

*Insect pollination reduces yield loss following heat stress in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.)*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Bishop, J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2114-230X>, Jones, H. E., Lukac, M. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8535-6334> and Potts, S. G. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2045-980X> (2016) Insect pollination reduces yield loss following heat stress in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 220. pp. 89-96. ISSN 0167-8809 doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2015.12.007 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/48684/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.12.007>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Title:**

2 Insect pollination reduces yield loss following heat stress in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.).

3 **Author names and affiliations:**

4 Jacob Bishop*, Hannah Elizabeth Jones, Martin Lukac, Simon Geoffrey Potts

5 Centre for Agri-Environmental Research, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development,
6 University of Reading, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AR, UK.

7 *** Corresponding author:**

8 Jacob Bishop; j.bishop@reading.ac.uk

9 **Total word count including references and legends:**

10 7135

11 **Number of tables:**

12 2

13 **Number of figures:**

14 2

15 **Number of colour figures:**

16 0

17 **Supplementary online material:**

18 Model simplification tables and information about the developmental stages of plants prior
19 to temperature treatments.

20

21

Abstract

22 Global food security, particularly crop fertilization and yield production, is threatened by heat waves
 23 that are projected to increase in frequency and magnitude with climate change. Effects of heat stress
 24 on the fertilization of insect-pollinated plants are not well understood, but experiments conducted
 25 primarily in self-pollinated crops, such as wheat, show that transfer of fertile pollen may recover yield
 26 following stress. We hypothesized that in the partially pollinator-dependent crop, faba bean (*Vicia*
 27 *faba* L.), insect pollination would elicit similar yield recovery following heat stress. We exposed
 28 potted faba bean plants to heat stress for 5 days during floral development and anthesis. Temperature
 29 treatments were representative of heat waves projected in the UK for the period 2021-2050 and
 30 onwards. Following temperature treatments, plants were distributed in flight cages and either
 31 pollinated by domesticated *Bombus terrestris* colonies or received no insect pollination. Yield loss
 32 due to heat stress at 30°C was greater in plants excluded from pollinators (15%) compared to those
 33 with bumblebee pollination (2.5%). Thus, the pollinator dependency of faba bean yield was 16% at
 34 control temperatures (18 to 26°C) and extreme stress (34°C), but was 53% following intermediate
 35 heat stress at 30°C. These findings provide the first evidence that the pollinator dependency of crops
 36 can be modified by heat stress, and suggest that insect pollination may become more important in
 37 crop production as the probability of heat waves increases.

38 Keywords: Faba bean; heat stress; pollination; climate change; yield stability; yield variability.

39

1 Introduction

40 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change projects that crop production and food security will
 41 be increasingly threatened this century due in part to increased climate variability, including the
 42 increased frequency and magnitude of heat waves (Kirtman et al., 2013; Porter et al., 2014;
 43 Seneviratne et al., 2012). Especially large yield losses can occur when high temperatures cause
 44 damage during crop floral development and anthesis (Hedhly, 2011; Luo, 2011), as many crop
 45 products (e.g. fruits, grains) are the direct result of successful fertilization. Insect pollinated crops
 46 constitute approximately a third of global food production (Klein et al., 2007), but there is no
 47 comprehensive evidence of how their fertilization may be affected by heat stress. Studies in these
 48 crops have typically measured the effect of heat stress in absence of insect pollinators (Peet et al.,
 49 1998; Young et al., 2004), potentially missing important changes in the interactions between plants
 50 and their pollinators following stress. Studies have shown that the yield of plants can be partially
 51 recovered following stress by hand provision of fertile pollen, in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)
 52 (Peet et al., 1998), oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) (Young et al., 2004), common bean (*Phaseolus*
 53 *vulgaris*) (Gross and Kigel, 1994; Monterroso and Wien, 1990) and wheat in (*Triticum aestivum*)
 54 (Briggs et al., 1999; Saini and Aspinall, 1982). Insect pollinators may promote similar yield resilience
 55 to heat stress in entomophilous crops, through their role as pollen vectors between flowers. Such a
 56 resilience mechanism is possibly an unexpected and unquantified benefit of insect pollination, which
 57 has already been estimated to be worth \$232-\$577 billion each year globally (Lautenbach et al., 2012)
 58 due to increases in total crop production of 3-8% (Aizen et al., 2009). This is pertinent at a time when

59 the threats of climate change to insect pollinator communities are becoming apparent (Carvalho et
60 al., 2013).

61 This study investigates interactions between heat stress and insect pollination on the yield of faba
62 bean (*Vicia faba* L.). In faba bean, vulnerability to heat stress varies between stages of floral
63 development (Bennell et al., 2007). Therefore, heat stress at a given time point could damage some
64 flowers while others remain undamaged through differences in the timing of their development,
65 providing a source of fertile pollen. In a typical faba bean crop, a proportion of pollination is by
66 spontaneous auto-fertilization, while the remainder requires an insect visit (e.g. Chen, 2009).
67 Following heat stress however, all flowers with damaged pollen would effectively be male-sterile and
68 unable to self-pollinate (Drayner, 1959). Yield in these flowers would therefore become more
69 dependent upon the transfer of fertile pollen by insect pollinators (yield recovery by outcrossing). The
70 pollinator dependency of faba bean can be influenced by many factors including cultivar and location
71 (e.g. Suso et al., 2001), but under typical non-stress conditions approximately 25% of faba bean yield
72 is dependent upon insect pollination (Ghamdi and Ghamdi, 2003; Somerville, 1999). Across the
73 majority of Europe, the most common insect pollinators of faba bean are wild bumblebees (Carré et
74 al., 2009; Free, 1993), populations of which are projected to undergo large distribution shifts due to
75 climate change (Kerr et al., 2015; Rasmont et al., 2015). Faba bean is already a globally important
76 grain legume (FAO, 2015) and demand for it is likely to increase with increasing recognition of the
77 beneficial role of faba bean in sustainable cropping (Köpke and Nemecek, 2010), the rising
78 requirements for plant protein for both human and animal nutrition (Tilman et al., 2011), and recent
79 policy changes that encourage multiple cropping in Europe (European Parliament News, 2013).

