (ΔMort) in each grid cell: $\Delta\text{Mort} = y_0 \times \text{AF} \times \text{Pop}$, where y_0 is the baseline mortality rate (for the exposed population), $\text{AF} = 1 - 1/\text{RR}$ is the attributable fraction, RR is the relative risk of death attributable to a change in pollutant concentrations ($\text{RR} = 1$ if there is no increased risk of death associated with a change in pollutant concentrations)

and Pop is the exposed population (adults aged 25 and older). We calculate changes in premature mortality by applying the change in pollutant concentrations in each future year (2030, 2050 and 2100) relative to year 2000 concentrations – the present-day state of air pollution – to the future population. To estimate ozone mortality, we apply the exposure–response function to the difference in ozone concentrations, while for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mortality we apply the exposure–response function to concentrations in each year (future years and 2000) and then subtract the mortality estimates. We therefore estimate “avoided”/“excess” premature mortality due to decreases/increases in air pollutant concentrations in the future years relative to 2000 concentrations. This approach differs from a calculation of the global burden of air-pollution-related mortality since we use 2000 rather than 1850 concentrations as baseline. We estimate mortality changes due to future concentration changes, relative to the present, to avoid applying the health impact function at very low concentrations where there is less confidence in the exposure–response relationship. For example, the simulated 1850 air pollutant concentrations are often below the lowest measured value of the American Cancer Society study (Jerrett et al., 2009; Krewski et al., 2009). For illustration, we also estimate mortality relative to 1850 concentrations, which could be regarded as global burden of disease calculations, following Silva et al. (2013).

For each model, we estimate ozone-related mortality due to chronic respiratory diseases (RESP), using RR from Jerrett et al. (2009). We also estimate $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ -related mortality due to ischemic heart disease (IHD), cerebrovascular disease (STROKE), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer (LC), using RR s from the IER model (Burnett et al., 2014). We use RR per age group for IHD and STROKE and RR for all ages for COPD and LC. We apply the IER model instead of RR s from Krewski et al. (2009), used by Silva et al. (2013), as the newer model should better represent the risk of exposure to $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, particularly at locations with high ambient concentrations. In the IER model, the concentration–response function flattens off at higher $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations yielding different estimates of excess mortality for identical changes in air pollutant concentrations in less-polluted vs. highly polluted locations. Specifically, a one unit reduction of air pollution may have a stronger effect on avoided mortality per million people in regions where pollution levels are lower (e.g., Europe, North America) compared with highly polluted areas (e.g., East Asia, India), which would not be the case for a log-linear function (Jerrett et al., 2009; Krewski et al., 2009). Therefore, using the IER model may result in smaller changes in avoided mortality in highly polluted areas than using the log-linear model.

Each RCP includes its own projection of total population but not population health characteristics. For all scenarios, we choose to use a common projection of population and baseline mortality rates per age group from the IFs (Figs. S6 and S7). IFs project population and mortality based

on UN and WHO projections from 2010 through 2100, per age group and country, mostly based on three drivers – income, education and technology (Hughes et al., 2011). Population projections from IFs differ from those underlying each RCP but lie within the range of the RCPs (Fig. S6). In 2030, global total population in IFs is within 0.08 % of that reported for RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 and 5 % lower than for RCP8.5; however, in 2100 IFs project larger global populations than RCP2.6 (+7 %), RCP4.5 (+13 %) and RCP6.0 (+2 %) and considerably lower than RCP8.5 (−27 %). IFs project rising baseline mortality rates for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and RESP, globally and in most regions (particularly in East Asia and India), reflecting an aging population. By using projections from IFs, we have a single source of population and baseline mortality rates, assuring their consistency and enabling us to isolate the effect of changes in air pollutant concentrations across the RCPs. Had we used the population projections from each scenario, the magnitude of the changes (increases or decreases in premature mortality relative to 2000) would likely increase in RCP8.5 but decrease in RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP6.0. With the exception of Europe, Former Soviet Union (FSU) and East Asia, where population is projected to decrease in 2100 relative to 2000, had we used present-day population and baseline mortality we would have obtained lower estimates for excess or avoided mortality in each scenario/year, as projected increases in population and baseline mortality magnify the impact of changes in air pollutant concentrations. Therefore, we estimate the overall effect of future air pollution (due to changes in emissions and climate change) considering the population that will potentially be exposed to those effects. We also obtain different estimates of changes in future mortality than if we had calculated the global burden in each year, using air pollutant concentrations, population and baseline mortality rates in that year and subtracted the present-day burden. Our results do not reflect the potential synergistic effect of a warmer climate on air-pollution-related mortality, i.e., we do not account for potential changes in the exposure–response relationships at higher temperatures (Pattenden et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2014, and references therein).

Country-level population projections for 2030, 2050 and 2100 are gridded to $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ using ArcGIS 10.2 geoprocessing tools, assuming that the spatial distribution of total population within each country is unchanged from the 2011 LandScan Global Population Dataset at approximately 1 km resolution (Bright et al., 2012) and that the exposed population is distributed in the same way as the total population within each country. IFs projections of mortality rates for CVD are used to estimate baseline mortality rates for IHD and STROKE considering their present-day proportion in CVD (using GBD 2010 baseline mortality rates), as are RESP projections for COPD and malignant neoplasms for LC. IFs projections for 2010 are comparable to GBD 2010 (Lozano et al., 2012) estimates for CVD (+0.04 %), RESP (+2.5 %) and neoplasms (−12 %). We estimate the number

of deaths per 5-year age group per country using the country level population. The resulting population and baseline mortality per age group at $30\text{s} \times 30\text{s}$ are regridded to the same $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ grid as the concentrations of air pollutants.

Uncertainty from the RRs is propagated separately for each model/scenario/year to mortality estimates in each grid cell, through 1000 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations; i.e., we repeat the calculations in each grid cell 1000 times using random sampling of the RR variable. For ozone, we use the reported 95 % CIs for RR (Jerrett et al., 2009) and assume a normal distribution, while for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ we use the values for the parameters alpha, gamma, delta and z_{cf} (counterfactual) reported by Burnett et al. (2014) for 1000 MC simulations (GHDx, 2013). Then for each of the 1000 simulations, we add mortality over many grid cells to obtain regional and global mortality and estimate the empirical mean and 95 % CI of the regional and global mortality results. We assume no correlation between the RRs for the four causes of death; thus we may underestimate the overall uncertainty for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mortality estimates. Uncertainty in air pollutant concentrations is based on the spread of model results by calculating the average and 95 % CI for the pooled results of the 1000 MC simulations for each model. This estimate of uncertainty in concentrations does not account for uncertainty in emissions inventories (as the ensemble used identical emissions) or for potential bias in modeled air pollutant concentrations. We also estimate the contribution of uncertainties in RR and in air pollutant concentrations to the overall uncertainty in mortality estimates using a tornado analysis; we obtained global mortality estimates treating each variable as uncertain individually (year 2000 concentrations, future year concentrations, RR for ozone and the four parameters in the IER model for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$) and used central estimates for all other variables, and then we calculated the contribution of each variable to the overall uncertainty (when all variables are treated as uncertain simultaneously). Uncertainties associated with population and baseline mortality rates are not reported by IFs and are not considered in the uncertainty analysis.

