

Challenges in quantifying changes in the global water cycle

Article

Accepted Version

Hegerl, G. C., Black, E. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1344-6186>, Allan, R. P. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0264-9447>, Ingram, W. J., Polson, D., Trenberth, K. E., Chadwick, R. S., Arkin, P. A., Sarojini, B. B., Becker, A., Dai, A., Durack, P. J., Easterling, D., Fowler, H. J., Kendon, E. J., Huffman, G. J., Liu, C., Marsh, R., New, M., Osborn, T. J., Skliris, N., Stott, P. A., Vidale, P.-L. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1800-8460>, Wijffels, S. E., Wilcox, L. J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5691-1493>, Willett, K. M. and Zhang, X. (2015) Challenges in quantifying changes in the global water cycle. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96 (7). pp. 1097-1115. ISSN 1520-0477 doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00212.1 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/38063/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00212.1>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00212.1>

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00212.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

Challenges in quantifying changes in the global water cycle

Gabriele C. Hegerl¹, Emily Black², Richard P. Allan², William J. Ingram^{3,4}, Debbie Polson¹, Kevin E. Trenberth⁵, Robin S. Chadwick³, Phillip A. Arkin⁶, Beena Balan Sarojini², Andreas Becker⁷, Aiguo Dai^{8,5}, Paul J. Durack^{9,16}, David Easterling¹⁰, Hayley J. Fowler¹¹, Elizabeth J. Kendon³, George J. Huffman¹², Chunlei Liu², Robert Marsh¹³, Mark New¹⁴, Timothy J. Osborn¹⁵, Nikolaos Skliris¹³, Peter A. Stott³, Pier-Luigi Vidale², Susan E. Wijffels¹⁶, Laura J. Wilcox², Kate M. Willett³, Xuebin Zhang¹⁷

1. School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute, Kings Buildings, UK EH9 3JW.

2. Department of Meteorology, University of Reading/NCAS Climate

3. Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK.

4. Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, UK

5. NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA.

6. ESSIC, University of Maryland, College Park, College Park, MD

7. Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany

8. Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University at Albany, SUNY, Albany, NY, USA.

9. Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, USA.

10. NOAA/National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, NC.

11. School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, UK.

12. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA.

13. Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, UK

14. University of Cape Town, South Africa.

15. Climatic Research Unit, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK.

16. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Tasmania, Australia.

17. Climate Research Division, Environment Canada, Canada.

Corresponding Author: Gabriele Hegerl, gabi.hegerl@ed.ac.uk, GeoSciences, Grant Institute, Kings Buildings, W Mains Rd, Edinburgh, EH9 3JW, UK

32 **CAPSULE (35 words):**

33 **Human influences have likely already impacted the large-scale water cycle but**
34 **natural variability and observational uncertainty are substantial. It is essential to**
35 **maintain and improve observational capabilities to better characterize changes.**

36

37 **Abstract**

38 Understanding observed changes to the global water cycle is key to predicting future
39 climate changes and their impacts. While many datasets document crucial variables
40 such as precipitation, ocean salinity, runoff, and humidity, most are uncertain for
41 determining long-term changes. In situ networks provide long time-series over land but
42 are sparse in many regions, particularly the tropics. Satellite and reanalysis datasets
43 provide global coverage, but their long-term stability is lacking. However, comparisons
44 of changes among related variables can give insights into the robustness of observed
45 changes. For example, ocean salinity, interpreted with an understanding of ocean
46 processes, can help cross-validate precipitation. Observational evidence for human
47 influences on the water cycle is emerging, but uncertainties resulting from internal
48 variability and observational errors are too large to determine whether the observed
49 and simulated changes are consistent. Improvements to the in situ and satellite
50 observing networks that monitor the changing water cycle are required, yet continued
51 data coverage is threatened by funding reductions. Uncertainty both in the role of
52 anthropogenic aerosols, and due to large climate variability presently limits confidence
53 in attribution of observed changes.

1. Introduction

Climate change, alongside increased demand for water (World Water Development Report 2003; WHO/UNICEF 2011), is projected to increase water scarcity in many regions over the next few decades (e.g., Arnell et al. 2013; Kundzewicz et al. 2007). Extremes linked to the water cycle, such as droughts, heavy rainfall and floods, already cause substantial damage (e.g. Lazo et al. 2011; Peterson et al., 2012; 2013) and such events are expected to increase in severity and frequency (Dai 2011a, 2013a; IPCC 2012, Collins et al. 2013a).

Better management of water resources and adaptation to expected changes require reliable predictions of the water cycle. Such predictions must be grounded in the changes already observed. This requires quantification of long-term large-scale changes in key water cycle variables, and estimation of the contribution from natural climate variability and external forcings, including through studies that are referred to as detection and attribution (see Stott et al., 2010; Hegerl and Zwiers 2011). Successful examples of detection and attribution are reported in Bindoff et al. (2013).

We discuss how well the available observing capability can capture expected changes in the global water cycle, including the increasing water content of the atmosphere, strengthening of climatological precipitation minus evaporation (P-E) patterns, the pronounced spatial structure and sharp gradients in precipitation change, and increases of extreme precipitation. We also discuss the challenges inherent in combining an incomplete observational record with imperfect climate models, to detect anthropogenic changes in the water cycle.

Drawing on discussions from a workshop held at the University of Reading, U.K. in June 2012, we focus on long-term large-scale changes in a few key variables that are both potentially related to climate change, and essential for diagnosing changes in the global water cycle. These include humidity, precipitation, P-E, and salinity. We also give recommendations that will lead towards more robust predictions and identification of the human influence on recent observed changes. It is beyond the scope of this paper to provide a full review of water cycle changes, or to discuss regional changes (see Parker 2013; Collins et al. 2013b), changes in the biosphere and cryosphere, river discharge (see Dai et al. 2009), or drought (see Dai 2011a, 2011b, 2013; Trenberth et al. 2014).

We briefly describe the expected physical changes, before discussing the challenges of observing such changes with present observational capabilities, globally, as well as over ocean and land separately. We also discuss how physically consistent a picture these observations draw, and conclude with recommendations to ensure continued and improved ability to document the changing water cycle. The supplement provides more information on available observational data and quality control procedures.

2. Expected changes in the global water cycle

Changes in the hydrological cycle are an expected consequence of anthropogenic climate change. The Clausius-Clapeyron relationship suggests a strong quasi-exponential increase in water vapor concentrations with warming at about 6-7%/K near the surface. This is consistent with observations of change over the ocean (e.g., Trenberth et al. 2005; Dai 2006a; Chung et al., 2014) and land (Dai 2006b; Willett et al. 2010), and with simulations of future changes (e.g., Allen and Ingram 2002) and

99 assumes that on large scales the relative humidity changes little, as generally expected
100 (see Sherwood et al. 2010; Allen and Ingram, 2002) and approximately seen in models
101 (Richter and Xie 2008; Collins et al. 2013a). Locally, however, relative humidity changes
102 may arise where large-scale circulation patterns alter, or when moisture sources are
103 limited over land (e.g., Dai 2006; Vicente-Serrano et al. 2013).

104 *Changes in global mean precipitation* are limited by the energy budget, both through
105 evaporation and the ability of the atmosphere to radiate away the latent heat released
106 when precipitation forms (e.g., Trenberth 2011; O’Gorman et al. 2012). This largely
107 explains why global mean precipitation increases by only 2-3% per K of warming in
108 climate models (the ‘hydrological sensitivity’; see Figure 1). Broadly, the radiative effect
109 of greenhouse gas forcing reduces the global precipitation increase driven by warming
110 itself (e.g., Bony et al., 2013), while the direct radiative effect of aerosols that scatter
111 rather than absorb sunlight does not influence the rate at which precipitation increases
112 with warming. Figure 1 illustrates this for climate models run under the Coupled Model
113 Intercomparison Project 5 (CMIP5) protocol (Taylor et al. 2012) for the 20th century,
114 and for 4 standard scenarios for the 21st century. These range from RCP8.5, a high-
115 emissions scenario, to RCP2.6, a low-emissions scenario (see Collins et al. 2013a). With
116 stronger greenhouse gas forcing, global-mean temperature and precipitation both
117 increase more, but the hydrological sensitivity becomes slightly smaller (see also Wu et
118 al. 2010; Johns et al. 2011). Pendergrass and Hartmann (2014) show that the spread in
119 CMIP5 model response of precipitation to increases in carbon dioxide is related to
120 differences in atmospheric radiative cooling, which are in turn related to changes in
121 temperature profiles and water vapor amounts. Forced changes in global-mean

precipitation are expected to be relatively small at present (Fig. 1b) and are therefore hard to distinguish from natural variability.

Spatial patterns are important both for identifying fingerprints of forced changes in precipitation and for impacts. Since global-mean evaporation and precipitation are expected to increase more slowly with temperature than implied by water vapor content, this implies slightly increased water vapor residence times and reduced atmospheric mass convergence (Vecchi et al. 2006; Held and Soden 2006). However, increasing water vapor more than offsets the weakened atmospheric wind convergence in the tropics (Vecchi et al. 2006; Held and Soden 2006; Allan 2012; Kitoh et al. 2013). Thus, where E exceeds P in the mean (such as over the sub-tropical oceans), it would do so even more, while areas where P exceeds E (such as the Intertropical Convergence Zone, ITCZ, and high latitudes) would receive yet more precipitation excess (Manabe and Wetherald 1980; Held and Soden 2006; Seager and Naik 2012; Bengtsson et al. 2011, Bintanja and Selton, 2014). Simulations of future climate changes broadly confirm this, particularly when zonally averaged (see Fig. 2, bottom panel) and show rainfall generally increasing at latitudes and seasons that currently have high rainfall and less in dry regions (Collins et al. 2013a). This ‘wet get wetter, dry get drier’ paradigm involves a range of atmospheric processes, including an increased vertical gradient of atmospheric water vapor, which leads to intensified convective events in the deep tropics (see Chou et al. 2009).

However, simple P-E enhancement does not necessarily apply to dry land, where moisture is limited (Greve et al. 2014). It also does not hold true at regional scales, where atmospheric circulation changes may displace the geographical positions of

145 "wet" and "dry" regions (Xie et al., 2010; Chadwick et al., 2013; Allan 2014). GCMs
146 generally simulate an expansion of the Hadley Cells as the globe warms, with associated
147 poleward migration of subtropical aridity and storm tracks, but the size varies, and
148 there is limited agreement on the mechanisms (Yin 2005; Lu et al. 2007; Seidel et al.
149 2008; Scheff and Frierson 2012a, 2012b).