80 Using a novel experimental approach replicated over three years, we exposed potted winter faba bean
81 plants (cultivar Wizard) to five-day temperature treatments before moving them to flight cages to be
82 either pollinated by domesticated bumblebee colonies, or to receive no insect pollination, in order to
83 evaluate the following hypotheses: 1) pollination by *Bombus terrestris* reduces yield mass losses
84 following heat stress in faba bean; 2) pollination by *Bombus terrestris* reduces losses in faba bean
85 quality (e.g. mass per bean, protein content) following heat stress; 3) observed changes in yield can be
86 attributed to changes in fertilization (e.g. bean number) following insect pollination.

87 **2 Methods**

88 **2.1 Experimental design and growing conditions**

89 Experiments were conducted over three growing seasons from 2012 to 2014 at the Plant Environment
90 Laboratory (now succeeded by the Crop and Environment Laboratory), University of Reading, UK.
91 All experimentation (Table 1) was designed to test whether insect pollination modifies the response of
92 potted winter faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to heat stress during floral development and anthesis. Plants
93 were exposed to temperature treatments for five days during early flowering (Table S1,
94 Supplementary Material) and subsequently moved to flight cages where they were either exposed to a
95 colony of domesticated bumblebees or received no insect pollination.

96 We used the synthetic cultivar, Wizard (Wherry & Sons Ltd), a UK recommended list commercial
97 cultivar since 2003 (PGRO, 2015). Plants were randomly assigned to temperature treatments and
98 flight cages in all experiments. All experimental plants were grown in plastic pots (180mm diameter;
99 4l volume) containing vermiculite, sand, gravel and compost at a ratio of 4:2:4:1, mixed with 2kg m⁻³
100 Osmocote slow-release granules (LBS Horticulture Ltd). Three seeds were sown per pot, allowing
101 thinning to one plant per pot when 3 leaf pairs had unfolded on the majority of plants. Plants were
102 maintained in a fully enclosed polytunnel until on average 4 leaf pairs had unfolded on each plant,
103 when they were moved and randomly distributed either in the open (2012) or within flight cages
104 (2013 and 2014) until temperature treatments. Plants were watered to maintain field capacity
105 throughout experiments including during temperature treatments, at least daily by hand watering in
106 2012, and drip-irrigation in 2013 and 2014. Three consecutive replicate experiments were conducted
107 in 2013 over a period of 18 days (Table 1), and plants were manually assigned to replicates to
108 standardise developmental stage.

109 2.2 Temperature Treatment

110
111 Five temperature treatments (18/10, 22/14, 26/18, 30/22, 34/26°C day/night temperature) were chosen
112 to measure responses over a wide range of potential temperature anomalies, and because there was no
113 prior information about heat stress vulnerability of faba bean. Temperature treatments 26, 30 and
114 34°C were intended to represent heat wave scenarios that are projected to be common during the
115 period 2021-2050 in the UK and Western Europe (Fischer and Schär, 2010), with 30 and 34°C in
116 particular representing levels of stress that may occur through combinations of high temperatures and
117 reduced soil moisture (Alghabari et al., 2014; Lobell et al., 2011). All treatments comprised
118 transferring plants from flight cages at midday to five 1.37 x 1.47 m² Saxcil growth cabinets for a
119 duration of five days during early flowering (Table S1, Supplementary Material). The photoperiod
120 lasted 16 h and the transition between night and day temperatures took approximately 15 minutes.
121 Conditions were monitored throughout temperature treatments; light levels were maintained at 650
122 $\mu\text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$; relative humidity was 87 $\pm$ 13 % in 2012, 80 $\pm$ 20 % in 2013 and 85 $\pm$ 15 % in
123 2014; and CO₂ was 385 mg L⁻¹. Temperature was measured by a thermocouple at pot height. Growth
124 cabinet temperatures were randomly reassigned between years and during 24 h between replicate
125 experiments in 2013.

126

127 2.3 Pollination Treatment

128

129 Following temperature treatments, plants were moved to flight cages (Table 1) which were used to
130 either retain single domesticated colonies of *Bombus terrestris audax* L. (a common wild visitor of
131 faba bean in the field; Garratt et al., 2014) that were applied following temperature treatments, or to
132 completely prevent visits from insect pollinators. While this method does not represent a typical
133 pollinator community visiting faba bean in the field, it enables a controlled comparison between
134 pollination treatments without confounding effects of bagging that could otherwise modify plant
135 growth and yield accumulation in excluded plants (Free, 1993). All cages were custom-made

(Lancashire Sports Repair) from 1.33 mm² aperture polyethylene mesh (WM16, Wondermesh). In each year, all treatment cages were within an area of 12.5 x 5 m. Following common practise in reciprocal outcrossing experiments (*e.g.* Saini and Aspinall, 1982), experimental plants were housed with non-stressed pollen donor individuals to ensure provision of fertile pollen. The ratio of pollen donor to experimental plants was 3:1 in 2012, but was later reduced to 1:1 following an additional experiment which demonstrated this was a sufficient ratio to achieve good pollination (data not shown). Experimental plants that had been exposed to different temperatures were housed together in the same flight cage; thus maintaining the validity of temperature treatment comparisons. In 2013, flight cages were repeatedly allocated to the same pollination treatment across the three replicate experiments, but were analysed as independent replicates because a new *B. terrestris* colony was used each time. To standardise timing of pollinator exposure across all experiments, in 2013 the pollination treatment plants assigned to the third replicate experiment were held in the exclusion cage, while replicate two plants were exposed to stress, and replicate one plants received insect pollination.

149 **2.4 Data collection**

150
151 Yield parameters were assessed when plants had reached senescence. Pods on all experimental plants
152 were individually harvested with node and raceme position recorded, to allow changes in within-stem
153 yield allocation to be investigated. Pods were oven dried at 80°C until dry mass was constant before
154 recording bean mass. Bean size and number were measured using WINDIAS image analysis software
155 (version 3, Delta T Devices), recorded to whole plant level in 2012 and pod-level in 2013 and 2014. A
156 conservative threshold was applied to exclude beans with area <50 mm² (assumed to be non-fertilized
157 ovules).