3 Results

First, we present our estimates of ozone and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ -related excess/avoided premature mortality in 2030, 2050 and 2100 for changes in pollutant concentrations between 2000 and each future period, for the four RCPs (Sects. 3.1 and 3.2, Figs. 1 to 7). Figures 1 and 4 show global mortality for the different ACCMIP models. The multi-model average mortality results are shown for individual grid cells (Figs. 2 and 5) and for regional totals (Figs. 3 and 6). Finally, we include our estimates of the global mortality burden of both air pollutants for future concentrations relative to 1850 concentrations (Sect. 3.3, Figs. 8 and 9). In some cases, the changes in future mortality due to changes in future concentrations relative to 2000 show a different trend than the global mortality




Figure 1. Estimates of future ozone respiratory mortality for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing global mortality for 13 models and the multi-model average (million deaths year⁻¹), for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations. Uncertainty for the multi-model average shown for RCP8.5 is the 95 % CI, including uncertainty in RR and across models. Only models with results for the 3 years have lines connecting the markers.

burden; this difference reflects the combined effects of future changes in concentrations relative to 1850, exposed population and baseline mortality rates.

3.1 Ozone-related future premature mortality

We find that future changes in ozone concentrations are associated with excess global premature mortality due to respiratory diseases in 2030 but avoided mortality by 2100 for all scenarios but RCP8.5 (Fig. 1, Table S5). In 2030, all RCPs show excess multi-model average ozone mortality, ranging from 11 900 (RCP2.6) to 264 000 (RCP8.5) deaths year⁻¹. For each RCP, however, some models yield avoided mortality in 2030. In 2050, multi-model averages are obtained from only three or four models, depending on the scenario, which makes it difficult to compare with the other two periods. In 2100, we estimate excess ozone mortality in RCP8.5 (316 000 deaths year⁻¹) but avoided ozone mortality for the other three RCPs from -1.02 million (RCP2.6) to -718 000 (RCP6.0) deaths year⁻¹ with all models agreeing in sign of the change.

Excess ozone-related future premature mortality (Figs. 2 and 3, Table S6) is noticeable in some regions in 2030 for all RCPs, particularly in India and East Asia for RCP8.5 (over 95 % of global excess mortality), but all scenarios except RCP8.5 show avoided global ozone-related mortality in 2100. Under this scenario in 2100, there are increases in ozone concentrations in all regions except North America, East Asia and Southeast Asia (Fig. S2), likely driven by the projected large increase in methane emissions as well as by climate change. Avoided mortality in those three regions is outweighed by excess mortality in India, Africa and the Mid-

dle East. Also, some regions show different trends in future mortality relative to 2000 depending on the RCP, reflecting the effects of distinct assumptions in each RCP about economic growth and air pollution control with different trends in regional ozone precursor emissions. For example, North America and Europe show decreases in mortality through 2100 in all scenarios, except a slight increase in Europe for RCP8.5 in 2100. In East Asia, mortality peaks in 2050 for RCP6.0, driven by peak precursor emissions in 2050 in this scenario, but peaks in 2030 for the other three RCPs. India shows peaks in mortality in 2050 followed by decreases for all RCPs but RCP8.5, in which mortality increases through 2100. Africa shows increases in mortality through 2100 for RCP2.6 and RCP8.5, while it peaks in 2050 for RCP4.5 and decreases through 2100 for RCP6.0. Also, the effect of changes in population and baseline mortality rates is noticeable in some regions when comparing the trends in total ozone-related mortality and mortality per million people in each region (Fig. S10). For example, decreases in population projected for 2100 in Europe, FSU and East Asia are reflected in greater changes in mortality per million people than in total mortality, while the 3-fold increase in population in Africa amplifies the changes in total mortality.

For RCP8.5, we propagate input uncertainty to the mortality estimates (Fig. 1, Table S5). Global future premature mortality changes from 264 000 (-39 300 to 648 000) deaths in 2030 to 316 000 (-187 000 to 1.38 million) deaths in 2100. Uncertainty in RR leads to coefficients of variation (CV) ranging from 31 to 37 % (2030), 31 to 40 % (2050) and 16 to 47 % (2100) for the different models. Considering the spread of model results, overall CV for the multi-model average mortality increases to 66 % (2030), 78 % (2050) and 125 %




Figure 2. Future ozone respiratory mortality for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing the multi-model average in each grid cell, for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations.




Figure 3. Future ozone respiratory mortality for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing the multi-model regional average (deaths year⁻¹) in 10 world regions (Fig. S1) and globally, for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations.

(2100). While uncertainty in RR and in modeled ozone concentrations have similar contributions to overall uncertainty in mortality results in 2050 (51 and 49 %, respectively), in 2030 modeled ozone concentrations are the greatest contributor (81 %), and in 2100 uncertainty in RR contributes the

most to overall uncertainty (88 %). For 2030, HadGEM2 differs in sign from the other 13 models with (avoided) global mortality totalling $-33\,900$ deaths year⁻¹. For 2050, LMDZORINCA differs substantially from the other three models with $-38\,900$ deaths year⁻¹. For 2100, HadGEM2 is a

noticeable outlier with 1.2 million excess deaths year⁻¹ and MOCAGE differs in sign from the other 12 models with -159 000 deaths year⁻¹.

3.2 PM_{2.5}-related future premature mortality

Global PM_{2.5}-related premature mortality, considering the difference in future concentrations and 2000 concentrations, decreases substantially in most scenarios, particularly in 2100 (Fig. 4, Table S7). In 2030, the multi-model average varies from -289 000 (RCP4.5) to 17 200 (RCP8.5) deaths year⁻¹, although one model (CICERO-OsloCTM2) shows excess mortality for RCP2.6 and RCP8.5. In 2050, substantial avoided mortality is estimated for all scenarios except RCP6.0, which shows a small increase in mortality (16 700 deaths year⁻¹), but this is the average of only three models that do not agree on the sign of the change. In 2100, all scenarios show considerable avoided mortality, ranging from -1.31 million (RCP8.5) to -2.39 million (RCP4.5) deaths year⁻¹, reflecting the substantial decrease in emissions of primary PM_{2.5} and precursors.

