

British container breeding mosquitoes: the impact of urbanisation and climate change on community composition and phenology

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Townroe, S. and Callaghan, A. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2731-3352> (2014) British container breeding mosquitoes: the impact of urbanisation and climate change on community composition and phenology. PLoS ONE, 9 (4). 5325. ISSN 1932-6203 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095325 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/36604/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0095325>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095325>

Publisher: Public Library of Science

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



British Container Breeding Mosquitoes: The Impact of Urbanisation and Climate Change on Community Composition and Phenology

Susannah Townroe, Amanda Callaghan*

Environmental and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, England

Abstract

The proliferation of artificial container habitats in urban areas has benefitted urban adaptable mosquito species globally. In areas where mosquitoes transmit viruses and parasites, it can promote vector population productivity and fuel mosquito-borne disease outbreaks. In Britain, storage of water in garden water butts is increasing, potentially expanding mosquito larval habitats and influencing population dynamics and mosquito-human contact. Here we show that the community composition, abundance and phenology of mosquitoes breeding in experimental water butt containers were influenced by urbanisation. Mosquitoes in urban containers were less species-rich but present in significantly higher densities (100.4 ± 21.3) per container than those in rural containers (77.7 ± 15.1). Urban containers were dominated by *Culex pipiens* (a potential vector of West Nile Virus [WNV]) and appear to be increasingly exploited by *Anopheles plumbeus* (a human-biting potential WNV and malaria vector). *Culex* phenology was influenced by urban land use type, with peaks in larval abundances occurring earlier in urban than rural containers. Among other factors, this was associated with an urban heat island effect which raised urban air and water temperatures by 0.9°C and 1.2°C respectively. Further increases in domestic water storage, particularly in urban areas, in combination with climate changes will likely alter mosquito population dynamics in the UK.

Citation: Townroe S, Callaghan A (2014) British Container Breeding Mosquitoes: The Impact of Urbanisation and Climate Change on Community Composition and Phenology. PLoS ONE 9(4): e95325. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325

Editor: Brock Fenton, University of Western Ontario, Canada

Received: November 26, 2013; **Accepted:** March 25, 2014; **Published:** April 23, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Townroe, Callaghan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Susannah Townroe was supported by a NERC studentship number DTG 2010-14 (<http://www.nerc.ac.uk/>). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: a.callaghan@reading.ac.uk

Introduction

Urbanisation is a major cause of modification to the landscape. The UK continues to experience urban expansion with 80% of the population in England living in urban areas [1]. Urbanisation alters the physical environment in a way which is known to alter habitat types, species numbers and the community composition of ecosystems [2,3]. Urbanisation also influences localised temperature dynamics (urban heat islands, UHI) through the absorption and release of solar energy trapped in buildings and through heat produced as a by-product of industry.

Mosquito species in the genera *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex* that have wide ranging or suitable environmental tolerances have benefitted from anthropological environmental change and can thrive in urban areas [4]. Exploitation of human environments has allowed species such as *Ae. albopictus* to dramatically increase its range globally with recent range expansion across Europe [5]. A close association of mosquitoes and humans in urban areas also facilitates mosquito-borne human disease outbreaks in other parts of the world [5,6]. A recent example of this has been the wave of WNV outbreaks across parts of the USA vectored by *Culex* species [5,7].

Within the UK, containers that harvest and store rainwater for domestic use (water butts) are becoming increasingly common in residential gardens; driven in part by changing climate and weather patterns that are increasing pressure on water resources. Water butts are wooden or plastic cylindrical containers that

collect water from gutters. A severe drought and subsequent hosepipe ban in the spring of 2012 across Southern and Eastern England led to reports of hugely inflated sales of water butt containers [8]. The wet summer that followed is likely to have filled these containers, representing potential new habitats for mosquitoes. Water butts collect rain from roof guttering along with vegetation, animal detritus and heterotrophic microorganisms (i.e., bacteria, fungi, protozoans), providing both a habitat and food resource for mosquito larvae [9,10]. They are designed to store water and their height precludes colonization by vertebrate and some invertebrate predators of mosquito larvae (e.g. fish, amphibians, dragonflies) [11]. Although each water butt only provides 50-250 litres of water, when combined, containers may represent a large area of habitat and can create a network of easily accessible prime mosquito larval habitat [12]. This, in combination with the UHI effect, which can increase the temperature in large UK cities by as much as 8.9°C compared to surrounding rural areas [13], may favour increased mosquito larval production in urban habitats.