158 Yield mass per plant was calculated for all years, by summing the mass of beans produced by pods on
159 each plant. The yield mass benefit due to insect pollination was calculated for each temperature
160 treatment level, by dividing the average per-plant yield of an insect pollination cage by that of the
161 exclusion cage, in each year, or replicate experiment in 2013. The 10 cages used in 2014 were
162 randomly allocated to treatments and therefore not paired, so for 2014 the combined means of all
163 cages containing bees and those excluding pollinators were compared, the statistical analysis was
164 weighted accordingly. Mass per bean, and the number of beans per pod, were calculated by averaging
165 across pods within each plant. Changes in yield allocation on the primary stem were tested using the
166 first node to set pods on each plant. The yield ratio was measured by dividing yield mass by the mass
167 of stems (with leaf and raceme branches removed) and pod casings for each plant in 2014. Seed
168 nitrogen content per plant, as a proxy for protein content, was measured on a subset of plants in 2013
169 (150 plants) and 2014 (100 plants) using a LECO FP-328 analyser.

170 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

171 Plant level yield parameters (yield mass, bean number, pod number (data from all years); bean
172 number per pod, mass per bean, first node with pod, nitrogen content (2013 and 2014), yield ratio,
173 non-yield biomass (2014 only)) were analysed with linear mixed effects models (Table S3,

Supplementary Material) via the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2014) in R statistical software (version 3.2.0, R Core Team 2015). Repeated measures of multiple plants within each cage, and differences in the number of replicate plants between years, were addressed by the random effect (1|cage). Temperature treatments were analysed as a categorical factor, to allow for simpler analysis and interpretation of complex non-linear relationships between temperature and pollination treatments. Plants within each cabinet were treated as independent replicates of a temperature treatment; the temperature treatment was the dominant factor affecting plants within each cabinet, and cabinets were randomly allocated to different temperature treatments between replicated experiments in 2013, and across years. Yield parameters that were calculated on a larger than plant level (yield benefit of pollination; yield variability), were analysed with ANOVA using the means of plants from each combination of flight cage and cabinet (Table S3, Supplementary Material). Analysis of yield benefit due to pollination included a weighting term (5 times higher weighting for 2014), as the single figure for 2014 was derived from 5 comparisons of cages containing and excluding insect pollinators. Year was considered a fixed effect in all models to assess the between-year variability.

To establish the effect of treatments on yield parameters (Table S3, Supplementary Material), maximal models, containing parameters: temperature, pollination, interaction of temperature and pollination, and year, were simplified by single term deletions tested with likelihood ratio tests (Shmueli, 2010). Single terms were dropped if $p > 0.05$. After all single term deletion tests had been performed, temperature treatment levels with similar model predicted estimates were grouped for simplicity of interpretation (Crawley, 2013), provided model explanatory power was not reduced ($p > 0.1$). Model residuals were checked for normality and heteroscedasticity, yield ratio was exponential-transformed and yield variability was square-root transformed to improve model fit. Effect sizes provided in the text are model parameter estimates, raw data values are provided in the figures and table 2.

3 Results

3.1 Yield parameters

Whole-plant yield and the yield benefit attributable to insect pollination were analysed to understand the response of faba bean plants to insect pollination following heat stress.

3.1.1 Per plant yield

The response of whole-plant yield to heat stress (Fig. 1A) was significantly modified by pollination ($p = 0.036$). Following the 30°C temperature treatment the yield of plants grown in cages without bees was reduced by 4.2g per plant (at least 15 %), while the yield of insect-pollinated plants was reduced by 0.8g (at least 2.5 %) compared to control temperatures. Yields of both insect-pollinated and excluded plants were reduced following the 34°C temperature treatment, with reductions of 7.6g and 6.7g compared to the respective control treatments. The heat wave scenario treatment of 26°C did not significantly differ from control temperatures 18 and 22°C, so these temperatures were grouped as one control level ($p = 0.539$) after significance of the treatments had been established.

211 3.1.2 Yield benefit from pollination

212 In addition to modifying the relationship of yield and heat stress in terms of absolute yield values, the
213 proportional yield benefit attributable to insect pollination (Fig. 1B) increased from 15.8% under
214 control temperatures (18, 22 and 26°C; grouping $p=0.591$) to 52.5% following the 30°C heat stress
215 treatment ($p=0.004$). Following exposure to 34°C, however, the benefit of pollination (15.8%) was
216 identical to control temperatures.

217 3.2 Fertilization and yield quality parameters

218 The number of beans per pod and per plant were analysed to assess changes in fertilization success.
219 To explore the mechanisms by which pollinators modified yield and their impact on yield quality,
220 yield allocation; yield ratio; yield variability; and mass of individual beans were analysed.

221 3.2.1 Bean and pod number

222 Bean number per plant (Table 2) was not affected by an interaction between temperature and
223 pollination treatments ($p=0.117$), however, temperature treatments of 30 and 34°C (18 to 26°C were
224 grouped, $p=0.101$) reduced bean number by 6.6 and 14.7 respectively ($p<0.001$), and plants excluded
225 from insect pollinators produced on average 6.9 (at least 12 %) fewer beans. Bean number per pod
226 (Table 2) was affected by an interaction between heat stress and pollination ($p<0.001$), each level of
227 temperature was significantly different. Pod number per plant (Table 2) was not affected by insect
228 pollination ($p=0.386$), but was reduced following the 30 and 34°C treatments ($p<0.001$).

229 3.2.2 Yield ratio and within-plant yield allocation

230 The first node to set pods moved away from those flowers present prior to stress with temperature
231 (Fig. 2A) and was 5.5 and 7.8 nodal positions higher following 30 and 34°C temperature treatments in
232 plants excluded from insect pollination, while smaller changes of 1.9 and 3.8 nodes were measured in
233 pollinated plants ($p=0.005$), each level of temperature was significantly different. Insect pollinated
234 plants produced around 3g less non-yield biomass (table 2) per plant ($p=0.030$) and non-yield biomass
235 was also reduced by an average of 3.5g per plant across both pollination treatments following the 30
236 and 34°C temperature treatments ($p=0.001$). There was no interaction between temperature and
237 pollination ($p=0.389$) and no significant difference between the two hottest treatments ($p=0.126$).
238 Yield ratio (Fig. 2B) of insect pollinated plants was approximately 20% higher following the 30°C
239 temperature treatment (interaction term; $p=0.001$).

240 3.2.3 Yield variability

241 The yield of plants within a combination of temperature treatment and flight cage was approximately
242 18% less variable in cages that contained bees, than in cages without bees (Table 2; $p=0.021$). The
243 coefficient of variation (standard deviation/mean) was unaffected by temperature treatments
244 ($p=0.488$) but changed between years of experimentation ($p<0.001$). Other yield parameters changed
245 between years; total yield mass per plant ($p<0.001$), bean number per pod ($p<0.001$) and per plant

246 ($p<0.001$) all differed between years, while the proportional benefit of pollination remained stable
247 between years ($p=0.784$).