150 *Anthropogenic aerosol effects* counteract some of the anticipated greenhouse-gas driven
151 warming, and hence the associated increase in precipitation (Liepert et al., 2004; Wu et
152 al., 2013). Aerosols reduce the available energy for evaporation, and absorbing aerosols
153 such as black carbon locally heat the atmosphere, effectively short-circuiting the
154 hydrological cycle. Pendergrass and Hartmann (2012) show how black carbon forcing
155 influences the inter-model spread in global-mean precipitation change in CMIP3
156 models. The aerosol indirect effect may account for almost all aerosol cooling in models
157 (Zelinka et al. 2014), and so be key to the aerosol-driven decrease in precipitation
158 (Liepert et al., 2004; Levy et al 2013), although this is model-dependent (e.g., Shindell et
159 al., 2012). The radiative effect of anthropogenic aerosols is also expected to affect the
160 spatial pattern of precipitation and evaporation changes. As surface emissions of
161 aerosol are spatially heterogeneous, and atmospheric residence times are relatively
162 short, the direct radiative impact of aerosol is geographically variable, with the largest
163 concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere (NH). The geographical heterogeneity of
164 aerosol distribution is expected to affect the interhemispheric temperature gradient,
165 and hence the atmospheric circulation – which should shift the ITCZ (e.g., Rotstayn et al.
166 2000; Ming and Ramaswamy 2011; Hwang et al. 2013) and change the width of the
167 Hadley cell (Allen et al. 2012). Models' representation of aerosols, and their
168 interactions with clouds in particular, affect their ability to reproduce trends in the

interhemispheric temperature gradient (e.g. Chang et al., 2011; Wilcox et al. 2013). Modeling studies also suggest that aerosols may have contributed to the drying of the Sahel from 1940 to 1980 (Rotstayn and Lohmann, 2002; Ackerley et al. 2011; Hwang et al. 2013; Dong et al. 2014), and influence the East Asian monsoon (e.g. Lau et al. 2006; Meehl et al. 2008; Bollasina et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2012), and mid-latitude precipitation (Leibensperger et al. 2012; Rotstayn et al. 2012).

Stratospheric aerosols from explosive volcanic eruptions also influence the water cycle. Sharp reductions in observed global-mean land precipitation and stream flow were observed after the Mt Pinatubo eruption in 1991 (Trenberth and Dai 2007) and other 20th century eruptions (Gu et al. 2007). This effect is particularly evident in climatologically wet regions, where the observed reduction in precipitation following eruptions appears significantly larger than simulated (Iles et al. 2014). Volcanoes may also contribute to regional drought by influencing the inter-hemispheric energy budget (e.g., Haywood et al. 2013).

3. Observing and attributing changes in the global-scale water cycle

Increases in atmospheric moisture are a key fingerprint of climate change. *Surface specific humidity* at global scales is reasonably well observed over land since 1973 (HadISDH; Willett et al., 2013), and over ocean since 1971 (NOVSv2.0; Berry and Kent 2009, 2011) using in situ data (for measurement techniques and more background as well as dataset information, see supplement); and results are quite robust across different data products (e.g., Dai 2006; Willett et al. 2007, 2013). Combined land and ocean surface specific humidity over the 1973-1999 period shows widespread

192 increases. This change has been attributed mainly to human influence (Willett et al.
193 2007). As expected, globally, changes in *relative humidity* between 1973 and 1999 are
194 small or negative (Hartmann et al., 2013). Since 2000, however, a decrease has been
195 observed over land,- likely related to the greater warming of land relative to the ocean
196 (Joshi et. al., 2008; Simmons et al., 2010; Willett et al., 2014).

197 In situ measurements of *atmospheric humidity* from radiosonde data provide time-
198 series of Total Column Water Vapor (TCWV) from the 1950s. Increasing water vapor is
199 apparent although spatial sampling is limited and temporal inhomogeneities are
200 problematic (Dai et. al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2012). Global-scale patterns of change became
201 observable only when the satellite era began. Since the 1980s, near-global satellite-
202 based estimates of TCWV over the ice-free oceans and of clear-sky upper tropospheric
203 relative humidity have allowed variability in tropospheric water vapor to be explored
204 (e.g., Trenberth et al. 2005; Chung et al. 2014). The satellite-based Special Sensor
205 Microwave Imager (SSM/I) TCWV data for 1988-2006 has enabled a robust
206 anthropogenic fingerprint of increasing specific humidity to be detected over the oceans
207 (Santer et al. 2007; 2009).

208 Satellite-based sensors, in combination with in situ data for best results, provide the
209 only practical means for monitoring precipitation over land and ocean combined (e.g.,
210 Fig 1). Satellite precipitation passive retrievals are restricted to the thermal infrared
211 (IR) and microwave (MW) spectral bands. IR-based estimates are available from
212 geostationary satellites at high frequency, but have modest skill at instantaneous
213 rainfall intensity (e.g., Kidd and Huffman, 2011). Passive MW data, available since mid-
214 1987, have made precipitation retrievals more reliable, and are particularly successful

215 over oceans. Retrievals over land are more approximate, since coasts and complex
216 terrain increase uncertainty, and the accuracy of current algorithms deteriorates
217 polewards of 50°. The latter is because these algorithms are tuned to lower-latitude
218 conditions and because they cannot identify precipitation over snowy/icy surfaces.

219 Combined-satellite algorithms have been developed to merge individual estimates,
220 either as relatively coarse-resolution, long-period climate data records (the Global
221 Precipitation Climatology Project, GPCP, monthly dataset on a 2.5°x2.5°
222 latitude/longitude grid begins in 1979; Adler et al. 2003), or, alternatively, as high-
223 resolution precipitation products that start with the launch of the Tropical Rainfall
224 Measuring Mission (TRMM) in late 1997 and will be continued with the successful
225 launch of the Global Precipitation Mission (GPM) in early 2014. A recently released
226 high-resolution dataset covers a somewhat longer period (Funk et al, 2014). Some
227 products use rain-gauge data, where available, as input and to calibrate satellite-based
228 rainfall estimates (Huffman et al. 2007). Therefore, satellite-derived products are not all
229 independent of in situ data, and trends based on the satellite record may be affected by
230 inhomogeneities in both the satellite and the surface data used (Maidment et al, 2014).

231 The satellite record has been very useful for understanding precipitation changes. A
232 study sampling blended satellite observations of the wet and dry regimes as they shift
233 spatially from year to year indicates enhanced seasonality (Chou et al. 2013), while Liu
234 and Allan (2013) found tropical ocean precipitation increased by 1.7%/decade for the
235 wettest 30% of the tropics in GPCP data, with declines over the remaining, drier, regions
236 of -3.4%/decade for 1988-2008. Polson et al. (2013b) detected the fingerprint of a
237 strengthening contrast of wet and dry regions in the GPCP satellite record since 1988,

and attributed this change largely to greenhouse gas increases. Marvel and Bonfils (2013) arrive at a similar conclusion, explicitly accounting for circulation changes and using the full record. Some of the changes detected in observations were significantly larger than modelled, for example, in wet regions over ocean (Polson et al. 2013b; see also Chou et al. 2013; Liu and Allan 2013).

Atmospheric reanalyses provide a global 3-dimensional and multi-decadal representation of changes in atmospheric circulation, fluxes and water vapor by assimilating observations (satellite, in situ, radiosondes, etc) into numerical weather prediction models. Notably, global quasi-observed P-E estimates are available only from reanalyses. Reanalyses, however, are affected by biases in the models and by long-term inhomogeneity of the observations, particularly, changing input data streams (Trenberth et al. 2005, 2011; Dee et al. 2011; Allan et al. 2014). These factors lead to inconsistencies between reanalyses and substantial uncertainties in their long-term trends; uncertainties that can be explored by using water budget closure constraints (e.g., Trenberth and Fasullo 2013a, b). The issues of long-term homogeneity will be improved in future developments (e.g. ERA-CLIM, <http://www.era-clim.eu>).

In conclusion, the satellite record is essential for monitoring the changing water cycle on a near-global scale, while future climate quality reanalyses hold considerable promise. Uncertainty estimates on long-term trends are difficult to provide (see supplement) but would be very useful.

4. Interpreting changes over ocean

260 Changes in P-E and precipitation by climate models are particularly consistent over the
261 oceans (Fig. 1b; Meehl et al. 2007; Bony et al. 2013). In terms of observations, in
262 addition to the satellite record, limited in situ records are available, such as evaporation
263 analyses (although fraught with discontinuities and global lack of closure) (Yu and
264 Weller 2007; Yu et al. 2008) and precipitation from island stations and buoys (e.g., CRU,
265 precipitation data as used in Josey and Marsh 2005). Overall, however, the in situ
266 observations lack the spatial and temporal coverage needed to measure global changes
267 (see Xie and Arkin 1998 for precipitation), and satellite and reanalysis data are
268 consequently indispensable.

269 Both evaporation and precipitation affect local sea surface salinity. Thus, patterns and
270 changes in the net freshwater flux, P-E, contribute to its temporal variations, and long-
271 term changes to ocean salinity provide an important independent measurement from
272 which the water cycle can be monitored. It should be noted, however, that in-situ ocean
273 salinity is strongly influenced by changes to the ocean' circulation (which is influenced
274 by ocean warming and surface wind changes), and thus that care must be taken when
275 using in-situ salinity to infer P-E (Durack and Wijffels 2010; Skliris et al. 2014).

276 Ocean salinity observations have been made since the late 19th century by research
277 cruises. Historical observational coverage is, however, sparse in the early part of the
278 record, with near-global coverage achieved only recently (Supplementary Fig. 1), largely
279 due to the Argo network of 3600 free-drifting floats initiated in 1999 (Freeland et al.
280 2010). These floats measure the salinity and temperature of the upper 2000 m of the
281 global ocean almost in real time. The Aquarius and Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity (SMOS)

satellite missions have provided global estimates of ocean surface salinity since late 2009 and June 2011 respectively.

The observed pattern of salinity change at high latitudes and in the subtropics is broadly consistent with the expected changes in P-E, although the observational uncertainty is also clear (Fig. 3). These observed changes, broadly speaking, reflect an amplification of the climatological pattern of salinity – with salty regions getting saltier, and fresh regions getting fresher (Durack et al. 2012; Skliris et al. 2014). Observed salinity changes in the Atlantic and Pacific Ocean since the mid-20th century have been found to be outside the range of internal climate variability in model simulations, and have been attributed to anthropogenic influences (e.g. Stott et al. 2008; Terray et al. 2012; Pierce et al. 2012). The attribution of salinity changes to anthropogenic factors was important evidence for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)’s conclusion that there has been ‘likely’ a human contribution to the changing water cycle (see Bindoff et al., 2013). However, further work is required to better understand the effects of unforced variability on ocean salinity and their influence on the patterns of reported long-term changes,

It is essential that satellite-based, ship-based and Argo float measurements continue to monitor the ocean. Reliance on a single record type would hamper the identification of errors introduced by changes in coverage and measurement methods.

5. Interpreting changes over land

Over land, in situ data provide a long-term record of changing humidity and precipitation. However, the lack of reliable homogeneous terrestrial evapo-

transpiration data hampers studies of changes in the terrestrial water balance. Flux towers provide direct measurements of water, energy and carbon fluxes at a few points, but only for short periods (typically 5-15 years – e.g., Blyth et al. 2011). Pan evaporation can easily be diagnosed from general circulation climate models (GCMs; as “potential evaporation”) and effectively measures evaporative demand, which is very relevant to some crops and natural ecosystems. Long time-series would therefore be valuable (e.g. Greve et al. 2014), but measurements are sparse, and as it is not part of the actual energy or moisture budget it cannot be deduced from other measurements. Pan evaporation has decreased in many regions studied (related, at least partly, to wind stilling; McVicar et al. 2012), in contrast to actual evapotranspiration measured at Fluxnet sites, which increased until recently (Hartmann et al. 2013). Inferring evaporation from the atmospheric moisture budget in reanalyses (Trenberth et al. 2011; Trenberth and Fasullo 2013b) is the most realistic option to analyse large-scale changes in P-E over land. As was mentioned above, however, reanalyses are affected by model error and their trends by changing data streams, and thus reanalysis evaporation data should be treated with caution.