In the UK, several mosquito species are known to use water butt habitats, including *Culex pipiens* L., *Culex torrentium*, *Culiseta annulata* and *Anopheles plumbeus* [14]. Whilst it is predicted that an increase in container habitats in the UK will increase mosquito abundance [14], there are limited data on the species composition, abundances and seasonal variation of mosquitoes using urban and rural habitats. Mosquito species which are a human biting

nuisance or are potential vectors of human or wildlife diseases such as WNV or avian pox virus (Poxviridae) are potentially going to thrive in urban environments where they will come into close contact with humans and birds.

The focus of this study is the standard garden water butt container and the species composition and phenology of the mosquitoes that breed in them in the South East of England. We investigated the wider habitat matrix effect, and tested the hypothesis that containers in urban type landscapes would support higher larval densities but lower species richness than containers in rural landscapes. We examined mosquito colonisation and phenology to establish seasonal activity of species in the two different landscape types. We discuss how urbanisation of the UK landscape influences various aspects of mosquito biology and the implications of future land use and climate change scenarios on mosquito population dynamics.

Material and Methods

(a) Study sites and experimental containers

The study was undertaken in Berkshire and Oxfordshire, England. Two land use types were selected for the study; urban residential gardens and rural residential gardens, with 10 sites of each in 2011 and eight sites of each in 2012. Sites in the urban land use type were located in the town of Reading within 4 km of the town centre (51°27'19.99"N, 0°58'17.89"W, total town area 55.35 km², human population 232,662 [15]). Sites in the rural land use type were located in gardens in villages, hamlets or isolated dwellings where settlement types were sparse and of a distance greater than 1 km from suburb limits. The study was advertised in local media and members of the public volunteered use of their gardens. The first 10 urban and 10 rural sites which fit the criteria were selected for use in the study. We would like to thank volunteering members of the public for allowing access to their gardens for sampling.

An 80 litre black plastic dustbin (44.5×58.5 cm) acting as a water butt (without gutter downpipes) was placed at each site. All containers were positioned against an outside wall of a building facing North, North East or North West and/or in shade for more than 50% of the day to control for exposure to solar radiation. Each container was filled with 50 litres of tap water, 8.7 g of dried and coarsely ground laboratory Coleoptera specimens and 300 g of partially decomposed leaf litter from mixed deciduous trees from a single local source. This type of low-to-medium detrital load including both animal detritus and mixed leaf litter provides a suitable and natural source of nutrition for developing mosquito larvae [16]. Each container was fitted with a secure lid incorporating four 4 cm diameter holes to allow invertebrates to enter. All containers remained in position for eight months.

(b) Sample collection

Containers were sampled weekly for 29 weeks from 7th April 2011. In March 2012, 16 new containers were set up at 8 urban and 8 rural sites following the same method as the previous year. These containers were sampled weekly for 30 weeks from 4th April 2012.

Sampling was carried out using a device adapted from Onyeka [17]. The device included three sections of drain pipe (4 cm high, 0.4 cm thick and 8 cm diameter) bolted together in line with fine mesh net glued to the bottom of each ring and a flexible wire handle attached to the outer edge of the furthest two rings. The device was lowered into the container and allowed to rest on the bottom for 5 minutes before being drawn swiftly up through the water to collect animals. This method was carried out once per

container per sampling event and the depth of water in each container was recorded at this time. Mosquito larval abundance, instar and subfamily and pupal abundance were recorded following collection with the sampling device. All larvae were replaced in the container to prevent interference of removal sampling. All pupae collected in the sample device were not returned. Additional pupae were collected from the water surface with a pipette during a 10 minute search period at each sampling event. All pupae were taken to the laboratory for rearing to adult then frozen at −22°C. Adults were identified using a 10–40× magnification microscope using the key of Cranston et al. [18]. Slide preparations were assembled for male terminalia of *Cx pipiens* and *Cx torrentium* for differentiation between species [19].