248 3.2.4 Mass per bean and nitrogen content.

249 Thousand grain weight (*i.e.* individual bean mass * 1000) of insect pollinated plants increased by 45
250 and 55 g following the 30 and 34°C temperature treatments from 460.15g at control temperatures,
251 compared to an increase of 31 g and a decrease of 52 g measured in plants excluded from pollinators
252 (interaction term; $p=0.020$). Percentage nitrogen content was 0.18 higher following the 26, 30 and
253 34°C temperature treatments ($p=0.039$) and differed with year ($p=0.032$), though these differences are
254 small and equate to around a one percent change in protein content.

255 4 Discussion

256 The main aim of this study was to investigate interactions between heat stress and insect pollination
257 on the yield of faba bean. Our results suggest that sufficiently pollinated faba bean crops could have
258 less variable yields that are more resilient to heat stress. We measured an increase in the pollinator-
259 dependency of experimental plants with heat stress, from 16 % dependency at control temperatures, to
260 53 % dependency in plants exposed to 30°C treatment, before dropping back to 16 % dependency at
261 34°C. This change in the benefit of insect pollination occurred because following heat stress at 30°C,
262 yield losses of at least 15 % occurred in plants that were excluded from pollinators, while
263 significantly lower yield losses occurred in plants that were pollinated by *Bombus terrestris*. At 34°C,
264 female floral organs may have been damaged to the point that fertilization was not possible, or other
265 processes such as plant vegetative growth may have been affected so that bee-dependent yield
266 recovery could not be realised. Enhanced yield resilience to stress was a previously unknown benefit
267 of insect pollination. Experiments to compare the vulnerability of male and female floral organs have
268 however measured similar yield recovery following stress and the manual transfer (*e.g.* by hand) of
269 fertile pollen in tomato (Peet et al., 1998), oilseed rape (Young et al., 2004), common bean (Gross and
270 Kigel, 1994; Monterroso and Wien, 1990), and wheat (Briggs et al., 1999; Saini and Aspinall, 1982).
271 This suggests that there is potential for pollination to mitigate the negative effects of heat stress on
272 productivity of other insect-pollinated crops. It is interesting that yield increased during the three
273 years of our experimentation, this was likely due to continuous optimisation of growth conditions of
274 our potted plants. The benefit to yield or yield stability provided by insect pollination was conserved
275 across the range of faba bean productivity.

276 It is not clear from our experiment whether insect pollinators actually improved yield resilience to
277 heat stress by moving fertile pollen to pollen-deficient flowers (yield recovery by outcrossing). In
278 faba bean, a floral visit can either lead to outcrossing, or can facilitate within-flower self-pollination
279 by disrupting (tripping) a physical barrier between the stigma and anthers that otherwise prevents self-
280 pollination in some flowers (Kambal et al., 1976). Insect pollination may have simply facilitated
281 greater levels of self-pollination in flowers that were less damaged by the stress treatment. The
282 number of beans per plant, arguably a more direct measure of fertilization, was not augmented by

insect pollination to the same extent as yield mass. However, yield allocation was retained on lower, more productive floral nodes following heat stress in insect pollinated plants (and was retained closer to flowers present prior to stress), while yield at these nodes was lost in excluded plants. This may have promoted yield resilience through changes in resource use efficiency, which increased dramatically following the 30°C treatment in insect pollinated plants, contrasting with a reduction in excluded plants. Confirming the mechanism by which resilience occurred is important to effectively target interventions. We studied a single cultivar to control differences in outcrossing, but resilience could be higher in certain faba bean cultivars that increase outcrossing rate through *e.g.* high floral attractiveness to pollinators (Suso et al., 2005). If resilience is due to the increased outcrossing following heat stress, this could be established using a genetic approach (*e.g.* Ritland and Jain, 1981).

To understand the importance of beneficial interactions that we observed, it is useful to quantify the likelihood of extreme temperatures occurring during crop floral development and anthesis. However, while there is consensus among projections that heat waves are likely to become hotter and more frequent in the future (Donat and Alexander, 2012; Hansen et al., 2012; Kirtman et al., 2013; Seneviratne et al., 2012), projecting the absolute temperatures and timing of extreme events remains problematic and susceptible to bias (Seneviratne et al., 2012). Available projections for the UK suggest that heat waves (≥ 6 consecutive days with peak temperature $\sim 26^\circ\text{C}$) will increase from approximately a 1 in 5 year to a 1 in <2 year occurrence in summer months of the period 2021-2050 (Fischer and Schär, 2010), occurrences of rarer, hotter, heat waves are more difficult to predict and were not provided. Furthermore, directly relating our experimental temperature treatments to climate change scenarios relies on at least two other assumptions, i) that atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations $[\text{CO}_2]$ will not increase, or affect yield resilience, ii) that soil moisture will not limit plant evapotranspiration. Future $[\text{CO}_2]$ emissions greatly depend upon human actions, and impacts of increased $[\text{CO}_2]$ on crop production are variable (Ainsworth and Long, 2005). Drought is projected to increase in the future (Kirtman et al., 2013), so the temperature treatments of 30 and 34°C may represent stress levels that plants will experience at lower temperatures, if combined with low soil moisture (*e.g.* ‘compound events’; Seneviratne et al., 2012). Experimental plants were well watered and evaporative cooling undoubtedly increased the temperature at which yield reductions occurred (Alghabari et al., 2014; Lobell et al., 2011). Further work is required to quantify the relative likelihoods of stress levels represented by the 30 and 34°C treatments, to understand how frequently faba bean pollinator dependency will increase above typical levels.

The average yield benefit of insect pollination of approximately 16% that we measured at control temperatures falls within the range of other studies comparing faba bean plants in cages with and without insect pollinators *e.g.* 15% (Garratt et al., 2014); 26% (Ghamdi and Ghamdi, 2003) and 25% (Somerville, 1999). Higher reported benefits may be due to varietal differences, plant stress, or detrimental effects of bagging in experiments that compared yields of bagged plants with openly pollinated controls (Benachour et al., 2007; Free, 1993; Nayak et al., 2015). We found additional benefits of pollination across all tested temperatures, in agreement with existing literature, pollination increased the number of beans per plant (Ghamdi and Ghamdi, 2003) and per pod (Garratt et al.,

2014) indicating that improved fertilization enabled allocation of yield on lower nodes (Somerville, 1999; Suso et al., 1996). This can reduce lodging risk and improve uniformity of ripening (Stoddard, 1993), but did not affect seed nitrogen content (Bartomeus et al., 2014). Between-plant variability was high in all experiments but insect pollination reduced this variability in yield across all temperature treatments. This is of high importance as yield variability is a key concern for faba bean growers (e.g. Rubiales, 2010).