The most widely used record of the changing water cycle over land is from long-term precipitation station data (e.g. Peterson and Vose 1997; Menne et al. 2012). Several gridded products are available (see Supplementary Table 1; Harris et al. 2014; Becker et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2007), of which this paper shows three that have been processed differently, some completely interpolating precipitation over land (GPCC, Becker et al., 2013; CRU; Harris et al., 2014; with information on support available), or only providing values where long-term stations are available (Zhang et al., 2007). An additional dataset (VASCLIMO, Beck et al. 2005) uses a subset of GPCC stations that are considered long-

term and homogeneous. Figure 4 shows the density of the station network used in the CRU dataset, supplementary Fig. 2 for GPCC. Generally, data availability increased until 1990, but has dropped since, especially in the tropics. For the GPCC this dramatic drop occurs a decade later. Country-specific readiness to share data is the biggest constraint for data density in the most recent decade.

The gridded precipitation datasets available vary also in their methods of quality control and homogenization (see Supplementary Material). This diversity leads to substantial differences in trends and discrepancies between datasets, and contributes to our uncertainty in how drought has changed (Trenberth et al. 2014).

Figure 5 illustrates similarities and differences in precipitation change from these datasets for high latitudes, and Figure 2, upper panel, for zonal mean changes. The zonal mean increase in northern high latitudes shown by most datasets (with the exception of the GPCC Full Data V6 dataset, which was not constructed with long-term homogeneity as a priority) agrees with expectation (see Fig. 2, lower panel), and is supported by Arctic regional studies (Rawlins et al. 2010). Min et al. (2008) detected the response to anthropogenic forcing in the observed moistening of northern high latitudes, using the Zhang et al. (2007) dataset. Figure 5, however, suggests substantial observational uncertainty, which may be partly due to coverage and data processing, and may contain a small contribution by changing liquid-to-solid ratio of precipitation (see discussion in supplement).

A substantial fraction of the differences between zonal changes recorded in different datasets can be explained by differences in spatial coverage (Polson et al. 2013a). The IPCC 5th Assessment report concluded that there is ‘*medium*’ confidence in precipitation

352 change averaged over land after 1951 (and lower confidence before 1951) due to data
353 uncertainty (Hartmann et al. 2013). Simulated changes in land precipitation are also
354 uncertain, as evident from Fig. 1 (right panel).

355 The incomplete spatial coverage of precipitation changes in observations tends to
356 increase noise and hence delay detection of global and large-scale changes (e.g., for
357 precipitation changes, Balan Sarojini et al. 2012; Trenberth et al. 2014; note that in
358 detection and attribution, only regions covered by observed data are analysed in both
359 models and observations). Since station-based records are point measurements and
360 precipitation tends to be highly variable spatially (e.g., Osborn, 1997), many stations are
361 required to correctly reflect large-scale precipitation trends (e.g., Wan et al. 2013). In
362 general, the variability in grid cells based on few stations is higher than if a larger
363 number of stations are used, and changes may be recorded incompletely (see Zhang et
364 al., 2007).

365 Despite these difficulties, zonal-mean precipitation changes agree better with the
366 expected response to forcing than expected by chance, and show detectable changes for
367 boreal winter and spring data (Polson et al. 2013a), as well as for annual data (see Fig. 2;
368 Zhang et al. 2007; Polson et al. 2013a) for most datasets. These findings contributed to
369 the IPCC 5th assessment's conclusion of 'medium confidence' that a human influence on
370 global-scale land precipitation change is emerging (Bindoff et al. 2013). Wu et al. (2013)
371 argue that the lack of an increase in Northern Hemispheric (NH) land precipitation over
372 the last century is because aerosols induce a reduction in precipitation that counteracts
373 the increase in precipitation expected from increases in greenhouse gases.

Due to data uncertainty, it is currently difficult to decide whether observed precipitation changes are larger than model simulated changes (Polson et al. 2013a). Averaging across mis-located precipitation features in models may reduce the magnitude of multi-model mean simulated precipitation change. This bias can be reduced by expressing changes relative to climatological precipitation (Noake et al., 2011; Liu and Allan, 2013; Polson et al. 2013b; Marvel and Bonfils, 2013), or by morphing model changes onto observed features (Levy et al. 2013a). However, in some cases, results still show observed changes that are large compared to model simulations (e.g., Polson et al. 2013a,b).

In summary, the record over land is extensive in time, but has serious limitations in spatial coverage and homogeneity. The drop in availability of recent in situ precipitation data (Fig. 4; supplementary Fig. 2) is of real concern. Data are particularly sparse in the tropics and subtropics, where substantial and spatially variable changes are expected. In addition to improving gauge density, more data-rescue funding and improved data-sharing practices and capabilities would help to address this problem.

6. Intensification of precipitation extremes

Since storms are fuelled by moisture convergence, storm-related extremes are expected to increase in a moister atmosphere (Emanuel 1999; Trenberth et al. 2003). It is less clear how large this increase will be, as limited moisture availability over land and possible stabilization of atmospheric temperature profiles tend to reduce the empirically derived response in precipitation extremes below the Clausius-Clapeyron-based increase in water vapor of 6-7%/K, while feedbacks of increased latent heat

397 release on storm intensity may amplify the response for sub-daily precipitation
398 extremes (Lenderink and van Meijgaard 2008; Berg et al. 2013; Westra et al. 2014).
399 Overall, under global warming, a substantial increase in the intensity of the stronger
400 storms and precipitation events is expected. This increase is expected to be larger for
401 more intense events (see Allen and Ingram 2002; Pall et al. 2011; Kharin et al. 2013;
402 IPCC 2012), and is a robust fingerprint for the detection of climate change (Hegerl et al.
403 2004).

404 This larger increase in intense precipitation than annual total precipitation implies light
405 or no rain must become more common, suggesting longer dry spells and increased risk
406 of drought, exacerbated by increased potential evapotranspiration (Trenberth et al.
407 2003). How this intensification of extremes of the water cycle will be expressed is
408 uncertain, as climate models still struggle to properly depict the diurnal cycle,
409 frequency, intensity, and type of precipitation (see Flato et al. 2013), a problem which
410 may be improved in part with the use of higher resolutions (e.g. Kendon et al. 2012;
411 Strachan et al. 2013; Demory et al. 2014; Arakawa et al. 2011). Accurate representation
412 of local storm dynamics may be an essential requirement for predicting changes to
413 convective extremes (Kendon et al. 2014).

414 Worldwide in situ data for analysing changes in daily precipitation extremes have been
415 collected by the CLIVAR Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (Donat
416 et al. 2013). However, the record is far from complete in covering the global land
417 masses, and is particularly sparse in key tropical regions. Increases in precipitation
418 intensity have been identified in observations over many land regions (Fowler and
419 Kilsby 2003; Groisman et al., 2005; Min et al. 2011; Zolina et al. 2010). Analysis of

observed annual maximum 1-day precipitation over land areas with sufficient data samples indicates an increase with global mean temperature of about 6-8%/K; Westra et al. 2013). Min et al. (2011) and Zhang et al. (2013) report detection of human influence on widespread intensification of extreme precipitation over NH land, although with substantial uncertainty in data and estimates of internal variability. Observed responses of daily precipitation extremes to interannual variability (e.g., Liu and Allan 2012) potentially offer a constraint on climate change projections for future changes in extremes (O’Gorman 2012).

Characterizing sub-daily precipitation variability is difficult on large scales, given the limitations of the satellite record (see above), and agreement is poorer on short timescales than for multi-day averages (Liu and Allan 2012). However, a number of regional studies show recent increasing sub-daily precipitation intensities in response to rising temperatures (e.g., Lenderink and van Meijgaard 2008; Utsumi et al. 2011; see Westra et al., 2014). In the future, radar data exchanged globally show promise, if remaining technical and administrative problems can be resolved (e.g., Winterrath et al. 2012a, 2012b; Michelson et al. 2013; Berg et al. 2013).

In short, it is essential to observe precipitation extremes to understand changing precipitation characteristics and quantify human-induced changes. However, uncertainties are substantial, and temporal and spatial scales reliably observable at present fall short of what is necessary for characterizing global changes.

7. The challenge of climate variability

442 Natural variability generated within the climate system can cause multi-decadal
443 features in precipitation that are difficult to separate from the response to long-term
444 forcing – especially in view of the relatively short observational record (e.g., Dai 2013).
445 When determining if an observed change is significant relative to climate variability, a
446 large sample of variability realizations from climate model simulations is generally
447 used, since the observed record is short. However, discrepancies between simulated
448 precipitation variability and that estimated from observations are substantial,
449 particularly in the tropics (Zhang et al. 2007, see supplement) because of a combination
450 of observational and model limitations. This introduces substantial uncertainty in
451 detection and attribution results, even when model estimates of variance are doubled
452 (as is often done; e.g., Zhang et al. 2007; Polson et al. 2013a). Long-term observed data
453 obtained, for example, through data rescue are critical when evaluating simulations of
454 multi-decadal variability (www.oldweather.org; www.met-acre.org, Allan et al. 2011).

455 Figure 6 illustrates how natural modes can induce apparent trends in precipitation over
456 large regions (after Dai 2013). The Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation index (IPO; closely
457 related to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Liu 2012), for example, corresponds to an
458 index of Southwest U.S. precipitation in observations and model experiments forced by
459 sea surface temperatures (e.g. Schubert et al. 2009). This suggests that both an increase
460 in Southwest U.S. precipitation from the late 1940s to early 1980s, and a subsequent
461 decrease are largely caused by internal variability. El Niño and the IPO also influence
462 precipitation patterns globally (Gu and Adler 2012; Dai 2013), which can influence
463 trends over short periods such as those from satellites (Polson et al. 2013b; Liu and
464 Allan 2013). This strong climate variability makes it difficult to detect the expected

long-term regional precipitation response to greenhouse gas forcing using historical data (see also Deser et al. 2012).

For understanding and attributing changes in the water cycle it is therefore important to account carefully for natural decadal climate variability, be it internally generated or volcanically forced. This is particularly true when using short records. Because unforced internal variability is realization-dependent, discrepancies between model-based and observed records of variability should be expected and need to be accounted for in comparing models with observations for climatology, variability and trends.

8. Conclusions and Recommendations

There is strong evidence that changes are underway in aspects of the water cycle, which are consistent with theoretical expectations of the hydrological response to increased greenhouse gases and a warming planet. Many aspects of water cycle change, however, remain uncertain owing to small expected signals relative to the noise of natural variability, limitations of climate models, and short and inhomogeneous observational datasets.

Uncertainty may be reduced by cross-validating changes between multiple datasets and across variables, by putting these comparisons in the context of the theoretical expectation of the response of the water cycle to global climate change, and by exploring closure constraints. The observations, for example, suggest increases in high latitude precipitation, global-scale atmospheric humidity, and precipitation extremes that are consistent with expected changes. Furthermore, satellite data show signals of precipitation increases over wet regions and decreases over dry regions, corroborated

by in situ data over land, and physically consistent with an amplification of salinity patterns over the global ocean. The consistency in the evidence of changes of precipitation over land and from changes in ocean salinity is reflected in the IPCC's conclusion that human activity has 'likely' influenced the global water cycle since 1960 (Bindoff et al. 2013), even though confidence in individual lines of evidence, such as attribution of precipitation changes to causes, is lower.