Container water temperature and adjacent air temperature were recorded weekly at all sites during sampling in 2011. During 2012, air and water temperature data were collected from four randomly selected sites in both landscape types using data loggers (Tiny Tag Talk 2 Temperature Logger, Gemini Data Logger UK Ltd, Chichester, West Sussex, UK). At each selected site one data logger was attached to a stake and secured in the ground next to the container, out of direct sunlight and with a waterproof canopy fitted, to record air temperature. One data logger measured water temperature within the container using a water-resistant probe submerged at a depth of approximately 20 cm at the edge of the container. Data loggers recording air temperature took measurements of average temperature every 30 minutes from 24/3/12 to 9/11/12. Data loggers recording water temperature took measurements of average, maximum and minimum water temperatures every 30 minutes from 2/4/12 to 9/11/12.

(c) Statistical analysis

Mean mosquito abundance data per container were used for analyses. First instar larvae were excluded from analyses as they were too small to detect reliably. The sampling period was divided equally to provide three seasons that were defined as early season (4th April– 7th June), mid-season (14th June– 17th August), and late season (23rd August– 25th October). The relationship between mean mosquito abundance and location, year and season were analysed using generalised linear mixed-effects models with 'location', 'year' and 'season' as fixed effects and 'site' as random effect. Model fitting and estimates were obtained with the linear mixed-effects (lmer) package lme4 in R version 2.15.2 [20] and fit by Laplace approximation with a specified 'poisson' error family. We followed Crawley [21] and used the 'anova' function in the lme4 package to compare the quality of fit between models. Model fit and the significance of including the varying slope parameters were tested using AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion) and log-likelihood ratio statistics (LLR λ_2) [22]. Wald's Z statistic and probability 'P' values of best fit models are quoted throughout. Degrees of freedom are not quoted since there is currently no consensus on the correct method for their calculation in lmer models [22,23].

Temperature data were averaged over each year with averaged values for each site used for analyses. The relationship between air and water temperature and the relationships between temperature and location and year were analysed using linear models in R version 2.15.2 [20] with model fit assessed by the distribution of residuals. Means and standard errors are reported throughout, and significance was assigned at the 5% level.

Results

(a) Mosquito population dynamics

Throughout 2011–2012, five mosquito species were recorded breeding in experimental garden water butt containers (Table 1,




Figure 1. Total number and composition of mosquito species collected from containers. Individuals collected as pupae in 2011 from rural (a) and urban (b) containers and in 2012 from rural (c) and urban containers (d), April to October. Note the Y axis is log10 transformed.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.g001

figure 1). *Culex pipiens* was the most abundant species (77% of all pupae collected). In urban containers only 3 species were recorded; *Cx pipiens* (both years), *An. claviger* (2011) and *An. plumbeus* (2012). *Cx pipiens* dominated urban containers during both years representing 97% of the community in 2011 and 85% in 2012. In 2011 the rural containers held five mosquito species (Table 1, figure 1) although *An. claviger* was not recorded in 2012.

Cx torrentium was found breeding in 25% (2011) and 30% (2012) of rural containers but was absent from the urban sites. Figure 1 shows that no mosquito pupae were found during July 2012 in either urban or rural locations.

Culicine larvae and pupae and Anopheline pupae were more abundant in urban compared with rural containers (Table 2 & 3), although the opposite was true for Anopheline larvae (Table 2). All mosquito larvae were more abundant in 2011 than 2012 (Table 2 and 3). There was a strong interaction effect of location and year on larvae from both subfamilies combined and on culicine larvae alone, with significantly higher larval abundances in the urban location in 2011 ($Z = 5.085$, $P < 0.001$; $Z = 5.006$, $P < 0.001$, respectively). Pupae of both subfamilies were marginally more abundant in 2012 than 2011, however this was not significant.

(b) Container colonisation and seasonal niche separation

Anopheline mosquitoes colonised nine rural and six urban containers in 2011 with larvae present at both site types from the 30th of June until the final week of sampling. Colonisation rates were lower in 2012 with *Anopheles* present in only half the containers in each site type; from 5th July to 12th September in urban containers and 19th July until the final week of sampling in rural containers. There were no significant differences in Anopheline larvae abundance between seasons.