In several regions (North America, South America, Europe, FSU and Australia), PM_{2.5} future premature mortality decreases through 2100 for all RCPs (Figs. 5 and 6, Table S7). However, in East Asia, Southeast Asia, India, Africa and the Middle East, for some scenarios, PM_{2.5} mortality increases through 2030 or 2050 before decreasing. The changes in future mortality reflect changes in future PM_{2.5} concentrations relative to 2000 (Fig. S3) and a substantial increase in exposed population through the 21st century, particularly in Africa, India and the Middle East (Fig. S6). That is, any reduction/increase in mortality due to the decrease/increase in pollutant concentrations was amplified by the increases in exposed population. The decreases in population in Europe, FSU and East Asia have similar effects as those mentioned above for ozone-related mortality. For example, while total avoided mortality in 2100 in East Asia decreases compared to 2050, for RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, total avoided mortality per million people increases in the same scenarios (Fig. S11). East and South Asia are the regions with the greatest projected mortality burdens, and the variability in PM_{2.5} among models is typically less in these regions than in several other regions globally, depending upon the scenario and year (Fig. S9).

Future PM_{2.5}-related mortality estimates are influenced by the nonlinearity of the IER function. For example, in RCP8.5 in 2030, all models project an increase in global population-weighted concentration (Table S3), but all models except one show decreases in global PM_{2.5}-related mortality (Fig. 4). This outcome results in part because PM_{2.5} increases are projected in regions with high concentrations (particularly East Asia) that are on the flatter part of the IER curve, whereas PM_{2.5} decreases in regions with low concentrations (North America and Europe) have a steeper slope and therefore a greater influence on global mortality.

Considering the results of the MC simulations for RCP8.5, premature mortality changes from -17 200 (-386 000 to 661 000) deaths in 2030 to -1.31 (-2.04 to -0.17) million deaths in 2100 (Fig. 4, Table S7). Uncertainty in RR leads to a CV of 11 to 191 % for the different models in the 3 future years. The spread of model results increases overall CV to 1644 % (2030), 20 % (2050) and 41 % (2100). Uncertainty in modeled PM_{2.5} concentrations in 2000 is the greatest contributor to overall uncertainty (59 % in 2030, 45 % in 2050 and 49 % in 2100), followed by uncertainty in modeled PM_{2.5} in future years (40 % in 2030, 26 % in 2050 and 32 % in 2100). Uncertainty in RR has a negligible contribution to overall uncertainty in 2030 (< 1 %), as the multi-model mean mortality change happens to be near 0 (one model projects a large increase while the other five models project decreases) but contributes 29 % in 2050 and 20 % in 2100.

We compared mortality results using our estimates of PM_{2.5} from the sum of reported species with results using PM_{2.5} reported by four models applying their own formula to estimate PM_{2.5} (Fig. 7). The multi-model average future avoided mortality for the four models which reported PM_{2.5} is comparable although lower than the average for our PM_{2.5} estimates for the same models. Individual models do not show the same differences in mortality using their own vs. our PM_{2.5} estimates. Also, for two models (GFDL-AM3 and MIROC-CHEM) the two sources of PM_{2.5} estimates yield mortality changes of different sign in 2030. These results reflect the different aerosol species included by each model to estimate PM_{2.5} (e.g., nitrate is not included by all models).

3.3 Global burden on mortality of ozone and PM_{2.5}

Here we present estimates of the global burden on mortality of ozone and PM_{2.5} concentrations in the future, considering the four RCPs relative to preindustrial concentrations (1850) and future exposed population and baseline mortality rates (Figs. 8 and 9, Tables S8 and S9). For context, we estimate the present-day global burden, using 2000 concentrations, population from LandScan 2011 Population Dataset and baseline mortality rates from GBD2010, to be 382 000 (121 000 to 728 000) ozone deaths year⁻¹ and 1.70 (1.30 to 2.10) million PM_{2.5} deaths year⁻¹. These estimates are 18.7 % lower for ozone-related mortality and 19.1 % lower for PM_{2.5}-related mortality than those obtained in our previous study (Silva et al., 2013), reflecting (a) more restrictive mortality outcomes (chronic respiratory diseases rather than all respiratory diseases, and IHD+STROKE+COPD rather than all cardiopulmonary diseases), (b) updated population and baseline mortality rates and (c) the use of the recent IER model (Burnett et al., 2014) for PM_{2.5} (instead of Krewski et al., 2009). Compared with the GBD 2013 (Forouzanfar et al., 2015), our estimates are 76 % higher for ozone-related mortality and 42 % lower for PM_{2.5}-related mortality, likely due to the fact that we estimate the global mortality burden using 1850 concentrations as baseline, while




Figure 4. Estimates of future premature mortality (IHD+STROKE+COPD+LC) for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ calculated as a sum of species, for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing global mortality for six models and the multi-model average (million deaths year⁻¹), for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations. Uncertainty shown for the RCP8.5 multi-model average is the 95 % CI, including uncertainty in RR and across models.




Figure 5. Future premature mortality (IHD+STROKE+COPD+LC) for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ calculated as a sum of species, for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing the multi-model average in each grid cell, for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations.

Forouzanfar et al. (2015) consider counterfactual concentrations (theoretical minimum-risk exposure) that are mostly higher for ozone (uniform distribution between 33.3 and 41.9 ppb) and lower for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (uniform distribution between 5.9 and $8.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) than 1850 concentrations. In addition, we consider ozone mortality from all chronic respiratory

diseases while Forouzanfar et al. (2015) only account for COPD, and we restrict our mortality estimates to adult population while Forouzanfar et al. (2015) include $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mortality from lower respiratory tract infections in children under 5 years old. As a sensitivity analysis, when we apply a counterfactual of 33.3 ppb (instead of using 1850 concentra-




Figure 6. Future premature mortality (IHD+STROKE+CPD+LC) for PM_{2.5} calculated as a sum of species, for all RCP scenarios in 2030, 2050 and 2100, showing the multi-model regional average (deaths per year) in 10 world regions (Fig. S1) and globally, for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations.