Observational uncertainty and a low signal-to-noise ratio pose serious difficulties when determining the magnitude of the human contribution to observed changes. Several studies report observed changes that are significantly larger than those simulated by climate models. However, these findings were generally not robust to data uncertainty. The uncertainty arises because the satellite record is short compared to decadal climate variability, and affected by calibration uncertainty; and because the available in situ record has many gaps, particularly in the tropics and subtropics, and is sparse on sub-daily timescales. Thus while observations can place constraints on future temperature changes, this is not yet possible for future precipitation projections (see Collins et al. 2013 and Bindoff et al. 2013).

To improve the situation, we recommend:

- 1) *The satellite record is vital*, particularly to capture the strong changes over ocean that are robustly predicted by models. Only the full constellation can capture the intermittent nature of precipitation and capture extremes. The new GPM mission has exciting prospects for better calibration of space-based observations. Improved sampling by the constellation should enable the intermittency of precipitation to be better handled. Planning for future missions, providing continuity and temporal

overlap of measurements is essential to be able to reliably determine long-term trends.

2) *In situ stations* are vital both for cross-validating and calibrating satellite datasets and for long-term monitoring. However, the drop in available in situ data in recent decades, as illustrated for precipitation (Fig. 4), is alarming and needs to be addressed. Many observations are not made available for analysis, while some remain in paper form only and are not catalogued. It is necessary to strengthen efforts to rescue, scan and digitize data. Also, impediments to data sharing need to be overcome, and data delivery needs to be more timely in order to monitor the changing water cycle in near-real time, as is done for temperature.

3) There is need for better global coverage and higher time resolution data to capture *changing precipitation extremes*. Hourly datasets are needed to track and identify changes in short-term extremes, which are another important fingerprint of anthropogenic changes, and critical for flood management.

4) *Gridded products* of in situ precipitation change show substantial differences (Figs. 2, 5), related to numbers of stations used, their homogeneity, manner of analysis, quality control procedures and treatment of changing data coverage over time. This uncertainty needs to be better characterized and best practices developed.

5) *Observations* in key regions are still sparse, particularly in the tropics, where the observing system is insufficient to record the anticipated changes in the water cycle. For the Asian monsoon, data sparsity is partly related to practical and administrative issues with data sharing. An improved international capacity to monitor all aspects of observed changes is important.

- 6) *Ocean salinity* observations provide an independent insight into the changing water cycle. Continued maintenance and improved coverage of the Argo Program, along with the development of satellite missions to follow Aquarius/SMOS for ocean salinity will strongly improve our understanding of global water cycle changes.
- 7) *Key diagnostics*, such as P-E, are not directly observable on large scales. Therefore, reanalysis data are vital, and their homogeneity in time and reliability for study of long-term changes need to be improved. Climate quality reanalysis will be very useful and are strongly encouraged. Closure of the water cycle using multiple variables provides a physical constraint that should be exploited to help quantify uncertainties.
- 8) Analyses of observed changes are more powerful if they make use of and diagnose *physical mechanisms* which are responsible for the atmospheric and oceanic change patterns. Studies need to investigate the robustness of results across data products, and evaluate the physical consistency of recorded changes across water cycle variables. Process studies may be able to constrain and better understand the fast circulation response to CO₂ forcing, which is a source of uncertainty.
- 9) Uncertainty in the role of *aerosols on precipitation is central when quantifying the human contribution to observed changes*. Aerosols vary enormously in space and time and in composition. Covariability with water vapor and clouds remain issues. Interactions between aerosol and cloud microphysics need to be better understood and represented in models, and the role of aerosol on precipitation changes needs to be better understood. This requires scientists from aerosol and water cycle communities to work together.

10) *Variability* generated within the climate system, particularly regionally on interannual to multidecadal timescales, has a large effect on water cycle variables and delays detection and emergence of changes. There is substantial uncertainty in present understanding about the magnitude and structure of variability in the water cycle which, if addressed, will improve the reliability of detection and attribution studies, and help societies in managing the impacts of decadal variability and change.

Acknowledgements:

We thank several anonymous reviewers for their helpful and perceptive suggestions. The workshop that formed the basis for this paper was funded by NERC (the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC grant NE/I006672/1). We thank Eleanor Blyth and David Parker for comments. Robin Chadwick, Kate Willett, William Ingram, Lizzie Kendon and Peter Stott were supported by the Joint DECC/Defra Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme (GA01101). Paul Durack is supported by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory which is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344, and William Ingram is partly supported by NERC grant NE/I00680X/1. Gabi Hegerl and Richard Allan are partly supported by NCAS. Kevin Trenberth is supported by NASA grant NNX11AG69G. NCAR is sponsored by The National Science Foundation. P.L. Vidale, R.P. Allan, B. Balan Sarojini, C. Liu, E. Black, P. Stott, G. Hegerl, D. Polson, R. Marsh, N. Skliris and Laura Wilcox are supported by the PAGODA project of the Changing Water Cycle programme of the UK Natural

580 Environment Research Council (NERC) under grant NE/I006672/1. A. Dai
581 acknowledges the support of NSF grant #AGS-1353740. H.J. Fowler is supported by the
582 CONVEX project of the Changing Water Cycle Programme of NERC under grant
583 NE/I006680/1) and European Research Council funded INTENSE (ERC-2013-CoG).

584 **References**

- 585 Ackerley, D., B. B. B. Booth, S. H. E. Knight, E. J. Highwood, D. J. Frame, M. R. Allen, and D.
586 P. Rowell, 2011: Sensitivity of Twentieth-Century Sahel Rainfall to Sulfate Aerosol and
587 CO₂ Forcing. *J. Climate*, **24**, 4999–5014.
- 588 Adler, R. F., et al, 2003: The Version 2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)
589 Monthly Precipitation Analysis (1979-Present). *J. Hydrometeor.*, **4**(6), 1147-1167.
- 590 Allan, R., P. Brohan, G. P. Compo, R. Stone, J. Luterbacher, and S. Brönnimann, 2011: The
591 International Atmospheric Circulation Reconstructions over the Earth (ACRE) initiative.
592 *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **92**, 1421-1425.
- 593 Allan, R. P., 2012: Regime dependent changes in global precipitation. *Clim. Dyn.*, **39**,
594 doi:827-840 10.1007/s00382-011-1134-x.
- 595 Allan, R. P., 2014: Dichotomy of drought and deluge, *Nature Geosciences*,
596 doi:10.1038/ngeo2243.
- 597 Allan, R. P., C., Liu, M. Zahn, D. A. Lavers, E. Koukouvagias, and A. Bodas-Salcedo, 2014:
598 Physically consistent responses of the global atmospheric hydrological cycle in models
599 and observations. *Surv. Geophysics.*, **35**, 533-552, doi: 10.1007/s10712-012-9213-z.
- 600 Allen, M. R., and W. J. Ingram, 2002: Constraints on future changes in climate and the
601 hydrologic cycle. *Nature*, **419**, 224-232.
- 602 Allen, R. J., S. C. Sherwood, J. R. Norris and C. S. Zender, 2012: Recent Northern
603 Hemisphere tropical expansion primarily driven by black carbon and tropospheric
604 ozone, *Nature*, **485**, 350-355, doi:10.1038/nature11097

605 Andrews T., P. M. Forster, O. Boucher, N. Bellouin, and A. Jones, 2010: Precipitation,
 606 radiative forcing and global temperature change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L14701,
 607 doi:10.1029/2010GL043991.

608 Arakawa, A., and J.-H. Jung, 2011: Multiscale Modeling of the Moist Convective
 609 Atmosphere A Review. *Atmos. Res.*, **102**, 263-285. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.08.009.

610 Arnell, N. W., and coauthors, 2013: A global assessment of the effects of climate policy
 611 on the impacts of climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 512-519,
 612 doi:10.1038/nclimate1793

613 Balan Sarojini, B., P. A. Stott, E. Black, and D. Polson, 2012: Fingerprints of changes in
 614 annual and seasonal precipitation from CMIP5 models over land and ocean. *Geophys. Res.*
 615 *Letts.*, **39**, L21706, doi:10.1029/2012GL053373.

616 Beck, C., J. Grieser, and B. Rudolf, 2005: A New Monthly Precipitation Climatology for the
 617 Global Land Areas for the Period 1951 to 2000, *Climate status report*, 2004, 181–190,
 618 [http://www.dwd.de/bvbw/generator/DWDWWW/Content/Oeffentlichkeit/KU/KU4/
 619 KU42/en/VASCLim0/pdf_28_precipitation,templateId=raw,property=publicationFile.
 620 pdf/pdf_28_precipitation.pdf](http://www.dwd.de/bvbw/generator/DWDWWW/Content/Oeffentlichkeit/KU/KU4/KU42/en/VASCLim0/pdf_28_precipitation,templateId=raw,property=publicationFile.pdf/pdf_28_precipitation.pdf) (last access: 3 March 2013).

621 Becker, A., P. Finger, A. Meyer-Christoffer, B. Rudolf, K. Schamm, U. Schneider and M.
 622 Ziese, 2013: A description of the global land-surface precipitation data products of the
 623 Global Precipitation Climatology Centre with sample applications including centennial
 624 (trend) analysis from 1901-present. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*, **5**, 971-998.
 625 doi:10.5194/essd-5-71-2013

626 Bengtsson, L., K. I. Hodges, S. Koumoutsaris, M. Zahn, and N. Keenlyside 2011: The
627 changing atmospheric water cycle in Polar Regions in a warmer climate. *Tellus*, **63A**,
628 907–920.

629 Berg, P., C. Moseley, J. O. Haerter, 2013: Strong increase in convective precipitation
630 response to higher temperatures, *Nature Geosci.*, **6**, doi:10.1038/NGEO1731.

631 Berry, D. I. and E. C. Kent, 2009: A new air-sea interaction gridded dataset from ICOADS
632 with uncertainty estimates. *Bull. Am. Met. Soc.*, **90**, 645-656.

633 Berry, D. I. and E. C. Kent, 2011: Air-Sea fluxes from ICOADS: the construction of a new
634 gridded dataset with uncertainty estimates. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **31**, 987-1001.

635 Bindoff, N., and coauthors, 2013: Detection and Attribution: from global to regional.
636 Chapter 10: *Climate Change, 2013*. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth
637 Assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker T. et al.
638 (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK and New York, NY, USA. 867-952.

639 Bintanja R and F. Selten, 2014: Future increases in Arctic precipitation linked to local
640 evaporation and sea-ice retreat. *Nature* **509**, 479-482.

641 Blyth, E.M., D. B. Clark, R. Ellis, C. Huntingford, S. Los, M. Pryor, M. Best and S. Sitch,
642 2011. A comprehensive set of benchmark tests for a land surface model of simultaneous
643 fluxes of water and carbon at both the global and seasonal scale. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **3**,
644 1829–1859.

645 Bollasina M.A., Y. Ming, and V. Ramaswamy, 2011: Anthropogenic Aerosols and the
646 Weakening of the South Asian Summer Monsoon. *Science*, **334**, 6055, 502-505.