Culicinae larvae were present in containers in both landscape types from 12th April until 20th October in rural and until 27th October in urban containers in 2011. Whilst in 2012 Culicinae larvae were present in urban containers from 18th April and considerably later in rural containers, from 7th June. Larvae were present at both site types until 25th October 2012. A greater number of containers were colonised by Culicinae larvae at the beginning of the season in urban compared to rural gardens. Analysis of mean Culicinae larval abundance across the three seasons 'early', 'mid' and 'late' showed that overall abundances were highest mid-season (Table 3, Fig. 2). However, there was an interaction effect of location and season with significantly higher abundances of all Culicinae development stages in the early season in urban containers compared to rural containers (Table 3, Fig. 2).

(c) Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect

A significant Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect was detected in Reading over both years with air temperatures on average 0.9°C

Table 1. Total (%) of mosquito species collected (as pupae) from rural and urban containers in 2011 and 2012 and their potential as vectors of disease.

Species	2011			2012			2011 & 2012	Anthropophagic*	Likely bridge vector*	Vector of disease in Europe*
	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total				
<i>An. claviger</i>	144 (31)	36 (3)	180 (10)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	180 (4)	X	-	Batai Virus
<i>An. plumbeus</i>	12 (3)	0 (0)	12 (1)	12 (1)	264 (15)	276 (9)	288 (6)	X	X	WNV, Malaria (<i>P. vivax</i> and <i>P. falciparum</i>) ¹
<i>Cx pipiens</i>	192 (41)	1212 (97)	1404 (82)	612 (55)	1536 (85)	2148 (74)	3552 (77)	-	-	Ockelbo virus, SINV, TAHV, USUV, WNV
<i>Cx torrentium</i>	108 (23)	0 (0)	108 (6)	348 (31)	0 (0)	348 (12)	456 (10)	-	-	Ockelbo Virus, SINV
<i>Cs. annulata</i>	12 (3)	0 (0)	12 (1)	144 (13)	0 (0)	144 (5)	156 (3)	X	X	TAHV, USUV
Total	468	1248	1716	1116	1800	2916	4632			

*Information of host preference taken from Medlock et al. [32] and disease vector status from Medlock et al. [31] and ¹Schaffner et al. [28].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.t001

Table 2. Mean total mosquito densities per container ($\pm$ se) of each development stage of subfamilies Anophelinae and Culicinae by location and year.

	2011	2012	Rural	Urban
Anophelinae				
Total larvae	5 $\pm$ 1.4	2.8 $\pm$ 1.3	4.7 $\pm$ 1.6	3.4 $\pm$ 1.1
Pupae	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	0.6 $\pm$ 0.3	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	0.6 $\pm$ 0.2
Culicinae				
Total larvae	99.9 $\pm$ 20.8	66.2 $\pm$ 13.7	73.0 $\pm$ 15.3	97.0 $\pm$ 21.4
Pupae	6.0 $\pm$ 2.0	8.9 $\pm$ 3.6	4.4 $\pm$ 1.8	10.2 $\pm$ 3.4
Subfamilies combined				
Total larvae	105 $\pm$ 20.6	69 $\pm$ 13.8	77.7 $\pm$ 15.1	100.4 $\pm$ 21.3
Pupae	6.4 $\pm$ 2.0	9.5 $\pm$ 3.7	4.7 $\pm$ 1.8	10.8 $\pm$ 3.4

Results from best fit generalised linear mixed model fit by Laplace approximation. There was no significant main or interaction effect of 'location', 'year', or 'season' on *Anopheles* (analyses not shown). Bold type denotes significance at the 5% level.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.t002

Table 3. Main effects and interaction effects of 'location' and 'year' on mean total of all mosquitoes and of Culicinae and main and interaction effects of 'season' and 'location' on Culicinae mosquitoes.