Figure 7. Estimates of global future premature mortality (IHD+STROKE+CPD+LC) for RCP8.5 in 2030 and 2100, for PM_{2.5} reported by four models and PM_{2.5} estimated as a sum of species for six models, showing global mortality for each model and the multi-model average (million deaths year⁻¹), for future air pollutant concentrations relative to 2000 concentrations. Models signaled with * reported their own estimate of PM_{2.5}. Uncertainty shown for six models for sum of species is the 95 % CI including uncertainty in RR and across models.

tions), our ozone-related mortality estimates are 23 % higher for the multi-model mean, varying between +10 and +52 % among models. Similarly, using the IER model counterfactual, our PM_{2.5}-related mortality estimates are 22 % lower for the multi-model mean, varying between -8 and -44 % among models.

For ozone, the global mortality burden increases in all RCPs through 2050 to between 1.84 and 2.60 million deaths year⁻¹, and then it decreases slightly for RCP8.5




Figure 8. Global burden on mortality of ozone concentrations relative to 1850, in the present day for 2000 concentrations, showing multi-model average and 95 % CI including uncertainty in RR and across models (deaths per year), and in 2030, 2050 and 2100 for all RCPs, showing multi-model averages (deaths per year) given by the deterministic values. Also shown are future burdens using (Case A) 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 and present-day population but future baseline mortality rates and (Case B) 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 but future population and baseline mortality rates.

and substantially for the other RCPs, ranging between 1.09 and 2.36 million deaths year⁻¹ in 2100. The increase can be explained by the rise in the baseline mortality rates for chronic respiratory diseases magnified by the increase in exposed population, while the decline is likely mostly related to the decrease in concentrations, slightly countered by further population growth (Fig. 8). The global burden of mortality from PM_{2.5} shows a declining trend for all RCPs from 2030 to 2100, peaking between 2.4 and 2.6 million deaths year⁻¹ in 2030 then declining to between 0.56




Figure 9. Global burden on mortality of PM_{2.5} concentrations relative to 1850, in the present day for 2000 concentrations, showing multi-model average and 95 % CI including uncertainty in RR and across models (deaths per year), and in 2030, 2050 and 2100 for all RCPs, showing multi-model averages (deaths per year) given by the deterministic values. Also shown are future burdens using (Case A) 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 and present-day population but future baseline mortality rates and (Case B) 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 but future population and baseline mortality rates.

and 1.55 million deaths year⁻¹ in 2100, except for RCP6.0 which peaks in 2050 (3.50 million deaths year⁻¹) before declining considerably. For PM_{2.5}, the increase in exposed population and the decline in concentrations have a much greater effect than changes in baseline mortality rates (Fig. 9). These results are similar to those of Apte et al. (2015) who report a stronger effect of projected demographic trends in India and China in 2030 than of changes in baseline mortality rates. Our estimates for the global burden of PM_{2.5} mortality in 2050 (between 1.82 and 3.50 million deaths year⁻¹ for the four RCPs) are considerably lower than those of Lelieveld et al. (2015) (5.87 million deaths year⁻¹ for IHD+STROKE+COPD+LC), likely due to the assumption in the RCP scenarios of further regulations on air pollutants, while the Business-As-Usual scenario of Lelieveld et al. (2015) does not assume regulations beyond those currently defined.

To help explain differences between the trends in future global burden (Figs. 8 and 9) and in future mortality relative to 2000 (Figs. 1 and 4), we estimate the future global burden for two cases: Case A, using 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 and present-day population but future baseline mortality rates; and Case B, using 2000 concentrations relative to 1850 but future population and baseline mortality rates. Case A reflects the effect of future baseline mortality rates on the global burden, if concentrations in future years were maintained at 2000 levels, while Case B reflects the combined effect of population and baseline mortality rates, i.e., it is identical to Case A except that population changes. The difference between the global burden for each RCP and Case B reflects the effects of changes in future air pollutant concentrations and nearly equals future mortality relative to 2000 concentrations in Figs. 1 and 4. However, cases A and

B are calculated for all 14 models for ozone and 6 models for PM_{2.5} (since all models reported air pollutant concentrations in 2000), while future mortality relative to 2000 is calculated for the models that report each scenario/year.

4 Discussion

In all RCP scenarios but RCP8.5, stringent air pollution controls lead to substantial decreases in ozone concentrations through the 21st century, relative to 2000. For RCP8.5, the higher baseline GHG (including methane) and air pollutant emissions lead to increases in future ozone concentrations. In contrast, global PM_{2.5} concentrations show a decreasing trend across all RCP scenarios. These changes in air pollutant concentrations, combined with projected increases in baseline mortality rates for chronic respiratory diseases, drive ozone mortality to become more important relative to PM_{2.5} mortality over the next century.

The importance of conducting health impact assessments with air pollutant concentrations from model ensembles, instead of from single models, is highlighted by the differences in sign of the change in mortality among models and by the marked impact of the spread of model results on overall uncertainty in our mortality estimates. In most cases assessed here (ozone mortality in 2030 relative to 2000, PM_{2.5} mortality in 2030, 2050 and 2100 relative to 2000), uncertainty in modeled air pollutant concentrations is the greatest contributor to uncertainty in mortality estimates. The differences in air pollutant concentrations reported by the ACCMIP models reflect different treatment of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry, chemistry–climate interactions and natural emissions in each model (Young et al., 2013). Although there is likely a bias in estimating health effects using air pollutant concentrations from coarse-resolution models (Li et al., 2016; Pungner and West, 2013), particularly for PM_{2.5}, we do not expect resolution to be an important factor for the differences in simulated concentrations across coarse-resolution models.

There are several uncertainties and assumptions that affect our results. We applied the same RR worldwide and into the future, despite differences in vulnerability of the exposed population, in composition of PM_{2.5} and in other factors that may support the use of different risk estimates or different concentration–response relationships. These uncertainties can be addressed through additional long-term epidemiological studies, particularly for large cohorts in developing countries, to improve RR estimates globally. These studies should be more representative of wider ranges of exposure and air pollutant mixtures than existing studies in the USA and Europe, and they should control for confounding factors such as other environmental exposures, use of air conditioning, socioeconomic factors, etc. Also, we estimate mortality for adults aged 25 and older and do not quantify air pollutant effects on morbidity, so we underestimate the overall impact

of changes in pollutant concentrations on human health. Uncertainty is evaluated for a single future population projection, not accounting for the wide range of projections in the literature, and does not reflect uncertainty in baseline mortality rates, as these are not reported; uncertainties in both population and baseline mortality rates would be expected to increase with time into the future. The spread of model results does not account for uncertainty in emissions inventories, as all ACCMIP models used the same projections of anthropogenic emissions. Moreover, climate and air quality interactions and feedbacks are not sufficiently understood to be fully reflected in modeled air pollutant concentrations, and global models simplify atmospheric physics and chemical processes. This is particularly important when modeling air quality given scenarios of future emissions and climate change. For example, most global models do not fully address climate sensitivity to biogenic emissions (e.g., isoprene, soil NO_x and methane) and stratosphere–troposphere interactions (e.g., stratospheric influx of ozone). A better understanding of aerosol–cloud interactions, of the impact of climate change on wildfires and of the impact of land use changes on regional climate and air pollution is also crucial.