Our findings provide robust evidence that insect pollinators can elicit partial yield compensation following stress in faba bean, and therefore that pollinator dependency of faba bean and other self-compatible crops may increase with greater likelihood of heat stress during flowering. Our experimental methodology assumed that insect pollinators will be present, and able to provide this yield resilience benefit in the future. However, the current literature suggests that pollinator communities will be strongly affected by climate change (Kerr et al., 2015; Polce et al., 2014; Rasmont et al., 2015). More research is required to help understand (and mitigate) the threats of both gradual climate change on pollinator populations, and the effects of extreme weather on floral visitation by insect pollinators. With an eroded pollinator population in the future, methods to improve the interactions of crop plants and their pollinators (e.g. Garibaldi et al., 2014) will be further necessitated. In faba bean, evidence suggests that pollination services are higher and more stable when fields are closer to semi-natural habitats (Andersson et al., 2014; Garibaldi et al., 2011; Garratt et al., 2014; Nayak et al., 2015, but see Bartomeus et al., 2014). In landscapes where the natural pollinator community has been degraded, provision of managed pollinators to supplement wild pollinators may be the only feasible option to improve crop pollination. Supplementation with honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) can enhance yield (Stoddard, 1986) and has been shown to be economically viable in Australia (Cunningham and Le Feuvre, 2013). Further work is required to quantify the density and diversity of pollinators necessary to achieve optimal pollination in faba beans and also to determine whether the beneficial interactions that we measured occur in field conditions with a wild pollinator community. Beneficial interactions may be achieved with fairly low pollinator numbers; a study that controlled pollinator visits to individual flowers found no effect of visit number on pod set (Garratt et al., 2014).

This study was novel in exploring interactions between abiotic stress and insect pollination and their effects on crop yield production. In our experimental system, caged *Bombus terrestris* colonies contributed to a significant proportion of faba bean yield under all temperature treatments, and mitigated observed reductions in yield mass and some yield quality parameters (yield ratio, individual bean mass) following the 30°C heat stress treatment. Yield production became dramatically more dependent on insect pollination following the 30°C treatment, suggesting that insect pollination may become increasingly important with increasing incidence of heat stress. The potential impacts of this could be great in less developed countries where climate change is expected to have disproportionately large effects for food security (Porter et al., 2014) and where the cultivation of pollinator-dependent crops is higher (Aizen et al., 2009). Given that 75% of global crops benefit from insect pollination (Klein et al., 2007) it is important to understand how widespread this phenomenon

361 is for production stability. Our findings highlight the importance of understanding the threats to and
 362 conserving key pollinating species that may improve the resilience of crop production to projected
 363 climate change, in order to promote both current and future food security.

364 5 Acknowledgements

365 JB acknowledges financial support from Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council
 366 Grant BB/F01659X/1. We are very grateful to our floral scoring and yield processing teams, to CJ
 367 Hadley, JL Hansen for technical support, MJ Gooding and S Dumble for statistical advice, TD Breeze
 368 for comments on this manuscript, and to Wherry & Sons for supplying seed for all experiments.

369 6 References

- 370 Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P., 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment
 371 (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and
 372 plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytol.* 165, 351–372. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
 373 8137.2004.01224.x
- 374 Aizen, M.A., Garibaldi, L.A., Cunningham, S.A., Klein, A.M., 2009. How much does agriculture
 375 depend on pollinators? Lessons from long-term trends in crop production. *Ann. Bot.* 103, 1579–
 376 1588. doi:10.1093/aob/mcp076
- 377 Alghabari, F., Lukac, M., Jones, H.E., Gooding, M.J., 2014. Effect of Rht Alleles on the Tolerance of
 378 Wheat Grain Set to High Temperature and Drought Stress During Booting and Anthesis. *J.*
 379 *Agron. Crop Sci.* 200, 36–45. doi:10.1111/jac.12038
- 380 Andersson, G.K.S., Ekroos, J., Stjernman, M., Rundlöf, M., Smith, H.G., 2014. Effects of farming
 381 intensity, crop rotation and landscape heterogeneity on field bean pollination. *Agric. Ecosyst.*
 382 *Environ.* 184, 145–148. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.12.002
- 383 Bartomeus, I., Potts, S.G., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Vaissière, B.E., Woyciechowski, M., Krewenka,
 384 K.M., Tscheulin, T., Roberts, S.P.M., Szentgyörgyi, H., Westphal, C., Bommarco, R., 2014.
 385 Contribution of insect pollinators to crop yield and quality varies with agricultural
 386 intensification. *PeerJ* 2, e328. doi:10.7717/peerj.328
- 387 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using
 388 Eigen and S4. R package version 1.1-7, URL: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4>.
- 389 Benachour, K., Louadi, K., Terzo, M., 2007. Rôle des abeilles sauvages et domestiques
 390 (*Hymenoptera: Apoidea*) dans la pollinisation de la fève (*Vicia faba* L. var. major)(*Fabaceae*)
 391 en région de Constantine (Algérie). *Ann. Soc. Ent. Fr.* 43, 213–219.
- 392 Bennell, M.R., Cleugh, H.A., Leys, J.F., Hein, D., 2007. The effect of hot dry wind on the pod set of
 393 faba bean (*Vicia faba*) cv. Fiord: a preliminary wind tunnel study. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 47,
 394 1468–1475.
- 395 Briggs, K., Kiplagat, O., Johnson-Flanagan, A., 1999. Floret sterility and outcrossing in two spring
 396 wheat cultivars. *Can. J. plant* 79, 321–328.
- 397 Carré, G., Roche, P., Chifflet, R., Morison, N., Bommarco, R., Harrison-Cripps, J., Krewenka, K.,
 398 Potts, S.G., Roberts, S.P.M., Rodet, G., 2009. Landscape context and habitat type as drivers of
 399 bee diversity in European annual crops. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 133, 40–47.
 400 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.05.001