Factor	Pupae		Total larvae	
	Z	P	Z	P
Location and year				
Subfamilies combined				
Location urban	2.195	<0.05	3.354	<0.001
Year 2012	-0.03	0.9	-12.335	<0.001
Loc.urban x year2012	0.03	0.9	5.085	<0.001
Culicinae				
Location urban	2.22	<0.05	11.054	<0.001
Year 2012	-0.03	0.9	-12.301	<0.001
Loc.urban x year2012	0.03	0.9	5.006	<0.001
Season and location				
Culicinae				
Mid-season vs Early season	-4.109	<0.001	19.816	<0.001
Late season vs Early season	-0.84	0.4	-4.879	<0.001
Loc. urban x mid-season	-2.149	<0.05	-26.076	<0.001
Loc. urban x late season	-7.525	<0.001	-20.785	<0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.t003

higher at urban sites and water temperatures on average 1.3°C higher at urban sites than rural (Table 4). The relationship between air and water temperatures was highly significant (adjusted $R^2 = 0.83$, $F_{(1, 26)} = 133.3$, $P < 0.001$) (see figure S1). There was a significant difference in temperatures between years with both air and water temperatures on average lower in 2012 than 2011 (Table 4). In 2011 average temperatures followed an expected pattern and rose gradually with each successive month, from 14.6°C in April to a peak of 17.9°C in July and then gradually declined again to 13°C in October. 2012 did not follow the same pattern and instead average temperature initially peaked in May (15.8°C) followed by a cooler June (14°C) and July (15.6°C) and then another peak in August (17.2°C). Importantly, there was a very large difference in the minimum temperatures in July in 2011 (15°C) and 2012 (5.7°C).

In 2011 the UHI effect was significant for both air temperature (0.9°C) and water temperature (1.1°C). In 2012, the average air temperature UHI effect was 0.8°C (ns). The average water temperature UHI effect was 1.6°C with an average maximum effect of 2.2°C and average minimum effect of 1.2°C. Water temperatures were significantly higher at urban sites for all three measurements taken; average, maximum, and minimum (table 4). The difference between maximum urban and rural air temperatures reached 5°C and water temperatures reached 5.1°C.

Discussion

The results of this study show that artificial freshwater habitats such as garden water butts support diverse mosquito populations, with significantly higher larval densities but reduced species richness in urban gardens compared to rural gardens. The broad indications of this study support the notion that urbanisation of the landscape influences various aspects of mosquito biology including the diversity and density of mosquito species and the seasonality of peak larval abundances.




Figure 2. Interaction effects of 'season' and 'location' on culicine larval densities. Interaction effects of 'season' (x axis) and 'location' (family of lines) on mean total culicine larval densities ($\pm$ se) per container and mean total densities of each immature development stage, II-IV instar larvae and pupae (y axis), April to October 2011 and 2012.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.g002

The species recorded in this study are all common and widespread in the UK and known to utilise artificial container habitats [18]. The variation found in species distribution in both landscape types may be explained by the likely variation in aquatic habitat diversity in each landscape type. Rural areas are likely to contain a greater diversity of natural larval habitats that support more species-rich and diverse populations which can utilise but not necessarily rely on containers for breeding [24]. In contrast, historical development and continued alteration of urban Reading has probably reduced the abundance and diversity of natural type larval habitats and replaced them with artificial larval habitats. The widespread presence of *Cx pipiens* was expected since this is one of the commonest British mosquitoes and its presence in artificial container habitats, along with its sympatric sibling species *Cx torrentium*, has been well documented in the UK [24,25]. It is notable that *Cx torrentium*, which is similar in many respects to *Cx pipiens*, was widely distributed across rural sites but absent from urban sites. Previous studies report *Cx torrentium* predominating over *Cx pipiens* in peri-domestic habitats [25]. However our results concur with more recent survey work that failed to find *Cx torrentium* in urban areas [24], suggesting that this species is either unable to colonise or to survive in containers in urbanised areas. Anopheline species exploited the container habitats in both landscape types, although these do not represent their main breeding habitat which is more commonly tree holes for *An. plumbeus* and shaded ponds, ditches, and streams, for *An. claviger* [26]. These natural habitat types are likely to be more abundant in rural areas supporting larger populations of Anopheles here, in part explaining why we found greater abundances of Anopheline larvae in rural containers. The relatively high abundance of *An. plumbeus* during the late season of 2012, and dramatic increase in abundance from the previous year is notable. Early surveys of *An.*

plumbeus in the UK describe containers as occasional breeding sites [27]. However, the numbers found in this study indicate successful use of container habitats and imply a similar trend to that seen in Continental Europe where *An. plumbeus* is shifting habitats from almost exclusively breeding in tree holes to exploiting a wider array of novel man-made larval breeding habitats, including water butts [28]. Population expansions or increased use of human associated habitats by *An. plumbeus* is of particular human health importance because this species is a day active and vicious human biting mosquito. It is a candidate bridge vector of WNV and infectivity tests of European strains have revealed that it can transmit *Plasmodium falciparum*, confirming its competence as a bridge vector of tropical malaria [28].