647 Bony B. G. Bellon, D. Klocke, S. Sherwood, S. Fermepin, and S. Denvil, 2013 Robust direct
648 effect of carbon dioxide on tropical circulation and regional precipitation. *Nature*
649 *Geosci.*, **6**, 447–451.

650 Cao, L., G. Bala, and K. Caldeira, 2012: Climate response to changes in atmospheric
651 carbon dioxide and solar irradiance on the time-scale of days to weeks. *Environ. Res.*
652 *Lett.*, **7**, 034015, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034015.

653 Chadwick, R. S., I. A. Boutle, and G. Martin, 2013: Spatial Patterns of Precipitation
654 Change in CMIP5: Why the Rich do not get Richer in the Tropics. *J. Climate*, **26**, 3803-
655 3822

656 Chang, C. Y., Chiang, J. C. H., Wehner, M. F., Friedman, A. R., & Ruedy, R. (2011). Sulfate
657 Aerosol Control of Tropical Atlantic Climate over the Twentieth Century. *J. Climate*, **24**,
658 2540-2555.

659 Chou, C., J. D. Neelin, C. A. Chen, and J. Y. Tu, 2009: Evaluating the "rich-get-richer"
660 mechanism in tropical precipitation change under global warming. *J. Climate*, **22**, 1982-2005.

661 Chou C., J C H Chiang, C-W Lan, C-H Chung, Y-C Liao, C-J Lee, 2013: Increase in the range
662 between wet and dry season precipitation, *Nature Geosci.*, doi:10.1038/ngeo1744.

663 Chung, E.-S., B. Soden, B. J. Sohn, and L. Shi, 2014: Upper-tropospheric moistening in
664 response to anthropogenic warming, *PNAS*, **111**, 11636-11641,
665 doi:10.1073/pnas.1409659111

666 Collins, M., and coauthors, 2013a: Long-term climate change: projections, commitments
667 and irreversibility. Chapter 12: *Climate Change, 2013*. Contribution of Working Group 1
668 to the Fifth Assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

669 [Stocker T. et al. (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY,
 670 USA. 1029-1136.

671 Collins M., K. Achuta-Rao, K. Ashok, S. Bhandari, A. K Mitra, S. Prakash, R. Srivastava and
 672 A. Turner, 2013b: Observational challenges in evaluating climate models. *Nature*
 673 *Climate Change*, **3**, 940-941.

674 Dai, A., 2006a: Recent climatology, variability and trends in global surface humidity. *J.*
 675 *Climate*, **19**, 3589-3606.

676 Dai A., 2006b: Precipitation characteristics in eighteen coupled climate models, *J.*
 677 *Climate*, **19**, 4605–4630.

678 Dai, A., 2011a: Drought under global warming: A review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:*
 679 *Climate Change*, **2**, 45-65. DOI: 10.1002/wcc.81.

680 Dai, A., 2011b: Characteristics and trends in various forms of the Palmer Drought
 681 Severity Index during 1900–2008. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, doi:10.1029/2010JD015541.

682 Dai, A., 2013a: Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature*
 683 *Climate Change*. **3**: 52-58. doi:10.1038/nclimate1633.

684 Dai, A., 2013b: The influence of the Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation on U.S. precipitation
 685 during 1923-2010. *Climate Dynamics*, **41**: 633-646. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1446-5

686 Dai, A., T. Qian, K. E. Trenberth, and J. D Milliman, 2009: Changes in continental
 687 freshwater discharge from 1948-2004. *J. Climate*, **22**, 2773-2791.

688 Dee, D. P., and coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and
 689 performance of the data assimilation system. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**, 553–597.
 690 doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1924-4

691 Demory, M.-E., P. L. Vidale, M. J. Roberts, P. Berrisford, J. Strachan, R. Schiemann, and M.
692 S. Mizieliński, 2014: The role of horizontal resolution in simulating drivers of the global
693 hydrological cycle. *Clim. Dyn.*, **42**, 2201-2225, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1924-4.

694 Deser, C., A. S. Phillips, V. Bourdette, and H. Teng, 2012: Uncertainty in climate change
695 projections: The role of internal variability. *Clim. Dyn.*, **38**, 527-546.

696 Dirmeyer, P. A., and coauthors, 2012: Simulating the diurnal cycle of rainfall in global
697 climate models: resolution versus parameterization. *Clim. Dyn.*, **39**, 1-2, 399-418.

698 Donat, M. G., et al., 2013: Updated analyses of temperature and precipitation extreme
699 indices since the beginning of the twentieth century: The HadEX2 dataset. *J. Geophys.*
700 *Res. - Atmospheres*, **118**, 2098-2118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50150>

701 Dong, B., Sutton, R. T., Highwood, E. J., and Wilcox, L. J., 2014: The Impacts of European
702 and Asian Anthropogenic Sulfur Dioxide Emissions on Sahel Rainfall. *J. Climate*, **27**, 7000–
703 7017, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00769.1>

704 Durack, P. J. and S. E. Wijffels, 2010: Fifty-Year Trends in Global ocean salinities and
705 their relationship to broad-scale warming. *J. Climate*, **23**, 4342-4362, doi:
706 10.1175/2010JCLI3377.1

707 Durack, P. J., S. E. Wijffels and R. J. Matear, 2012: Ocean Salinities Reveal Strong Global
708 Water Cycle Intensification During 1950–2000. *Science*, **336**, 455-458, doi:
709 10.1126/science.1212222

710 Durack, P. J., S. E. Wijffels and T. P. Boyer (2013) Long-term Salinity Changes and
711 Implications for the Global Water Cycle (Chapter 28). In: *Ocean Circulation and Climate*
712 *(2nd Edition). A 21st century perspective* (Siedler, G., S.M. Griffies, J. Gould and J.A. Church

713 (Eds.)). International Geophysics, Academic Press, Elsevier, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK. **103**,
 714 727-757, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-391851-2.00028-3

715 Emanuel, K. A., 1999: Thermodynamic control of hurricane intensity. *Nature*, **401**, 665-
 716 669.

717 Flato, G., and coauthors, 2013: Evaluation of Climate Models. In: *Climate Change, 2013*.
 718 Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment report of the
 719 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker T. et al. (eds.)], Cambridge
 720 University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.

721 Fowler, H. J., and C. G. Kilsby, 2003: Implications of changes in seasonal and annual
 722 extreme rainfall. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **30**, 1720, doi:10.1029/2003GL017327.

723 Freeland, H. & Co-Authors, 2010: Argo - A decade of progress. *Proceedings of*
 724 *OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society (Vol. 2)*, Venice,
 725 Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison, D.E. & Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication
 726 WPP-306, doi:10.5270/OceanObs09.cwp.32.

727 Funk, C.C., P.J. Peterson, M. F. Landsfeld, D. H. Pedreros, J. P. Verdin, J. D. Rowland, B. E.
 728 Romero, G. J. Husak, J. C. Michaelsen, and A. P. Verdin, 2014: A quasi-global precipitation
 729 time series for drought monitoring: *U.S. Geological Survey Data Series* **832**, 4p.,
 730 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ds832>

731 Gillett, N. P., A. J. Weaver, F. W. Zwiers, and M. F. Wehner, 2004:
 732 Detection of volcanic influence on global precipitation.
 733 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L12217, doi:10.1029/2004GL020044.

734 Gimeno, L., A. Stohl, R. M. Trigo, F. Dominguez, K. Yoshimura, L. Yu, A. Drumond, A. M.
 735 Durán-Quesada, and R. Nieto, 2012: Oceanic and Terrestrial Sources of Continental
 736 Precipitation, *Rev. Geophys.*, **50**, RG4003, doi:10.1029/2012RG000389.
 737 Grabowski, W. W., 2001: Coupling cloud processes with the large-scale dynamics using
 738 the cloud-resolving convection parameterization (CRCP). *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **58**, 978–997.
 739 Greve, P., B. Orlowsky, B. Mueller, J. Sheffield, M. Reichstein, and S. I. Seneviratne, 2014:
 740 Global assessment of trends in wetting and drying over land, *Nature Geoscience*.
 741 doi:10.1038/ngeo2247 (early online release)
 742 Groisman, P. Y., R. W. Knight, D. R. Easterling, T. R. Karl, G. C. Hegerl, and V. N. Razuvaev,
 743 2005: Trends in intense precipitation in the climate record. *J. Climate*, **18**, 1326–1350.
 744 Gu, G., R. F. Adler, G. J. Huffman, and S. Curtis, 2007: Tropical Rainfall Variability on
 745 Interannual-to-Interdecadal/Longer-Time Scales Derived from the GPCP Monthly
 746 Product. *J. Climate*, **20**, 4033–4046.
 747 Gu, G., and R. F. Adler, 2012: Interdecadal Variability/Long-Term Changes in Global
 748 Precipitation Patterns during the Past Three Decades: Global Warming and/or Pacific
 749 Decadal Variability? *Clim. Dyn.*, **40**, 3009–3022. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1443-8.
 750 Guo, L., E. J. Highwood, L. C. Shaffrey, and A. G. Turner, 2012: The effect of regional
 751 changes in anthropogenic aerosols on rainfall of the East Asian Summer Monsoon.
 752 *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, **12**, 23007–23038.
 753 Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J. and Lister, D. H., 2014: Updated high-resolution grids
 754 of monthly climatic observations - the CRU TS 3.1 Dataset. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **34**, 623–642.
 755 doi:10.1002/joc.3711.

756 Hartmann, D., and coauthors, 2013: Observations: atmosphere and surface. Chapter 2,
 757 *Climate Change 2013; The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the*
 758 *Fifth Assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker T. et
 759 al. (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, 159-254.

760 Haywood, J. M., A. Jones, N. Bellouin, and D. Stephenson, 2013: Asymmetric forcing from
 761 stratospheric aerosols impacts Sahelian rainfall. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 660–665.

762 Hegerl G. C., F. W. Zwiers, P. A. Stott, and V. V. Kharin, 2004: Detectability of
 763 anthropogenic changes in annual temperature and precipitation extremes. *J. Climate*,
 764 **17**, 3683-3700.

765 Hegerl, G. C. , and F. W. Zwiers, 2011: Use of models in detection and attribution of
 766 climate change. *WIREs Clim Change*, **2**, 570–591.

767 Held, I. M., and B. J. Soden, 2006: Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global
 768 warming. *J. Climate*, **19**, 5686–5699.

769 Huffman, G.J., R. F. Adler, D. T. Bolvin, G. Gu, E. J. Nelkin, K. P. Bowman, Y. Hong, E. F.
 770 Stocker, D. B. Wolff, 2007: The TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis: Quasi-
 771 Global, Multi-Year, Combined-Sensor Precipitation Estimates at Fine Scale. *J.*
 772 *Hydrometeor.*, **8**, 38-55.

773 Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, D. T. Bolvin, and G. Gu, 2009: Improving the global
 774 precipitation record: GPCP Version 2.1, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L17808,
 775 doi:10.1029/2009GL040

776 Hwang, Y.-T., D. M. W. Frierson, and S. M. Kang, 2013: Anthropogenic sulfate aerosol and
 777 the southward shift of tropical precipitation in the late 20th century, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 778 **40**, 2845–2850.

779 Iles C. and G.C. Hegerl 2014: The global precipitation response to volcanic eruptions in the
 780 CMIP5 models. *Environmental Research Letters*, in press.