Our results are limited by the range of air pollutant emissions projected by the RCPs, which assume that economic growth strengthens efforts to reduce air pollution emissions. All RCPs project reductions in anthropogenic precursor emissions associated with more extensive air quality legislation as incomes rise, except for methane in RCP8.5 and for ammonia in all scenarios. These scenarios together do not encompass the range of plausible air pollution futures for the 21st century, as the RCPs were not designed for this purpose (van Vuuren et al., 2011a). Other plausible scenarios have been considered, such as the Current Legislation Emissions and Maximum Feasible Reductions scenarios used by Likhvar et al. (2015) and the Business-As-Usual scenario of Lelieveld et al. (2015). As noted above, our global burden estimates for 2050 are considerably lower than the Business-As-Usual scenario of Lelieveld et al. (2015). If economic growth does not lead to stricter air pollution control, emissions and health effects may rise considerably, particularly for scenarios of high population growth in developing countries (Amman et al., 2013).

5 Conclusions

Under the RCP scenarios, future $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations lead to decreased global premature mortality vs. what would occur with fixed year-2000 concentrations, but ozone-related mortality increases in some scenarios/periods. In 2100, excess ozone-related premature mortality for RCP8.5 is estimated to be 316 thousand (−187 thousand to 1.38 million) deaths year^{-1} (likely due to an increase in methane emissions and to the net effect of climate change), while for the three other RCPs avoided ozone mortality is between

−718 thousand and −1.02 million deaths year^{-1} . For $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, avoided future premature mortality is estimated to be between −1.33 and −2.39 million deaths year^{-1} in 2100. These reductions in ambient air-pollution-related mortality reflect the decline in pollutant emissions projected in the RCPs, but the large range of results from the four RCPs highlights the importance of future air pollutant emissions for ambient air quality and global health. Mortality estimates differ among models and we find that, for most cases, the contribution to overall uncertainty from uncertainty associated with modeled air pollutant concentrations exceeds that from the RRs. Increases in exposed population and in baseline mortality rates of respiratory diseases magnify the impact on mortality of the changes in air pollutant concentrations.

Estimating future mortality relative to 2000 concentrations allows us to emphasize the effects of changes in air pollution in these results. However, increases in exposed population and in baseline mortality rates may drive an increase in the future burden of air pollution on mortality. Even in the most optimistic scenarios, the global mortality burden of ozone (relative to 1850 concentrations) is estimated to be over 1 million deaths year^{-1} in 2100, compared to less than 0.4 million in 2000. For $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, the global burdens in 2030 and 2050 for the four RCPs are greater than the global burden in 2000 but decrease to between 0.56 and 1.55 million deaths year^{-1} in 2100, compared to 1.7 million deaths year^{-1} in 2000. A strong decline in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations for all RCPs together with demographic trends in the 21st century (with a projected substantial increase in exposed population) lead to a rising importance of ozone relative to $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ for the global burden of ambient air-pollution-related mortality.

The RCPs are based on the premise that economic development drives better air pollution control, leading to improved air quality. This trend is apparent in some developing countries now (Klimont et al., 2013), but it is yet to be determined how aggressive many developing nations will be in addressing air pollution. The assumed link between economic development and air pollution control in the RCPs requires new and stronger regulations around the world, as well as new control technologies, for the air pollution decreases in the RCPs to be realized. The projected reductions in mortality estimated here will be compromised if more stringent policies are delayed (e.g., Lelieveld et al., 2015).

6 Data availability

Air pollutant concentrations are available from Atmospheric Chemistry & Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) datasets at <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/b46c58786d3e5a3f985043166aeb862d>. Data were retrieved from 08/2012 to 12/2013.

Present-day population data are available from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ONRL) LandScan 2011 Global

Population Dataset at <http://spruce.lib.unc.edu.libproxy.lib.unc.edu/content/gis/LandScan/>. Data were retrieved on 12/05/2012.

Present-day baseline mortality data are available from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 (GBD 2010): Results by Cause 1990–2010 – Country Level, Seattle, United States, 2013, at <https://cloud.ihme.washington.edu/index.php/s/d559026958b38c3f4d12029b36d783da?path=/2010>. Data were retrieved from 12/2013 to 03/2014.

Future population and baseline mortality data are available from the web-based International Futures (IFs) modeling system, version 6.54, at www.ifs.du.edu. Data were retrieved on 07/2012.

IER model data are available from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) Global Burden of Disease Study 2010: Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 (GBD 2010) – Ambient Air Pollution Risk Model 1990–2010, Seattle, United States, 2013, at <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/global-burden-disease-study-2010-gbd-2010-ambient-air-pollution-risk-model-1990-2010>. Data were retrieved on 11/08/2013.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-16-9847-2016-supplement.

Acknowledgements. The research here described was funded by a fellowship from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology, by a Dissertation Completion Fellowship from The Graduate School (UNC – Chapel Hill) and by NIEHS grant no. 1 R21 ES022600-01. We thank Karin Yeatts (Department of Epidemiology, UNC – Chapel Hill) for her help in researching projections of future population and baseline mortality rates, Colin Mathers (WHO) for advising us on the IFs, Peter Speyer (IHME, University of Washington) for providing us access to GBD2010 cause-specific mortality data at the country-level, and Amanda Henley (Davis Library Research & Instructional Services, UNC – Chapel Hill) for facilitating our access to LandScan 2011 Global Population Dataset. The work of Daniel Bergmann and Philip Cameron-Smith was funded by the US Dept. of Energy (BER), performed under the auspices of LLNL under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 and used the supercomputing resources of NERSC under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Ruth Doherty, Ian MacKenzie and David Stevenson acknowledge ARCHER supercomputing resources and funding under the UK Natural Environment Research Council grant NE/I008063/1. Guang Zeng acknowledges the NZ eScience Infrastructure, which is funded jointly by NeSI's collaborator institutions and through the MBIE's Research Infrastructure programme.