401 Carvalheiro, L.G., Kunin, W.E., Keil, P., Aguirre-Gutiérrez, J., Ellis, W.N., Fox, R., Groom, Q.,
402 Hennekens, S., Van Landuyt, W., Maes, D., Van de Meutter, F., Michez, D., Rasmont, P., Ode,
403 B., Potts, S.G., Reemer, M., Roberts, S.P.M., Schaminée, J., WallisDeVries, M.F., Biesmeijer,
404 J.C., 2013. Species richness declines and biotic homogenisation have slowed down for NW-
405 European pollinators and plants. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 870–878. doi:10.1111/ele.12121

406 Chen, W., 2009. Pollination, Fertilization and Floral Traits Co-Segregating with Autofertility in Faba
407 Bean. *J. New Seeds* 10, 14–30. doi:10.1080/15228860802594615

408 Crawley, M.J., 2013. *The R Book*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK.

409 Cunningham, S.A., Le Feuvre, D., 2013. Significant yield benefits from honeybee pollination of faba
410 bean (*Vicia faba*) assessed at field scale. *F. Crop. Res.* 149, 269–275.
411 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2013.05.019

412 Donat, M.G., Alexander, L. V., 2012. The shifting probability distribution of global daytime and
413 night-time temperatures. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39, 1–5. doi:10.1029/2012GL052459

414 Drayner, J.M., 1959. Self- and cross-fertility in field beans (*Vicia faba* Linn.). *J. Agric. Sci.*
415 Cambridge 53, 387–403.

416 European Parliament News, 2013. Background note: EU farm policy reform plans as voted by
417 Parliament. Accessed (04 Aug 2015). URL: [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/pdfs/news/
418 expert/background/20130124BKG59668/20130124BKG59668_en.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/pdfs/news/expert/background/20130124BKG59668/20130124BKG59668_en.pdf)

419 FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2015. FAOSTAT (Database).
420 Accessed (30 Jul 2015). URL: [http://data.fao.org/ref/262b79ca-279c-4517-93de-
421 ee3b7c7cb553.html?version=1.0](http://data.fao.org/ref/262b79ca-279c-4517-93de-ee3b7c7cb553.html?version=1.0)

422 Fischer, E.M., Schär, C., 2010. Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European
423 heatwaves. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 398–403. doi:10.1038/ngeo866

424 Free, J.B., 1993. *Insect Pollination Of Crops*, 2nd ed. Academic Press Limited, London.

425 Garibaldi, L.A., Carvalheiro, L.G., Leonhardt, S.D., Aizen, M.A., Blaauw, B.R., Isaacs, R.,
426 Kuhlmann, M., Kleijn, D., Klein, A.M., Kremen, C., Morandin, L., Scheper, J., Winfree, R.,
427 2014. From research to action: practices to enhance crop yield through wild pollinators. *Front.*
428 *Ecol. Environ.* 12, 439–447. doi:10.1890/130330

429 Garibaldi, L.A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kremen, C., Morales, J.M., Bommarco, R., Cunningham, S.A.,
430 Carvalheiro, L.G., Chacoff, N.P., Dudenhöffer, J.H., Greenleaf, S.S., Holzschuh, A., Isaacs, R.,
431 Krewenka, K., Mandelik, Y., Mayfield, M.M., Morandin, L.A., Potts, S.G., Ricketts, T.H.,
432 Szentgyörgyi, H., Viana, B.F., Westphal, C., Winfree, R., Klein, A.M., 2011. Stability of
433 pollination services decreases with isolation from natural areas despite honey bee visits. *Ecol.*
434 *Lett.* 14, 1062–1072. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01669.x

435 Garratt, M.P.D., Coston, D.J., Truslove, C.L., Lappage, M.G., Polce, C., Dean, R., Biesmeijer, J.C.,
436 Potts, S.G., 2014. The identity of crop pollinators helps target conservation for improved
437 ecosystem services. *Biol. Conserv.* 169, 128–135. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.11.001

438 Ghamdi, A. Al, Ghamdi, S. Al, 2003. The Impact Of Insect Pollinators On Yield And Yield
439 Components Of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 10, 56–63.

440 Gross, Y., Kigel, J., 1994. Differential sensitivity to high temperature of stages in the reproductive
441 development of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *F. Crop. Res.* doi:10.1016/0378-
442 4290(94)90112-0

- 443 Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., 2012. Perception of climate change. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
444 doi:10.1073/pnas.1205276109
- 445 Hedhly, A., 2011. Sensitivity of flowering plant gametophytes to temperature fluctuations. *Environ.*
446 *Exp. Bot.* 74, 9–16. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.03.016
- 447 Kambal, A.E., Bond, D.A., Toynbee-Clarke, G., 1976. A study on the pollination mechanism in field
448 beans (*Vicia faba* L.). *J. Agric. Sci.* 87, 519–526. doi:10.1017/S0021859600033128
- 449 Kerr, J.T., Pindar, A., Galpern, P., Packer, L., Potts, S.G., Roberts, S.M., Rasmont, P., Schweiger, O.,
450 Colla, S.R., Richardson, L.L., Wagner, D.L., Gall, L.F., Sikes, D.S., Pantoja, A., 2015. Climate
451 change impacts on bumblebees converge across continents. *Science* (80-.). 349, 177–180.
- 452 Kirtman, B., Power, S.B., Adedoyin, A.J., Boer, G.J., Bojariu, R., Camilloni, I., Doblas-Reyes, F.,
453 Fiore, A.M., Kimoto, M., Meehl, G., Prather, M., Sarr, A., Schär, C., Sutton, R., van
454 Oldenborgh, G., Vecchi, G., Wang, H.-J., 2013. Near-term Climate Change: Projections and
455 Predictability, in: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J.,
456 Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science*
457 *Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*
458 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
459 Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, Cambridge, United King, pp. 953–1028.
460 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.023
- 461 Klein, A.-M., Vaissière, B.E., Cane, J.H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S.A., Kremen, C.,
462 Tscharntke, T., 2007. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proc.*
463 *Biol. Sci.* 274, 303–13. doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3721
- 464 Köpke, U., Nemecek, T., 2010. Ecological services of faba bean. *F. Crop. Res.* 115, 217–233.
465 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2009.10.012
- 466 Lautenbach, S., Seppelt, R., Liebscher, J., Dormann, C.F., 2012. Spatial and temporal trends of global
467 pollination benefit. *PLoS One* 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035954
- 468 Lobell, D.B., Bänziger, M., Magorokosho, C., Vivek, B., 2011. Nonlinear heat effects on African
469 maize as evidenced by historical yield trials. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 1, 42–45.
470 doi:10.1038/nclimate1043
- 471 Luo, Q., 2011. Temperature thresholds and crop production: a review. *Clim. Change* 109, 583–598.
472 doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0028-6
- 473 Monterroso, V., Wien, H., 1990. Flower and pod abscission due to heat stress in beans. *J. Am. Soc.*
474 *Hortic. Sci.* 115, 631–634.
- 475 Nayak, G.K., Roberts, S.P.M., Garratt, M., Breeze, T.D., Tscheulin, T., Harrison-Cripps, J.,
476 Vogiatzakis, I.N., Stirpe, M.T., Potts, S.G., 2015. Interactive effect of floral abundance and
477 semi-natural habitats on pollinators in field beans (*Vicia faba*). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 199,
478 58–66. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2014.08.016
- 479 Peet, M.M., Sato, S., Gardner, R.G., 1998. Comparing heat stress effects on male-fertile and male-
480 sterile tomatoes. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 21, 225–231. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00281.x
- 481 Polce, C., Garratt, M.P., Termansen, M., Ramirez-Villegas, J., Challinor, A.J., Lappage, M.G.,
482 Boatman, N.D., Crowe, A., Endalew, A.M., Potts, S.G., Somerwill, K.E., Biesmeijer, J.C.,
483 2014. Climate-driven spatial mismatches between British orchards and their pollinators:
484 Increased risks of pollination deficits. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 2815–2828.
485 doi:10.1111/gcb.12577