Containers in urban gardens held significantly greater densities of larvae and pupae than containers in rural gardens. This is largely due to the dominance of *Cx pipiens* which has a high ecological and physiological flexibility [3] allowing exploitation of the urban environment where lower mosquito species richness provides reduced intraspecific competition. In countries where *Culex* species transmit diseases, their domination in urban environments supports disease outbreaks [29,30]. In the UK, *Cx pipiens* is ornithophilic and not likely to cause any biting nuisance [31]. The British Isles are currently free from mosquito-borne disease transmission to humans [32]. However, there is evidence of WNV neutralising antibodies in British resident birds [33] and, as a known competent WNV vector, *Cx pipiens* is a likely candidate for enzootic transmission of this disease among birds where circumstances are conducive and particularly in urban areas where they dominate in the UK [32].

In Reading a significant average air temperature UHI effect of 0.9°C was detected over the whole study period. In 2012 average water temperature differences reached 2.2°C with maximum

Table 4. Mean ($\pm$ se), maximum and minimum air and water temperatures and effects of year and location on temperatures in 2011 and 2012.

Factor	Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)		d.f. effect, error	Test statistic	P
	2011	2012			
Air	15.9 $\pm$ 0.17	13.1 $\pm$ 0.26	2,25	129.25	<0.001
Water	15.6 $\pm$ 0.18	13.6 $\pm$ 0.26	2,25	63.25	<0.001
	Urban	Rural			
Air	15.6 $\pm$ 0.38	14.7 $\pm$ 0.39	2,25	16.13	<0.001
Water	15.7 $\pm$ 0.24	14.4 $\pm$ 0.33	2,25	28.9	<0.001
2011					
Air	16.4 $\pm$ 0.16	15.5 $\pm$ 0.21	1,18	3.49	0.002
Max.	22.9	28			
Min.	8.1	7.1			
Water	16.1 $\pm$ 0.17	15.08 $\pm$ 0.23	1,18	3.54	0.002
Max.	25.2	23.2			
Min.	8.6	8			
2012					
Air	13.5 $\pm$ 0.31	12.7 $\pm$ 0.43	1,18	1.84	0.1
Max.	34.9	29.9			
Min.	-0.1	-2.7			
Water	14.4 $\pm$ 0.06	12.8 $\pm$ 0.27	1,18	5.69	0.001
Max.	29.6	24.5			
Av. Max.	16.5 $\pm$ 0.23	14.3 $\pm$ 0.42	1,18	4.61	<0.01
Min.	3.3	1.6			
Av. Min.	12.8 $\pm$ 0.07	11.6 $\pm$ 0.2	1,18	5.06	<0.01

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095325.t004

differences as high as 5 $^{\circ}$ C. The biological importance of a 1 $^{\circ}$ C air temperature increase to *Cx pipiens* is unclear. However, warmer air temperatures are known to affect adult flight activity, digestion of blood meal and egg development by females [9]. Increases in water temperature of 5 $^{\circ}$ C can influence larval development times, speeding up *Cx pipiens* development by 8.3 days [34]. Our results show that when comparing larval abundances between 2011 and 2012, abundances were significantly greater during 2011 when temperatures were significantly higher than the following year. Conversely, low temperatures may retard larval development. Our results show that during July of 2012 far fewer than expected pupae were collected. This coincided with unusually low average air temperatures for this month and minimum air temperatures of only 5.7 $^{\circ}$ C (9 $^{\circ}$ C lower than minimum temperatures in July of the previous year), suggesting a link between air temperature and the number of mosquitoes potentially emerging.