781 IPCC, 2012: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate
 782 Change Adaptation. *A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental*
 783 *Panel on Climate Change* [Field, C.B., et al. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
 784 Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 582 pp.

785 IPCC, 2013: Summary for Policymakers, In: Climate Change, 2013: *The Physical Science*
 786 *Basis*. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report Climate
 787 Change 2013 [Stocker, T. et al. (eds)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and
 788 New York, NY, USA..

789 Johns, T. J., and coauthors, 2011: Climate Change under aggressive mitigation: the
 790 ENSEMBLES multi-model experiment. *Clim. Dyn.*, **37**, 1975-2003.

791 Josey, S. A. and R. Marsh, 2005: Surface freshwater flux variability and recent freshening
 792 of the North Atlantic in the Eastern Subpolar Gyre, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, C05008,
 793 doi:10.1029/2004JC002521.

794 Joshi, M. M., J. M. Gregory, M. J. Webb, D. M. Sexton and T. C. Johns, 2008: Mechanisms for
 795 the land/sea warming contrast exhibited by simulations of climate change. *Clim. Dyn.*,
 796 **30**, 5455-465.

797 Kendon, E. J., N. M. Roberts, C. A. Senior, and M. J. Roberts, 2012: Realism of Rainfall in a
798 Very High-Resolution Regional Climate Model. *J. Climate*, **25**, 5791–5806.

799 Kendon, E. J., N. M. Roberts, H. J. Fowler, M. J. Roberts, S. C. Chan, and C. A. Senior, 2014:
800 Heavier summer downpours with climate change revealed by weather forecast
801 resolution model. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 570–576, doi:10.1038/nclimate2258.

802 Kenyon, J., and G. C. Hegerl, 2010: Influence of modes of climate variability on global
803 precipitation extremes. *J. Climate*, **23**, 6248–6262.

804 Kharin, V. V., F. W. Zwiers, X. Zhang, and G. C. Hegerl, 2007: Changes in temperature and
805 precipitation extremes in the IPCC ensemble of global Coupled Model Simulations, *J.*
806 *Climate*, **20**, 1419-1444.

807 Kharin, V. V., F.W. Zwiers, X. Zhang, and M. Wehner, 2013: Changes in temperature and
808 precipitation extremes in the CMIP5 ensemble. *Climatic Change*, **19**, 345-359.
809 doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0705-8.

810 Kidd, C., and G.J. Huffman, 2011: Global Precipitation Measurement. *Meteor. Appl.*, **18**(3),
811 doi:10.1002/met.284, 334-353.

812 Kitoh, A., H. Endo, K. Krishna Kumar, I. F. A. Cavalcanti, P. Goswami, and T. Zhou, 2013:
813 Monsoons in a changing world: A regional perspective in a global context, *J. Geophys. Res.*
814 *Atmos.*, **118**, 3053–3065, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50258

815 Kundzewicz, Z. W., L. J. Mata, N. W. Arnell, P. Döll, P. Kabat, B. Jiménez, K. A. Miller, T. Oki,
816 Z. Sen and I. A. Shiklomanov, 2007: Freshwater resources and their management.
817 Climate Change 2007: *Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working*
818 *Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*

819 *Change*, M. L. Parry, O. F. Canziani, J. P. Palutikof, P. J. van der Linden and C. E. Hanson,
820 Eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 173-210.

821 Lambert H, M. Webb, 2008: Dependence of global mean precipitation on surface
822 temperature. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35**, doi:10.1029/2008GL034838

823 Lau, K. M., M. K. Kim, and K. M. Kim, 2006: Asian summer monsoon anomalies induced
824 by aerosol direct forcing: the role of the Tibetan Plateau. *Clim. Dyn.*, **26**, 855-864.

825 Lazo, J. K., M. Lawson, P. H. Larsen, and D. M. Waldman, 2011: U.S. Economic Sensitivity
826 to Weather Variability. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **92**, 709–720.

827 Leibensperger, E. M., L. J. Mickley, D. J. Jacob, W. -T. Chen, J. H. Seinfeld, A. Nenes, P. J.
828 Adams, D. G. Streets, N. Kumar, D. Rind, 2012: Climatic effects of 1950-2050 changes in
829 US anthropogenic aerosols - Part 2: Climate response, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **12**(7), 3349-
830 3362.

831 Lenderink, G., and E. van Meijgaard, 2008, Increase in hourly precipitation extremes
832 beyond expectations from temperature changes. *Nature Geos.*, **1**, 511-514.

833 Levy, A. A., L. W. Ingram, M. Jenkinson, C. Huntingford, F. H. Lambert, and M. Allen,
834 2013a: Can correcting feature location in simulated mean climate improve agreement
835 on projected changes? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 354–358, doi:10.1029/2012GL053964.

836 Levy II, H., L. W. Horowitz, M. D. Schwarzkopf, Y. Ming, J.-C. Golaz, V. Naik, and V.,
837 Ramaswamy, 2013b: The roles of aerosol direct and indirect effects in past and future
838 climate change. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **118**, 4521–4532.

839 Liepert, B. G., J. Feichter, U. Lohmann and E. Roeckner, 2004: Can aerosols spin down the
840 water cycle in a warmer and moister world?. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31(6), L06207.

841 Liepert, B. G., and and F. Lo, 2013: CMIP5 update of 'Inter-model variability and biases
 842 of the global water cycle in CMIP3 coupled climate models' *Environ. Res. Lett.* **8** 029401,
 843 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/029401.

844 Liu, C., and R. P. Allan, 2012: Multisatellite observed responses of precipitation and its
 845 extremes to interannual climate variability. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D03101,
 846 doi:10.1029/2011JD016568.

847 Liu, C. and R. P. Allan, 2013: Observed and simulated precipitation responses in wet and
 848 dry regions 1850-2100, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **8**, 034002, doi:10.1088/1748-
 849 9326/8/3/034002

850 Liu, Z. Y., 2012: Dynamics of interdecadal climate variability: A historical perspective. *J.*
 851 *Climate*, **25**, 1963-1995.

852 Lu, J., G. Vecchi, and T. Reichler, 2007: Expansion of the Hadley cell under global warming.
 853 *Geophys. Res. Letts.*, **34**, L06805, doi:10.1029/2006GL028443.

854 Maidment, R., D Grimes, R.P. Allan, E. Tarnavsky, M. Stringer, T. Hewison, R. Roebeling
 855 and E. Black (2014) The 30 year TAMSAT African Rainfall Climatology And Time series
 856 (TARCAT) data set *Journal of Geophysical Research* doi: 10.1002/2014JD021927

857 Manabe, S., and R. T. Wetherald, 1980: On the distribution of climate change resulting
 858 from an increase in CO₂ content of the atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **37**, 99–118.

859 Marvel K, and C. Bonfils, 2013: Identifying external influences on global precipitation.
 860 *PNAS*, **110** (48) 19301-19306, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1314382110.

861 Meehl, G. A., and coauthors, 2007: Global Climate Projections. In: Climate Change 2007:
 862 The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment

863 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M.
864 Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge
865 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA

866 Meehl, G. A., J. M. Arblaster, and W. D. Collins, 2008: Effects of black carbon aerosols on
867 the Indian monsoon. *J. Climate*, **21**, 2869-2882.

868 Menne, M. J., I. Durre, R. S. Vose, B. E. Gleason, and T. G. Houston, 2012: An overview of
869 the Global Historical Climatology Network daily database. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **29**,
870 897–910.

871 Merrifield, M. A., 2011: A Shift in Western Tropical Pacific Sea Level Trends during the
872 1990s. *J. Climate*, **24**, 4126–4138.

873 Michelson, D., and coauthors, 2013: WMO Initiative for the global exchange of radar
874 data. *Proc. AMS Radar Conf.*

875 Min, S., X. Zhang, and F. W. Zwiers, 2008: Human-induced Arctic moistening. *Science*,
876 **320**, 518-520.

877 Min, S, X. Zhang, F. F Zwiers, and G. C. Hegerl, 2011: Human contribution to more intense
878 precipitation extremes. *Nature*, **470**, 378–381.

879 Ming, Yi, and V. Ramaswamy, 2011: A Model Investigation of Aerosol-Induced Changes
880 in Tropical Circulation. *J. Climate*, **24**, 5125–5133.

881 Morice, C. P., J. J. J. Kennedy, N. A. Rayner, and P. D. Jones, 2012: Quantifying
882 uncertainties in global and regional temperature change using an ensemble of
883 observational estimates: The HadCRUT4 dataset, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D08101
884 doi:10.1029/2011JD017187.

885 Noake, K., D. Polson, G. C. Hegerl, and X. Zhang, 2012, Changes in seasonal land
886 precipitation during the latter twentieth-century. *Geophys. Res. Letts.*, **39**, L03706,
887 doi:10.1029/2011GL050405.

888 O’Gorman, P. A., 2012: Sensitivity of tropical precipitation extremes to climate change.
889 *Nature Geosci.*, **5**, 697–700.

890 O’Gorman, P. A., R. P. Allan, M. P. Byrne, and M. Previdi, 2012: Energetic constraints on
891 precipitation under climate change. *Surv. Geophys.*, **33**, 585-608.

892 Osborn, T. J., 1997: Areal and point precipitation intensity changes: implications for the
893 application of climate models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 2829-2832
894 doi:10.1029/97GL02976.

895 Pall, P and coauthors, 2011: Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to UK autumn
896 flood risk. *Nature*, **470**, 382–385.

897 Parker, D, 2013: Global precipitation datasets for climate monitoring, attribution and
898 model assessment. Met Office Hadley Centre technical note, October, 2013.

899 Pendergrass, A. G., and D. L. Hartmann 2012: Global-mean precipitation and black carbon in
900 AR4 simulations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L01703, doi:10.1029/ 2011GL050067.

901 Pendergrass, A. and D. Hartmann, 2014: The atmospheric energy constraint on global-
902 mean precipitation change. *J. Clim.*, **27**, 757-768, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00163.1.

903 Peterson, B. J., R. M. Holmes, J. W. McClelland, C. J. Vorosmarty, R. B. Lammers, A. I.
904 Shiklomanov, I. A. Shiknomanov, and S. Rahmstorf, 2002: Increasing river discharge to
905 the Arctic Ocean. *Science*, **298**, 2171–2173.

906 Peterson, T. C., and R. Vose, 1997: An overview of the global historical climatology
 907 network temperature database. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **78**, 2837-2849.

908 Peterson, T. C., P. A. Stott, and S. Herring, 2012: Explaining Extreme Events of 2011 from
 909 a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **93**, 1041–1067.

910 Peterson, T. C., M. P. Hoerling, P. A. Stott and S. Herring, Eds., 2013: Explaining Extreme
 911 Events of 2012 from a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **94**(9), S1–S74.

912 Pierce, D. W., P. J. Gleckler, T. P. Barnett, B. D. Santer, and P. J. Durack, 2012: The
 913 fingerprint of human-induced changes in the ocean's salinity and temperature fields.
 914 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L21704, doi: 10.1029/2012GL053389.