Porter, J.R., Xie, L., Challinor, A.J., Cochrane, K., Howden, S.M., Iqbal, M.M., Lobell, D.B.,
Travasso, M.I., 2014. Food security and food production systems, in: Field, C.B., Barros, V.R.,
Dokken, D.J., Mach, K.J., Mastrandrea, M.D., Bilir, T.E., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K.L., Estrada,
Y.O., Genova, R.C., Girma, B., Kissel, E.S., Levy, A.N., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P.R.,
White, L.L. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A:
Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report
of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 485–533.

Processors and Growers Research Organisation, 2015. Winter bean recommended list. Accessed (30
Jul 2015). URL: <http://www.pgro.org/images/site/jan-2015/2015-Recommended-Lists.pdf/>.

R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: <http://www.R-project.org/>.

Rasmont, P., Franzén, M., Thomas Lecocq, A.H., Roberts, S.P.M., Biesmeijer, K., Castro, L.,
Cederberg, B., Dvořák, L., Fitzpatrick, Ú., Gonthier, Y., Haubruge, E., Mahé, G., Manino, A.,
Michez, D., Neumayer, J., Ødegaard, F., Paukkunen, J., Tadeusz Pawlikowski, S.G.P., Reemer,
M., Settele, J., Straka, J., Schweiger, O., 2015. Climatic Risk and Distribution Atlas of
European Bumblebees. Pensoft Publishers, Sofia.

Ritland, K., Jain, S., 1981. A model for the estimation of outcrossing rate and gene frequencies using
 n independent loci. *Heredity* (Edinb). 47, 35–52. doi:10.1038/hdy.1981.57

Rubiales, D., 2010. Faba beans in sustainable agriculture. *F. Crop. Res.* 115, 201–202.
doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2009.11.002

Saini, H., Aspinall, D., 1982. Abnormal sporogenesis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) induced by
short periods of high temperature. *Ann. Bot.* 49, 835–846.

Seneviratne, S., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C., Kanae, S., Kossin, J., Luo, Y., Marengo, J.,
McInnes, K., Rahimi, M., Reichstein, M., Sorteberg, A., Vera, C., Zhang, X., 2012. Changes in
climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment. *Manag. Risk Extrem.*
Events Disasters to Adv. Clim. Chang. Adapt. A Spec. Rep. Work. Groups I II IPCC, Annex
IIanaging Risks Extrem. Events Disasters to Adv. Clim. Chang. Adapt. 109–230.

Shmueli, G., 2010. To Explain or to Predict? *Stat. Sci.* 25, 289–310. doi:10.1214/10-STS330

Somerville, D., 1999. Honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) increase yields of faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) in
New South Wales while maintaining adequate protein requirements from faba bean pollen.
Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 39, 1001–1006.

Stoddard, F.L., 1993. Limits to Retention of Fertilized Flowers in Faba Beans (*Vicia faba* L.). *J.*
Agron. Crop Sci. 171, 251–259. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037X.1993.tb00137.x

Stoddard, F.L., 1986. Pollination and fertilization in commercial crops of field beans (*Vicia faba* L.).
J. Agric. Sci. 106, 89–97. doi:10.1017/S0021859600061785

Suso, M.J., Harder, L., Moreno, M.T., Maalouf, F., 2005. New strategies for increasing
heterozygosity in crops: *Vicia faba* mating system as a study case. *Euphytica* 143, 51–65.
doi:10.1007/s10681-005-2526-y

Suso, M.J., Moreno, M.T., Mondragao-Rodrigues, F., Cubero, J.I., 1996. Reproductive biology of
Vicia faba: role of pollination conditions. *F. Crop. Res.* 46, 81–91. doi:10.1016/0378-
4290(95)00089-5

528 Suso, M.J., Pierre, J., Moreno, M.T., Esnault, R., Le Guen, J., 2001. Variation in outcrossing levels in
529 faba bean cultivars: role of ecological factors. J. Agric. Sci. 136, 399–405.
530 doi:10.1017/S0021859601008851

531 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., Befort, B.L., 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable
532 intensification of agriculture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 20260–20264.
533 doi:10.1073/pnas.1116437108

534 Young, L., Wilen, R., Bonham-Smith, P., 2004. High temperature stress of *Brassica napus* during
535 flowering reduces micro- and megagametophyte fertility, induces fruit abortion, and disrupts
536 seed production. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 485–495. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh038

537

538

539

Figures and tables

540

541

Table 1: Summary of experimental designs, treatment combination refers to an individual combination of flight cage and controlled environment cabinet.