References

- Smith RM, Gaston KJ, Warren PH, Thompson K (2005) Urban domestic gardens (V): relationships between landcover composition, housing and landscape. *Landscape Ecology* 20: 235–253.
- Sala OE, Chapin FS, Armesto JJ, Berlow E, Bloomfield J, et al. (2000) Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science of The Total Environment* 287: 1770–1774.
- McKinney ML (2006) Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. *Biological Conservation* 127: 247–260.
- Deraiik JGB (2005) Mosquitoes breeding in container habitats in urban and peri-urban areas in the Auckland Region, New Zealand. *Entomotropica* 20: 89–93.
- Lambrechts L, Scott TW, Gubler DJ (2010) Consequences of the Expanding Global Distribution of *Aedes albopictus* for Dengue Virus Transmission. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 4: 645.
- Patz JA, Daszak P, Tabor GM, Aguirre AA, Pearl M, et al. (2004) Unhealthy Landscapes: Policy Recommendations on Land Use Change and Infectious Disease Emergence. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 112: 1092–1098.
- Ruiz MO, Walker ED, Foster ES, Haramis LD, Kitron UD (2007) Association of West Nile virus illness and urban landscapes in Chicago and Detroit. *International Journal of Health Geographics* 6: 1–11.
- Papworth J (2012) Threatened by a hosepipe ban? There are no ifs. it has to be water butts. In: Rusbridger A, editor. *The Guardian: Guardian News and Media Limited*

Our results showed that *Culex* mosquitoes utilised an earlier seasonal niche in the urban environment. Termination of diapause and initiation of gonotrophic activity is influenced by increasing environmental temperature [17,35], with warmer temperatures in the antecedent winter season and early spring correlating positively with increased spring *Culex* abundance [36]. Higher temperatures in urban areas may extend the breeding season, increasing the rate of reproductive cycling and number of host interactions. Therefore climate change and UHI effects can alter the phenology of *Culex* mosquitoes leading to early exodus from hibernation sites, increased abundances of females [35,37] and, in countries where they transmit disease, early season outbreaks of disease [38]. Early spring abundances of *Cx pipiens* at urban sites may also be synchronised with early breeding urban birds to exploit the increased susceptibility at this time of brooding parents and nestlings to mosquito attack [39].

In conclusion, urbanisation changes the environment in a way which has pronounced consequences to British mosquito communities. The changing climate and weather patterns that encourage the human activity of domestic garden water storage also result in an abundance of container breeding habitats, particularly in urban areas. Conditions in the urban environment appear to restrict colonisation to only those species with suitable environmental tolerances, potentially facilitating a shift in the habitat niche of *An. plumbeus* and allowing *Cx pipiens* populations to dominate and thrive. The abundance of these water resources, and synanthropic birds as potential food sources and an UHI effect which maintains warmer air and water temperatures appears to be driving the phenology of *Culex* mosquitoes in urban areas to become earlier than those in rural areas. These changes are conducive to mosquito populations becoming more of a nuisance to humans and an increase in potential for transmission of human and wildlife diseases. Further increases in domestic water storage in combination with climate changes will likely alter mosquito population dynamics.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Average air and water temperatures. Average weekly air and water temperatures in 2011 (a) and average daily air and water temperatures in 2012 (b) at rural and urban sites. (TIFF)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr M.D.E. Fellowes for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ST AC. Performed the experiments: ST AC. Analyzed the data: ST AC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ST AC. Wrote the paper: ST AC.