Edited by: C. H. Song

Reviewed by: three anonymous referees

References

- Amann, M., Klimont, Z., and Wagner, F.: Regional and Global Emissions of Air Pollutants: Recent Trends and Future Scenarios, *Annu. Rev. Env. Resour.*, 38, 31–55, doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-052912-173303, 2013.
- Anenberg, S. C., West, J. J., Fiore, A. M., Jaffe, D. A., Prather, M. J., Bergmann, D., Cuvelier, K., Dentener, F. J., Duncan, B. N., Gauss, M., Hess, P., Jonson, J. E., Lupa, A., MacKenzie, I. A., Marmer, E., Park, R. J., Sanderson, M. G., Schultz, M., Shindell, D. T., Szopa, S., Vivanco, M. G., Wild, O., and Zeng, G.: Intercontinental Impacts of Ozone Pollution on Human Mortality, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 6482–6487, 2009.
- Anenberg, S. C., Horowitz, L. W., Tong, D. Q., and West, J. J.: An estimate of the global burden of anthropogenic ozone and fine particulate matter on premature human mortality using atmospheric modeling, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 118, 1189–1195, doi:10.1289/ehp.0901220, 2010.
- Anenberg, S. C., West, J. J., Yu, H., Chin, M., Schultz, M., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Bian, H., Diehl, T., Fiore, A., Hess, P., Marmer, E., Montanaro, V., Park, R., Shindell, D., Takemura, T., and Dentener, F.: Impacts of intercontinental transport of anthropogenic fine particulate matter on human mortality, *Air. Qual. Atmos. Health.*, 7, 369–379, doi:10.1007/s11869-014-0248-9, 2014.
- Apte, J. S., Marshall, J. D., Cohen, A. J., and Brauer, M.: Addressing Global Mortality from Ambient PM_{2.5}, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 8057–8066, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b01236, 2015.
- Bell, M. L., Dominici, F., and Samet, J. M.: A Meta-Analysis of Time-Series Studies of Ozone and Mortality With Comparison to the National Morbidity, Mortality, and Air Pollution Study, *Epidemiology*, 16, 436–445, 2005.
- Bell, M. L., Goldberg, R., Hogrefe, C., Kinney, P. L., Knowlton, K., Lynn, B., Rosenthal, J., Rosenzweig, C., and Patz, J. A.: Climate change, ambient ozone, and health in 50 US cities, *Climate Change*, 82, 61–76, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9166-7, 2007.
- Bright, E. A., Coleman, P. R., Rose, A. N., and Urban, M. L.: LandScan 2011, Oak Ridge National Laboratory SE, Oak Ridge, TN, 2012.
- Brook, R. D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C. A., Brook, J. R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux, A. V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R. V., Mittleman, M. A., Peters, A., Siscovick, D., Smith, S. C., Whitsel, L., and Kaufman, J. D.: Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association, *Circulation*, 121, 2331–2378, doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181d8ce1, 2010.
- Burnett, R. T., Arden Pope, C., Ezzati, M., Olives, C., Lim, S. S., Mehta, S., Shin, H. H., Singh, G., Hubbell, B., Brauer, M., Ross Anderson, H., Smith, K. R., Balmes, J. R., Bruce, N. G., Kan, H., Laden, F., Prüss-Ustün, A., Turner, M. C., Gapstur, S. M., Diver, W. R., and Cohen, A.: An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to ambient fine particulate matter exposure, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 122, 397–403, doi:10.1289/ehp.1307049, 2014.
- Chang, H. H., Zhou, J., and Fuentes, M.: Impact of Climate Change on Ambient Ozone Level and Mortality in South-eastern United States, *Int. J. Environ. Res.*, 7, 2866–2880, doi:10.3390/ijerph7072866, 2010.
- Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Shindell, D. T., Zeng, G., MacKenzie, I. A., Collins, W. J., Fiore, A. M., Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F.