Year	Sow date	Plant number		Replicate Experiments	Flight cage specifications			Donor: experimental plant ratio
		Total	Per treatment combination		Location (lat, long)	Number	Dimensions (m)	
2012	8 Dec 11	100	10	1	Sonning Farm (51 48' N, 00 89' W)	2	2.4 x 2.4 x 2.1	3:1
2013	11 Jan 13	190 (570)	19 (57)	3	Plant Environment Lab (51 27' N, 00 56' W)	2 (6)	12.5 x 2.5 x 2.5	1:1
2014	13 Jan 14	200	4	1	Plant Environment Lab (51 27' N, 00 56' W)	10	2.5 x 2.5 x 2.5	1:1

542

543

544

545


Table 2: Absolute yield parameter values aggregated across experimental years and cages. Test statistics and p values provided are from likelihood ratio tests; χ^2 tests for mixed models or F tests for linear models, between candidate models following single-term deletions.

Treatments				Parameters (mean $\pm$ SEM)				
Temperature (day/night; °C)	Pollination	Bean number	Pod number	Beans per pod	Mass per bean (g)	Yield mass variability	% Nitrogen	Non-yield biomass (g)
18/10	Pollinated	51.9 $\pm$ 5.2	17.2 $\pm$ 1.8	2.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.510 $\pm$ 0.014	0.378 $\pm$ 0.080	4.295 $\pm$ 0.098	35.848 $\pm$ 1.953
	Exclusion	42.8 $\pm$ 5.8	15.5 $\pm$ 6.7	2.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.534 $\pm$ 0.018	0.425 $\pm$ 0.062	4.542 $\pm$ 0.066	37.566 $\pm$ 2.164
22/14	Pollinated	46.0 $\pm$ 4.5	15.4 $\pm$ 2.5	2.6 $\pm$ 0.2	0.521 $\pm$ 0.014	0.414 $\pm$ 0.047	4.398 $\pm$ 0.110	34.162 $\pm$ 0.927
	Exclusion	40.3 $\pm$ 4.7	15.6 $\pm$ 7.6	2.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.528 $\pm$ 0.019	0.430 $\pm$ 0.076	4.469 $\pm$ 0.143	34.018 $\pm$ 1.912
26/18	Pollinated	48.3 $\pm$ 4.6	17.0 $\pm$ 3.8	2.7 $\pm$ 0.0	0.494 $\pm$ 0.013	0.322 $\pm$ 0.054	4.560 $\pm$ 0.087	32.993 $\pm$ 1.299
	Exclusion	42.0 $\pm$ 5.1	16.8 $\pm$ 8.8	2.4 $\pm$ 0.1	0.565 $\pm$ 0.021	0.403 $\pm$ 0.075	4.624 $\pm$ 0.115	36.232 $\pm$ 1.419
30/22	Pollinated	43.7 $\pm$ 4.8	17.5 $\pm$ 4.1	2.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.591 $\pm$ 0.017	0.307 $\pm$ 0.049	4.512 $\pm$ 0.130	30.723 $\pm$ 1.988
	Exclusion	31.8 $\pm$ 3.0	15.0 $\pm$ 9.7	2.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.556 $\pm$ 0.020	0.496 $\pm$ 0.084	4.559 $\pm$ 0.090	35.238 $\pm$ 1.064
34/26	Pollinated	32.8 $\pm$ 5.2	14.5 $\pm$ 5.2	2.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.608 $\pm$ 0.023	0.432 $\pm$ 0.094	4.539 $\pm$ 0.089	27.445 $\pm$ 0.654
	Exclusion	31.5 $\pm$ 5.4	15.0 $\pm$ 10.6	2.1 $\pm$ 0.0	0.552 $\pm$ 0.022	0.570 $\pm$ 0.136	4.517 $\pm$ 0.078	33.135 $\pm$ 0.760
Treatment effects								
Interaction Pollination : Temperature		$\chi^2=5.671$; $p=0.117$	$\chi^2=3.441$; $p=0.487$	$\chi^2=26.91$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=7.873$; $p=0.005$	$F=0.703$; $p=0.593$	$\chi^2=6.7102$; $p=0.152$	$\chi^2=4.126$; $p=0.389$
Pollination		$\chi^2=5.178$; $p=0.023$	$\chi^2=0.753$; $p=0.386$	-	-	$F=5.508$; $p=0.021$	$\chi^2=0.6945$; $p=0.405$	$\chi^2=4.725$; $p=0.030$
Temperature		$\chi^2=118.84$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=33.175$; $p<0.001$	-	-	$F=0.865$; $p=0.488$	$\chi^2=10.100$; $p=0.039$	$\chi^2=16.181$; $p=0.003$
Year		$\chi^2=25.002$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=33.680$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=28.625$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=13.845$; $p<0.001$	$F=21.489$; $p<0.001$	$\chi^2=4.612$; $p=0.032$	-
Simplified temperature categories		18-26, 30, 34	18-26, 30, 34	-	18-26, 30, 34	-	18-22; 26-34	18-26, 30-34

546

547

548 **Figure 1:** Responses of yield parameters to heat stress and pollination treatments. Point styles
 549 represent pollination treatment and year, filled points = insect pollination; open points = exclusion;
 550 triangles = 2012; squares = 2013; circles = 2014. Points are jittered to aid viewing. **A:** Yield mass per
 551 plant. Lines represent model estimated means for each temperature category, for insect pollinated
 552 plants (solid line) or plants excluded from pollination (dashed line); **B:** Proportion of yield attributable
 553 to insect pollination (yield mass of insect pollinated plants/excluded plants). Line represents model
 554 estimated mean for each temperature category, dashed line indicates level at which yield mass of
 555 insect pollinated and excluded plants are equal.



558 **Figure 2:** Point styles represent pollination treatment and year, open points = exclusion (panel A1);
 559 filled points = insect pollination (panel A2). **A:** Distribution of yield on the primary stems of
 560 experimental plants in 2013 and 2014; lines are model predictions from generalised additive models
 561 restricted to 5 basis dimensions to produce readily comparable model fits, of the average yield mass
 562 per node per plant for separate heat stress treatments. Line styles represent different temperature
 563 treatments. Boxplot shows number of floral nodes on main stems with flowers present (counts
 564 included un-opened flowers at green bud stage) prior to temperature treatments, across all treatments.
 565 **B:** Yield ratio of plants in 2014. Lines represent model estimated mean for each temperature category.