915 Polson, D., G. C. Hegerl, X. Zhang, and T. J. Osborn, 2013a: Causes of robust seasonal land
 916 precipitation changes. . *J. Climate*, **20**, 6679-6697. Polson, D., G. C. Hegerl, R. P. Allan, and
 917 B. Balan Sarojini, 2013b: Have greenhouse gases intensified the contrast between wet
 918 and dry regions? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 4783-4787, doi:10.1002/grl.50923.

919 Rawlins, Michael A., and Coauthors, 2010: Analysis of the Arctic System for Freshwater
 920 Cycle Intensification: Observations and Expectations. *J. Climate*, **23**, 5715–5737.

921 Richter, I., and S. P. Xie, 2008: Muted precipitation increase in global warming simulations:
 922 A surface evaporation perspective, *J Geophys Res-Atmos*, **113**, D24118, doi:
 923 24110.21029/22008JD010561.

924 Rotstayn, L. D., B. F. Ryan, and J. E. Penner, 2000: Precipitation changes in a GCM
 925 resulting from the indirect effects of anthropogenic aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**,
 926 3045-3048.

927 Rotstayn, L. D., and U. Lohmann, 2002: Tropical Rainfall Trends and the Indirect Aerosol
 928 Effect. *J. Climate*, **15**, 2103–2116.

929 Rotstayn, L.D., Jeffrey, S.J., Collier, M.A., Dravitzki, S.M., Hirst, A.C., Syktus, J.I., and K.K.
 930 Wong, 2012: Aerosol induced changes in summer rainfall an circulation in the
 931 Australasian region: a study using single-forcing climate simulations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*
 932 *Disc.*, **12**, 5107-5188.

933 Santer, B. D., and coauthors, 2007: Identification of human-induced changes in
 934 atmospheric moisture content. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **104**, 15244–15253.

935 Santer, B. D., and coauthors, 2009: Incorporating model quality information in climate
 936 change detection and attribution studies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **106** 14778-14783.

937 Scheff, J., and D. Frierson, 2012a: Twenty-first-century multimodel subtropical
 938 precipitation declines are mostly midlatitude shifts. *J. Climate.*, **25**, 4330-4347.

939 Scheff, J., and D. Frierson, 2012b: Robust future precipitation declines in CMIP5 largely
 940 reflect the poleward expansion of model subtropical dry zones. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**,
 941 L18704, doi:10.1029/2012GL052910.

942 Schubert, S., and coauthors, 2009: A USCLIVAR project to assess and compare the
 943 responses of global climate models to drought-related SST forcing patterns: Overview
 944 and results. *J. Climate*, **22**, 5251-5272.

945 Seager, R., and N. Naik, 2012: A mechanisms-based approach to detecting recent
 946 anthropogenic hydroclimate change, *J. Climate*, **25**, 236–261.

947 Seidel, D. J., Q. Fu, W. J. Randel, and T. J. Reichler, 2008: Widening of the tropical belt in a
 948 changing climate. *Nature Geosci.*, **1**, 21–24.

949 Sherwood, S. C., R. Roca, T. M. Weckwerth, and N. G. Andronova, 2010: Tropospheric
 950 water vapour, convection and climate. *Rev. Geophysics*, **48**, RG2001,
 951 doi:10.1029/2009RG000301.

952 Shindell, D., Voulgarakis, A., Faluvegi, G., and Milly, G., 2012: Precipitation response to
 953 regional radiative forcing. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **12** 6969–6982.

954 Simmons, A. J., K. M. Willet, P. D Jones, P. W. Thorne, and D. P. Dee, 2010: Low frequency
 955 variations in surface atmospheric humidity, temperature, and precipitation: Inferences
 956 from reanalyses and monthly gridded observational data sets. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**,
 957 D01110, doi:10.1029/2009JD012442.

958 Skliris, N., Marsh, R., Josey, S. A., Good, S. A., Liu, C., and R. P. Allan, 2014: Salinity changes
 959 in the World Ocean since 1950 in relation to changing surface freshwater fluxes. *Clim.*
 960 *Dyn.*, doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2131-7

961 Stephens, G. L. and T. D. Ellis, 2008: Controls of global-mean precipitation increases in
 962 global warming GCM experiments. *J. Climate*, **21**, 6141-6155.

963 Stott, P. A., R. T. Sutton and D. M. Smith, 2008: Detection and attribution of Atlantic
 964 salinity changes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **35**, L21702, doi:10.1029/2008GL035874.

965 Stott, P. A., N. P. Gillett, G. C. Hegerl, D. J. Karoly, D. A. Stone, X. Zhang, and F. Zwiers,
 966 2010: Detection and attribution of climate change: a regional perspective. *WIREs Clim*
 967 *Change*, **1**, 192-211. doi:10.1002/wcc.34

968 Strachan, J., P. L. Vidale, K. Hodges, M. Roberts, M.-E. Demory, 2013: Investigating Global
 969 Tropical Cyclone Activity with a Hierarchy of AGCMs: The Role of Model Resolution. *J.*
 970 *Climate*, **26**, 133–152.

971 Taylor, K.E, R. J. Stouffer, and G. A. Meehl, 2012: An Overview of CMIP5 and the
 972 Experiment Design. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **93**, 485–498.

973 Terray, L., L. Corre, S. Cravatte, T. Delcroix, G. Reverdin, and A. Ribes, 2012: Near-Surface
 974 Salinity as Nature’s Rain Gauge to Detect Human Influence on the Tropical Water Cycle.
 975 *J. Climate*, **25**, 958–977.

976 Trenberth, K. E., 2011: Changes in precipitation with climate change. *Climate Research*,
 977 **47**, 123-138, doi:10.3354/cr00953.

978 Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, R. M. Rasmussen and D. B. Parsons, 2003: The changing
 979 character of precipitation. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **84**, 1205-1217.

980 Trenberth, K. E. , J. Fasullo J, and L. Smith, 2005: Trends and variability in column-
 981 integrated water vapor. *Clim. Dyn.*, **24**, 741–758.

982 Trenberth, K. E., and A. Dai, 2007: Effects of Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption on the
 983 hydrological cycle as an analog of geoengineering. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L15702,
 984 doi:10.1029/2007GL030524.

985 Trenberth, K. E., J. T. Fasullo, and J. Mackaro, 2011: Atmospheric moisture transports
 986 from ocean to land and global energy flows in reanalyses. *J. Climate*, **24**, 4907-4924.

987 Trenberth, K. E., and J. T. Fasullo, 2013a: North American water and energy cycles.
 988 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**, 365–369, doi:10.1002/grl.50107.

989 Trenberth, K. E., and J. T. Fasullo, 2013b: Regional energy and water cycles: Transports
 990 from ocean to land. *J. Climate*, **26**, 7837-7851, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-00008.1.

991 Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, G. van der Schrier, P. D. Jones, J. Barichivich, K. R. Briffa, and J.
 992 Sheffield, 2014: Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, **4**, 17-
 993 22, doi:10.1038/nclimate2067.

994 Vecchi, G. A., B. J. Soden, A. T. Wittenberg, I. M. Held, A. Leetmaa, and M. J. Harrison,
 995 2006: Weakening of tropical Pacific atmospheric circulation due to anthropogenic
 996 forcing. *Nature*, **441**, 73–76.

997 McVicar T. M. et al., 2012: Global review and synthesis of trends in observed terrestrial
 998 near-surface wind speeds: Implications for evaporation. *J. Hydrol.*, **416**, 182-205.

999 Vicente-Serrano, S. M., C. Azorin-Molina, A. Sanchez-Lorenzo, E. Morán-Tejeda, J.
 1000 Lorenzo-Lacruz, J. Revuelto, J. I. López-Moreno, and F. Espejo, 2013: Temporal evolution
 1001 of surface humidity in Spain: recent trends and possible physical mechanisms. *Clim. Dyn.*
 1002 **42**, 2655-2674, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1885-7.

1003 Wan, H., X. Zhang, F. W. Zwiers, and H. Shiogama, 2013: Effect of data coverage on the
 1004 estimation of mean and variability of precipitation at global and regional scales. *J.*
 1005 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **118**, 534–546. doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50118

1006 Westra, S., L. V. Alexander, and F. W. Zwiers, 2013: Global increasing trends in annual
 1007 maximum daily precipitation. *J. Climate*, **26**, 3904–3918, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-
 1008 00502.1.

1009 Westra, S., H.J. Fowler, J.P. Evans, L.V. Alexander, P. Berg, F. Johnson, E.J. Kendon, G.
 1010 Lenderink, and N.M. Roberts, 2014: Future changes to the intensity and frequency of
 1011 short-duration extreme rainfall. *Reviews of Geophysics*, DOI: 10.1002/2014RG000464.

1012 WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation (JMP),
 1013 2011: Drinking Water Equity, Safety and sustainability: Thematic report on drinking
 1014 water. Available at:
 1015 www.wssinfo.org/fileadmin/user_upload/resources/report_wash_low.pdf.
 1016 Wilcox, L. J., E. J. Highwood, and N. J. Dunstone, 2013: Influence of aerosol on multi-
 1017 decadal variations of historical global climate. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **8** 024033,
 1018 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/024033.
 1019 Willett, K. M., N. P. Gillett, P. D. Jones and P. W. Thorne, 2007: Attribution of observed
 1020 surface humidity changes to human influence. *Nature*, **449**, 710-712.
 1021 Willett, K. W., Jones, P. D, Thorne, P. W. and Gillett, N. P., 2010: A comparison of large
 1022 scale changes in surface humidity over land in observations and CMIP3 GCMs. *Environ.*
 1023 *Res. Lett.*, **5**, 025210, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/5/2/025210.
 1024 Willett, K. M., Williams Jr., C. N., Dunn, R. J. H., Thorne, P. W., Bell, S., de Podesta, M.,
 1025 Jones, P. D., and Parker D. E., 2013: HadISDH: An updated land surface specific humidity
 1026 product for climate monitoring. *Climate of the Past*, **9**, 657-677, doi:10.5194/cp-9-657-
 1027 2013.
 1028 Willett, K. M., D. I. Berry and A. Simmons, 2014: Surface Humidity [in .State of the
 1029 Climate in 2013.]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **95**, S19-S20.
 1030 Winterrath, T., Reich, T., Rosenow, W. and K. Stephan, 2012a: The DWD Quantitative
 1031 Precipitation Nowcasting Systems – A Verification Study for Selected Flood Events. *Proc.*
 1032 *7th Europ. Conf. On Radar in Meteor. and Hydrol.* , Toulouse, France.

1033 Winterrath, T., E. Weigl, M. Hafer, and A. Becker, 2012b: D. Wetterdienst, *Proc. 7th*
1034 *Europ. Conf. On Radar in Meteor. and Hydrol.*, Toulouse, France.

1035 World Water Development Report, 2003: *Water for people, water for life*. United Nations
1036 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and Berghahn Books. ISBN
1037 UNESCO:92-3-103881-8, ISBN Berghahn: 1-57181-627-5.

1038 Wu, P., R. Wood, and J. Ridley, 2010: Temporary acceleration of the hydrological cycle in
1039 response to a CO₂ rampdown. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L12705,
1040 doi:10.1029/2010GL043730.

1041 Wu, P., N. Christidis and P. Stott, 2013: Anthropogenic impact on Earth's hydrological
1042 cycle. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 807-810, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE1932

1043 Xie, P., P.A. Arkin, 1998: Global Monthly Precipitation Estimates from Satellite-Observed
1044 Outgoing Longwave Radiation. *J. Climate*, **11**, 137–164.