- J., Schultz, M. G., Hess, P., Derwent, R. G., and Keating, T. J.: Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: A multi-model study, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 3744–3763, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50266, 2013.
- Evans, J., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Burnett, R., Rainham, D. G., Birkett, N. J., and Krewski, D.: Estimates of global mortality attributable to particulate air pollution using satellite imagery, *Environ. Res.*, 120, 33–42, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2012.08.005, 2013.
- Fang, Y., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Mauzerall, D. L.: Air pollution and associated human mortality: the role of air pollutant emissions, climate change and methane concentration increases from the preindustrial period to present, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1377–1394, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1377-2013, 2013a.
- Fang, Y., Mauzerall, D. L., Liu, J., Fiore, A. M., and Horowitz, L. W.: Impacts of 21st century climate change on global air pollution-related premature mortality, *Climatic Change*, 121, 239–253, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0847-8, 2013b.
- Fann, N., Nolte, C. G., Dolwick, P., Spero, T. L., Brown, A. C., Phillips, S., and Anenberg S.: The geographic distribution and economic value of climate change-related ozone health impacts in the United States in 2030, *J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 65, 570–580, doi:10.1080/10962247.2014.996270, 2015.
- Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Spracklen, D. V., Steiner, A., Unger, N., Prather, M., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S., Eyring, V., Folberth, G. A., Ginoux, P., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lamarque, J.-F., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., O'Connor, F. M., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Shindell, D. T., Skeie, R. B., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., and Zeng, G.: Global air quality and climate, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6663–6683, doi:10.1039/c2cs35095e, 2012.
- Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., and Leibensperger, E. M.: Air Quality and Climate Connections, *J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 65, 645–685, doi:10.1080/10962247.2015.1040526, 2015.
- Forouzanfar, M. H., Alexander, L., Bachman, V. F., et al.: Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013, *The Lancet*, 386, 2287–2323, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00128-2, 2015.
- Fuzzi, S., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K., Decesari, S., Denier van der Gon, H., Facchini, M. C., Fowler, D., Koren, I., Langford, B., Lohmann, U., Nemitz, E., Pandis, S., Riipinen, I., Rudich, Y., Schaap, M., Slowik, J. G., Spracklen, D. V., Vignati, E., Wild, M., Williams, M., and Gilardoni, S.: Particulate matter, air quality and climate: lessons learned and future needs, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8217–8299, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8217-2015, 2015.
- Global Health Data Exchange (GHDx): Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 – Ambient Air Pollution Risk Model 1990–2010, available at: <http://ghdx.healthmetricsandevaluation.org/record/global-burden-disease-study-2010-gbd-2010-ambient-air-pollution-risk-model-1990-2010>, last access: 8 November 2013.
- Gryparis, A., Forsberg, B., Katsouyanni, K., Analitis, A., Touloumi, G., Schwartz, J., Samoli, E., Medina, S., Anderson, H. R., Niciu, E. M., Wichmann, H. E., Kriz, B., Kosnik, M., Skorkovsky, J., Vonk, J. M., and Dörrbudak, Z.: Acute effects of ozone on mortality from the “Air Pollution and Health: A European Approach” project, *Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care Med.*, 170, 1080–1087, doi:10.1164/rccm.200403-333OC, 2004.
- Hamra, G. B., Guha, N., Cohen, A., Laden, F., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Samet, J. M., Vineis, P., Forastiere, F., Saldiva, P., Yorifuji, T., and Loomis, D.: Outdoor Particulate Matter Exposure and Lung Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 122, 906–911, doi:10.1289/ehp.1408092, 2014.
- Heal, M., Doherty, R., Heaviside, C., Vieno, M., Stevenson, D., and Vardoulakis, S.: Health Effects due to Changes in Air Pollution under Future Scenarios, *Health effects of climate change in the UK 2012*, Chapter 3, 55–82, 2012.
- Hughes, B. B., Kuhn, R., Peterson, C. M., Rothman, D. S., Solórzano, J. R., Mathers, C. D., and Dickson, J. R.: Projections De Résultats En Matière De Santé Mondiale De 2005 À 2060 En Utilisant Le Modèle Prévisionnel Intégré International Futures, *B. World Health Organ.*, 89, 478–486, doi:10.2471/BLT.10.083766, 2011.
- Jackson, J. E., Yost, M. G., Karr, C., Fitzpatrick, C., Lamb, B. K., Chung, S. H., Chen, J., Avise, J., Rosenblatt, R. A., and Fenske, R. A.: Public health impacts of climate change in Washington State: projected mortality risks due to heat, *Climatic Change*, 102, 159–186, doi:10.1007/s10584-010-9852-3, 2010.
- Jacob, D. J. and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 51–63, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009.
- Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Pope, C. A., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E., and Thun, M.: Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 360, 1085–1095, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0803894, 2009.
- Kirtman, B., Power, S. B., Adedoyin, J. A., Boer, G. J., Bojariu, R., Camilloni, I., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Fiore, A. M., Kimoto, M., Meehl, G. A., Prather, M., Sarr, A., Schär, C., Sutton, R., van Oldenborgh, G. J., Vecchi, G., and Wang, H. J.: Near-term Climate Change: Projections and Predictability, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis (Chapter 11)*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Klimont, Z., Smith, S. J., and Cofala, J.: The last decade of global anthropogenic sulfur dioxide: 2000–2011 emissions, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8, 014003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014003, 2013.
- Knowlton, K., Rosenthal, J. E., Hogrefe, C., Lynn, B., Gaffin, S., Goldberg, R., Rosenzweig, C., Civerolo, K., Ku, J. Y., and Kinney, P. L.: Assessing ozone-related health impacts under a changing climate, *Environ. Health. Persp.*, 112, 1557–1563, doi:10.1289/ehp.7163, 2004.
- Knowlton, K., Hogrefe, C., Lynn, B., Rosenzweig, C., Rosenthal, J., and Kinney, P. L.: Impacts of heat and ozone on mortality risk in the New York City metropolitan region under a changing climate, *Adv. Glob. Change Res.*, 30, 143–160, 2008.
- Krewski, D., Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Ma, R., Hughes, E., Shi, Y., Turner, M. C., Pope III, C. A., Thurston, G., Calle, E. E., and Thun, M. J.: Extended Follow-Up and Spatial Analysis of the American Cancer Society Study Linking Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality, *Respir. Rep. Heal. Eff. Inst.*, 140, 5–114, 2009.

- Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., and Zeng, G.: The Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 179–206, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013, 2013.
- Lelieveld, J., Barlas, C., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: Model calculated global, regional and megacity premature mortality due to air pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 7023–7037, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7023-2013, 2013.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, *Nature*, 525, 367–371, doi:10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- Lepeule, J., Laden, F., Dockery, D., and Schwartz, J.: Chronic exposure to fine particles and mortality: An extended follow-up of the Harvard six cities study from 1974 to 2009, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 120, 965–970, doi:10.1289/ehp.1104660, 2012.
- Levy, J. I., Chemerynski, S. M., and Sarnat, J. A.: Ozone exposure and mortality: an empiric bayes metaregression analysis, *Epidemiology*, 16, 458–468, doi:10.1097/01.ede.0000165820.08301.b3, 2005.
- Li, Y., Henze, D., Jack, D. and Kinney, P.: The influence of air quality model resolution on health impact assessment for fine particulate matter and its components, *Air Qual. Atmos. Health*, 9, 51–68, doi:10.1007/s11869-015-0321-z, 2016.
- Likhvar, V. N., Pascal, M., Markakis, K., Colette, A., Hauglustaine, D., Valari, M., Klimont, Z., Medina, S., and Kinney, P.: A multi-scale health impact assessment of air pollution over the 21st century, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 514, 439–449, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.002, 2015.
- Lozano, R., Naghavi, M., Foreman, K. et al.: Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, *The Lancet*, 380, 2095–2128, 2012.
- Masui, T., Matsumoto, K., Hijioka, Y., Kinoshita, T., Nozawa, T., Ishiwatari, S., Kato, E., Shukla, P. R., Yamagata, Y., and Kainuma, M.: An emission pathway for stabilization at 6 Wm⁻² radiative forcing, *Climate Change*, 109, 59–76, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0150-5, 2011.
- Orru, H., Andersson, C., Ebi, K. L., Langner, J., Åström, C., and Forsberg, B.: Impact of climate change on ozone-related mortality and morbidity in Europe, *Eur. Respir. J.*, 41, 285–294, doi:10.1183/09031936.00210411, 2013.
- Pattenden, S., Armstrong, B., Milojevic, A., Barratt, B., Chalabi, Z., Doherty, R. M., Heal, M. R., Kovats, S., and Wilkinson, P.: Ozone, heat and mortality in fifteen British conurbations, *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 67, 699–707, doi:10.1136/oem.2009.051714, 2010.
- Post, E. S., Grambsch, A., Weaver, C., Morefield, P., Huang, J., Leung, L. Y., Nolte, C. G., Adams, P., Liang, X. Z., Zhu, J. H., and Mahoney, H.: Variation in estimated ozone-related health impacts of climate change due to modeling choices and assumptions, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 120, 1559–1564, doi:10.1289/ehp.1104271, 2012.
- Punger, E. M. and West, J. J.: The effect of grid resolution on estimates of the burden of ozone and fine particulate matter on premature mortality in the USA, *Air Qual. Atmos. Health*, 6, 563–573, doi:10.1007/s11869-013-0197-8, 2013.
- Rao, S., Chirkov, V., Dentener, F., Dingenen, R., Pachauri, S., Purohit, P., Amann, M., Heyes, C., Kinney, P., Kolp, P., Klimont, Z., Riahi, K., and Schoepp, W.: Environmental Modeling and Methods for Estimation of the Global Health Impacts of Air Pollution, *Environ. Model. Assess.*, 7, 613–622, doi:10.1007/s10666-012-9317-3, 2012.
- Riahi, K., Rao, S., Krey, V., Cho, C., Chirkov, V., Fischer, G., Kindermann, G., Nakicenovic, N., and Rafaj, P.: RCP 8.5-A scenario of comparatively high greenhouse gas emissions, *Climate Change*, 109, 33–57, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0149-y, 2011.
- Selin, N. E., Wu, S., Nam, K. M., Reilly, J. M., Paltsev, S., Prinn, R. G. and Webster, M. D.: Global health and economic impacts of future ozone pollution, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 4, 044014, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/4/044014, 2009.
- Sheffield, P. E., Knowlton, K., Carr, J. L., and Kinney, P. L.: Modeling of Regional Climate Change Effects on Ground-Level Ozone and Childhood Asthma, *Am. J. Prev. Med.*, 41, 251–257, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2011.04.017, 2011.
- Shindell, D. T., Lamarque, J.-F., Schulz, M., Flanner, M., Jiao, C., Chin, M., Young, P. J., Lee, Y. H., Rotstayn, L., Mahowald, N., Milly, G., Faluvegi, G., Balkanski, Y., Collins, W. J., Conley, A. J., Dalsoren, S., Easter, R., Ghan, S., Horowitz, L., Liu, X., Myhre, G., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., Voulgarakis, A., Yoon, J.-H., and Lo, F.: Radiative forcing in the ACCMIP historical and future climate simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 2939–2974, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2939-2013, 2013.
- Silva, R. A., West, J. J., Zhang, Y., Anenberg, S. C., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Collins, W. J., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L. W., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Rumbold, S., Skeie, R., Sudo, K., Takemura, T., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Josse, B., MacKenzie, I. A., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Global premature mortality due to anthropogenic outdoor air pollution and the contribution of past climate change, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8, 034005, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034005, 2013.
- Smith, S. J., West, J. J., and Kyle, P.: Economically consistent long-term scenarios for air pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions, *Climatic Change*, 108, 619–627, 2011.
- Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G., van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 3063–3085, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013, 2013.

- Tagaris, E., Liao, K.-J., Delucia, A. J., Deck, L., Amar, P., and Russell, A. G.: Potential impact of climate change on air pollution-related human health effects, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 4979–4988, doi:10.1021/es803650w, 2009.
- Thomson, A. M., Calvin, K. V., Smith, S. J., Kyle, G. P., Volke, A., Patel, P., Delgado-Arias, S., Bond-Lamberty, B., Wise, M. A., Clarke, L. E., and Edmonds, J. A.: RCP4.5: A pathway for stabilization of radiative forcing by 2100, *Climatic Change*, 109, 77–94, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0151-4, 2011.
- Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J. F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J., and Rose, S. K.: The representative concentration pathways: An overview, *Climatic Change*, 109, 5–31, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011a.
- Van Vuuren, D. P., Stehfest, E., den Elzen, M. G. J., Kram, T., van Vliet, J., Deetman, S., Isaac, M., Goldewijk, K. K., Hof, A., Beltran, A. M., Oostenrijk, R., and van Ruijven, B.: RCP2.6: Exploring the possibility to keep global mean temperature increase below 2° C, *Climatic Change*, 109, 95–116, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0152-3, 2011b.
- von Schneidemesser, E., Monks, P. S., Allan, J. D., Bruhwiler, L., Forster, P., Fowler, D., Lauer, A., Morgan, W. T., Paasonen, P., Righi, M., Sindelarova, K., and Sutton, M. A.: Chemistry and the Linkages between Air Quality and Climate Change, *Chem Rev*, 1, 3856–3897, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00089, 2015.
- Weaver, C. P., Liang, X. Z., Zhu, J., Adams, P. J., Amar, P., Avise, J., Caughey, M., Chen, J., Cohen, R. C., Cooter, E., Dawson, J. P., Gilliam, R., Gilliland, A., Goldstein, A. H., Grambsch, A., Grano, D., Guenther, A., Gustafson, W. I., Harley, R. A., He, S., Hemming, B., Hogrefe, C., Huang, H. C., Hunt, S. W., Jacob, D. J., Kinney, P. L., Kunkel, K., Lamarque, J. F., Lamb, B., Larkin, N. K., Leung, L. R., Liao, K. J., Lin, J. T., Lynn, B. H., Manomaiphiboon, K., Mass, C., McKenzie, D., Mickley, L. J., O'Neill, S. M., Nolte, C., Pandis, S. N., Racherla, P. N., Rosenzweig, C., Russell, A. G., Salathé, E., Steiner, A. L., Tagaris, E., Tao, Z., Tonse, S., Wiedinmyer, C., Williams, A., Winner, D. A., Woo, J. H., Wu, S., and Wuebbles, D. J.: A preliminary synthesis of modeled climate change impacts on U.S. regional ozone concentrations, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 90, 1843–1863, doi:10.1175/2009BAMS2568.1, 2009.
- West, J. J., Szopa, S., and Hauglustaine, D. A.: Human mortality effects of future concentrations of tropospheric ozone, *C. R. Geosci.*, 339, 775–783, 2007.
- West, J. J., Smith, S. J., Silva, R. A., Naik, V., Zhang, Y., Adelman, Z., Fry, M. M., Anenberg, S., Horowitz, L. W., and Lamarque, J.-F.: Co-benefits of global greenhouse gas mitigation for future air quality and human health, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 3, 885–889, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2009, 2013.
- Wilson, A., Rappold, A. G., Neas, L. M., and Reich, B. J.: Modeling the effect of temperature on ozone-related mortality, *Ann. Appl. Stat.*, 8, 1728–1749, doi:10.1214/14-AOAS754, 2014.
- Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dal-søren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 2063–2090, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.