9. Clements AN (1992) *The Biology of Mosquitoes I*. London, U.K: Chapman and Hall.
10. Merritt RW, Dadd RH, Walker ED (1992) Feeding behavior, natural food, and nutritional relationships of larval mosquitoes. *Annual Review of Entomology* 37: 349–376.
11. Yee DA, Kesavaraju B, Juliano SA (2007) Direct and Indirect Effects of Animal Detritus on Growth, Survival, and Mass of Invasive Container Mosquito *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 44: 580–588.
12. Vezzani D (2007) Review: Artificial container-breeding mosquitoes and cemeteries: a perfect match. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 12: 299–313.
13. Kolokotroni M, Giridharan R (2008) Urban heat island intensity in London: An investigation of the impact of physical characteristics on changes in outdoor air temperature during summer. *Solar energy* 82: 986–998.
14. Snow K, Medlock J (2006) The potential impact of climate change on the distribution and prevalence of mosquitoes in Britain. *Journal of the European Mosquito Control Association* 21: 1–10.
15. Office for National Statistics (2011) Table H01 2011 Census: Number of households with at least one usual resident, local authorities in England and Wales. In: Statistics OfN, editor.
16. Reiskind MH, Greene KL, Lounibos LP (2009) Leaf species identity and combination affect performance and oviposition choice of two container mosquito species. *Ecological Entomology* 34: 447–456.
17. Onyeka JA (1980) Studies on the ecology and biology of *Culex pipiens* and *Culex torrentium* Martini (Diptera: Culicidae) in Britain [Unpublished PhD. thesis]: Imperial College, University of London.
18. Cranston PS, Ramsdale CD, Snow KR, White GB (1987) Adults, larvae and pupae of British mosquitoes (Culicidae) A key; Association FB, editor: Freshwater Biological Association. 1–152 p.
19. Service MW (1968) The taxonomy and biology of two sympatric sibling species of *Culex*, *C. pipiens* and *C. torrentium* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Zoology London* 156: 313–323.
20. R-Core-Team (2012) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. In: Computing RfIs, editor. Vienna, Austria.
21. Crawley MJ (2009) *The R book*. Chichester, England: Wiley.
22. Bates D (2005) Fitting linear mixed models in R. *R news* 5: 27–30.
23. Bolker BM, Brooks M, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, et al. (2008) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24: 127–135.
24. Snow K, Medlock JM (2008) The mosquitoes of Epping Forest, Essex, UK. *European Mosquito Bulletin* 26: 9–17.
25. Gillies MT, Gubbins SJ (1982) *Culex* (*Culex*) *torrentium* Martini and *Cx.* (*Cx.*) *pipiens* L. in a Southern English County, 1974–1975. *Mosquito Systematics* 14: 127–130.
26. Snow K (1998) Distribution of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in the British Isles. *Journal of the European Mosquito Control Association* 1: 9–13.
27. Marshall JF (1938) *The British Mosquitoes*. London: British Museum. Xi+341 p.
28. Schaffner F, Thiéry I, Kaufmann C, Zettor A, Lengeler C, et al. (2012) *Anopheles plumbeus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Europe: a mere nuisance mosquito or potential malaria vector? *Malaria Journal* 11: 1–7.
29. Andreadis TG, Armstrong PM, Bajwa WI (2010) Studies on hibernating populations of *Culex pipiens* from a west Nile virus endemic focus in New York city: Parity rates and isolation of west Nile virus. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 26: 257–264.
30. Pecoraro HL, Day HL, Reineke R, Stevens N, Withey JC, et al. (2007) Climatic and landscape correlates for potential West Nile virus mosquito vectors in the Seattle region. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 31: 22–28.
31. Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S (2007) Possible ecology and epidemiology of medically important mosquito-borne arboviruses in Great Britain. *Epidemiology and Infection*: 466–482.
32. Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S (2005) Potential transmission of West Nile virus in the British Isles: an ecological review of candidate mosquito bridge vectors. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 19: 2–21.
33. Buckley A, Dawson A, Moss SR, Hinsley SA, Bellamy PE, et al. (2003) Serological evidence of West Nile virus, Usutu virus and Sindbis virus infection of birds in the UK. *Journal of General Virology* 84: 2807–2817.
34. Loetti V, Schweigmann N, Burroni N (2011) Development rates, larval survivorship and wing length of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) at constant temperatures. *Journal of Natural History* 45: 2207–2217.
35. Reisen WK, Thiemann T, Barker CM, Lu H, Carroll B, et al. (2010) Effects of Warm Winter Temperature on the Abundance and Gonotrophic Activity of *Culex* (Diptera: Culicidae) in California. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 47: 230–237.
36. Reisen WK, Cayan D, Tyree M, Barker CM, Eldridge B, et al. (2008) Impact of climate variation on mosquito abundance in California. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 33: 89–98.
37. Ciota AT, Drummond CL, Drobnack J, Ruby MA, Kramer LD, et al. (2011) Emergence of *Culex pipiens* from Overwintering Hibernacula. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 27: 21–29.
38. Paz S, Albersheim I (2008) Influence of Warming Tendency on *Culex pipiens* Population Abundance and on the Probability of West Nile Fever Outbreaks (Israeli Case Study: 2001–2005). *Eco Health* 5: 40–48.
39. Burkett-Cadena ND, McClure CJW, Ligon RA, Graham SP, Guyer C, et al. (2011) Host Reproductive Phenology Drives Seasonal Patterns of Host Use in Mosquitoes. *PLoS ONE* 6: e17681.