1045 Xie, S.-P., C. Deser, G.A. Vecchi, J. Ma, H. Teng, and A.T. Wittenberg, 2010: Global
1046 Warming pattern formation: Sea surface temperature and rainfall. *J. Climate*, **23**, 966-
1047 986.

1048 Yin, J. H., 2005: A consistent poleward shift of the storm tracks in simulations of 21st
1049 century climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L18701, doi:10.1029/2005GL023684.

1050 Yu, L., and R. A. Weller, 2007: Objectively Analyzed Air–Sea Heat Fluxes for the Global
1051 Ice-Free Oceans (1981–2005). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **88**, 527–539.

1052 Yu, L., X. Jin, and R. A. Weller, 2008: Multidecade Global Flux Datasets from the
1053 Objectively Analyzed Air-sea Fluxes (OAFlux) Project: Latent and sensible heat fluxes,

1054 ocean evaporation, and related surface meteorological variables. Woods Hole
 1055 Oceanographic Institution, *OAFlux Project Technical Report*. OA-2008-01, 64pp. Woods
 1056 Hole. Massachusetts.

1057 Zelinka, M., D., T. Andrews, P. M. Forster, and K. E. Taylor, 2014: Quantifying
 1058 Components of Aerosol-Cloud-Radiation Interactions in Climate Models. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 1059 **119**, 7599-7615, doi: 10.1002/2014JD021710.2

1060 Zhang, X., F. W. Zwiers FW, G. C. Hegerl, F. H. Lambert, N. P. Gillett, S. Solomon, P. A. Stott,
 1061 and T. Nozawa, 2007: Detection of human influence on twentieth-century precipitation
 1062 trends. *Nature*, **448**, 461–465.

1063 Zhang, X., H. Wan, F. W. Zwiers, G.C. Hegerl, and S.-K. Min, 2013: Attributing
 1064 intensification of precipitation extremes to human influence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **40**,
 1065 5252–5257, doi:10.1002/grl.51010.

1066 Zhao, T., **A. Dai**, and J. Wang, 2012: Trends in tropospheric humidity from 1970-2008 over
 1067 China from a homogenized radiosonde dataset. *J. Climate*, **25**: 4549-4567.

1068 Zolina, O., C. Simmer, S. K. Gulev, and S. Kollet, 2010: Changing structure of European
 1069 precipitation: longer wet periods leading to more abundant rainfalls. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 1070 **37**, L06704, doi:10.1029/2010GL042468.

1071

1072 **Figure Captions**

1073 **Figure 1 left panel:** Projected global-mean precipitation change (mm/day) against
1074 global-mean 2m air temperature change (K) from CMIP5 models, for four
1075 representative concentration pathways (RCP) scenarios. Values are means over
1076 successive decades between 2006 and 2095 and all ensemble members of each model.
1077 Anomalies are relative to mean values over 1986-2005 in the CMIP5 historical runs.
1078 Right panel: Precipitation sensitivity for future (RCP scenarios) and past (Historical and
1079 Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project, AMIP) change in precipitation amount [%]
1080 per degree global-mean warming. Trends are calculated from the linear least squares fit
1081 of annual global-mean precipitation change (%) against temperature (K) change
1082 relative to the period 1988-2005 (without decadal smoothing). Crosses indicate
1083 ensemble means for each CMIP5 model, circles indicate multi-model mean.
1084 Precipitation sensitivity is also shown for historical periods; comparing GCMs with
1085 GPCP, GPCC and CRU data (see text), using temperature changes from HadCRUT4
1086 (Morice et al., 2012; note that land and ocean dP/dT values use global-mean
1087 temperature). Whiskers indicate 95% confidence intervals for observed linear trends
1088 (model trend confidence intervals are not shown, but are often large).

1089 **Figure 2:** Observed and model simulated annual and zonal mean precipitation change
1090 (%/decade) for: top, observations where they exist over land; bottom, GCMs, all
1091 gridboxes. Top panel: Observed 1951-2005 changes (solid colored lines) from 4
1092 datasets CRU TS3.0 updated, Harris et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2007 updated; GPCC
1093 VasClimO, Beck et al. 2005; and GPCC Full data V6, Becker et al. 2013). Range of CMIP5
1094 model simulations (grey shading, masked to cover land only) and multi-model ensemble

mean (black dashes, 'MM'). Blue shading shows latitudes where all observed datasets show positive trends and orange shading shows where all show negative trends. Interpolated data in the CRU dataset are masked out. Bottom panel: Trends based on global coverage from climate models from the Historical simulations (grey dashed lines are individual simulations, black dashed line multi-model mean; blue dashes multi-model mean from simulations forced by natural forcing only) compared to the 2006-2050 trend from the RCP4.5 multimodel simulations (green shading: 5-95% range, green dashes: multimodel mean). Blue (orange) shading indicates where more than two thirds of the historical simulations show positive (negative) trends.

Figure 3: Three observed estimates of long-term global and basin zonal-mean near-surface salinity changes, nominally for the 1950-2000 period. Positive values show increased salinities and negative values freshening. Changes are expressed on the Practical Salinity Scale (PSS-78) per 50-years. The data coverage, as used in Durack and Wijffels (2010), is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Reproduced from Durack et al. (2013).

Figure 4: Number of in situ stations over time for the CRU TS 3.21 gridded precipitation dataset (updated from Harris et al., 2014). Evolution over decades of the latitudinal density of stations per zonal band for the Americas (orange), Europe/Africa (green) and Asia/Australasia (blue), stacked to indicate the zonal total. Incomplete data series are included as a fraction of available data. The black line indicates the number of stations per zonal band required to obtain an average zonal coverage of 1 station per $(100\text{km})^2$ of land at that latitude. This figure shows the station numbers in absolute terms and in

1117 relation to the latitudinally-varying land area. Other datasets have similar differences in
1118 coverage over time (see supplementary figure 2 for GPCC).

1119 **Figure 5:** High latitude (55-90N) annual mean precipitation trends [mm/decade] from
1120 1951-2005 for three observational datasets: Zhang et al. (2007; updated; 5x5 degree
1121 grid); GPCC Full data V6 (Becker et al., 2013), CRU TS3.0, updated (Harris et al., 2013;
1122 grid points with CRU station data available for >95% of the time are stippled) compared
1123 to the CMIP5 multimodel mean trend of Historical runs with all external forcings
1124 ('Multi-model Mean'). Note that both GPCC and CRU use spatial interpolation to varying
1125 extents, while Zhang et al., 2007 average a subset of stations only, considered to be
1126 homogeneous in the long-term within grid-boxes.

1127

1128 **Figure 6:** Top: The 2nd EOF of global sea surface temperature (3-yr running mean) data
1129 from 1920-2011 based on the HadISST data set. The red line is a smoothed index
1130 representing the inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO). The bottom panel shows
1131 smoothed precipitation anomalies averaged over the Southwest U.S. (black line)
1132 compared with the IPO index, scaled for comparison. (Reproduced from Dai 2013b).

1133




Figure 1 left panel: Projected global-mean precipitation change (mm/day) against global-mean 2m air temperature change (K) from CMIP5 models, for four representative concentration pathways (RCP) scenarios. Values are means over successive decades between 2006 and 2095 and all ensemble members of each model. Anomalies are relative to mean values over 1986-2005 in the CMIP5 historical runs. **Right panel:** Precipitation sensitivity for future (RCP scenarios) and past (Historical and Atmospheric Model Intercomparison, AMIP) change in precipitation amount [%] per degree global-mean warming. Trends are calculated from the linear least squares fit of annual global-mean precipitation change (%) against temperature (K) change relative to the period 1988-2005 (without decadal smoothing). Crosses indicate ensemble means for each CMIP5 model, circles indicate multi-model mean. Precipitation sensitivity is also shown for historical periods; comparing GCMs with GPCP, GPCC and CRU data (see text), using temperature changes from HadCRUT4 (Morice et al., 2012; note that land and ocean dP/dT values use global-mean temperature). Whiskers indicate 95% confidence intervals for observed linear trends (model trend confidence intervals are not shown, but are often large).




Figure 2: Observed and model simulated annual and zonal mean precipitation change (%/decade) for: top, observations where they exist over land; bottom, GCMs, all gridboxes. Top panel: Observed 1951-2005 changes (solid colored lines) from 4 datasets CRU TS3.0 updated, Harris et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2007 updated; GPCC VasClimO, Beck et al. 2005; and GPCC Full data V6, Becker et al. 2013). Range of CMIP5 model simulations (grey shading, masked to cover land only) and multi-model ensemble mean (black dashes, 'MM'). Blue shading shows latitudes where all observed datasets show positive trends and orange shading shows where all show negative trends. Interpolated data in the CRU dataset are masked out. Bottom panel: Trends based on global coverage from climate models from the Historical simulations (grey dashed lines are individual simulations, black dashed line multi-model mean; blue dashes multi-model mean from simulations forced by natural forcing only) compared to the 2006-2050 trend from the RCP4.5 multimodel simulations (green shading: 5-95% range, green dashes: multimodel mean). Blue (orange) shading indicates where more than two thirds of the historical simulations show positive (negative) trends.






Figure 3: Three observed estimates of long-term global and basin zonal-mean near-surface salinity changes, nominally for the 1950-2000 period. Positive values show increased salinities and negative values freshening. Changes are expressed on the Practical Salinity Scale (PSS-78) per 50-years. The data coverage, as used in Durack and Wijffels (2010), is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Reproduced from Durack et al. (2013).



1177 **Figure 4:** Number of in situ stations over time for the CRU TS 3.21 gridded precipitation
1178 dataset (updated from Harris et al., 2013). Evolution over decades of the latitudinal
1179 density of stations per zonal band for the Americas (orange), Europe/Africa (green) and
1180 Asia/Australasia (blue), stacked to indicate the zonal total. Incomplete data series are
1181 included as a fraction of available data. The black line indicates the number of stations
1182 per zonal band required to obtain an average zonal coverage of 1 station per $(100\text{km})^2$
1183 of land at that latitude. This figure shows the station numbers in absolute terms and in
1184 relation to the latitudinally-varying land area. Other datasets have similar differences in
1185 coverage over time (see supplementary figure 2 for GPCC).
1186



1187

1188 **Figure 5:** High latitude (55-90N) annual mean precipitation trends [mm/decade] from
 1189 1951-2005 for three observational datasets: Zhang et al. (2007; updated; 5x5 degree
 1190 grid); GPCC Full data V6 (Becker et al., 2013), CRU TS3.0, updated (Harris et al., 2013;
 1191 grid points with CRU station data available for >95% of the time are stippled) compared
 1192 to the CMIP5 multimodel mean trend of Historical runs with all external forcings
 1193 ('Multi-model Mean'). Note that both GPCC and CRU use spatial interpolation to varying
 1194 extents, while Zhang et al., 2007 average a subset of stations only, considered to be
 1195 homogeneous in the long-term within grid-boxes.




Figure 6: Top: The 2nd EOF of global sea surface temperature (3-yr running mean) data from 1920-2011 based on the HadISST data set. The red line is a smoothed index representing the inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO). The bottom panel shows smoothed precipitation anomalies averaged over the Southwest U.S. (black line) compared with the IPO index, scaled for comparison. (Reproduced from Dai 2013b).