

Early oral language and cognitive predictors of emergent literacy skills in Arabic-speaking children: evidence from Saudi children with developmental language disorder

Article

Accepted Version

Alsidiqqi, Z. A., Stojanovik, V. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6791-9968> and Pagnamenta, E. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4703-3163> (2025) Early oral language and cognitive predictors of emergent literacy skills in Arabic-speaking children: evidence from Saudi children with developmental language disorder. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research*, 68 (3S). pp. 1505-1520. ISSN 1558-9102 doi: 10.1044/2024_JSLHR-23-00643 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/117771/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/2024_JSLHR-23-00643

Publisher: ASHA

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Early oral language and cognitive predictors of emergent literacy skills**
2 **in Arabic speaking children: Evidence from Saudi children with**
3 **developmental language disorder**

4 Zakiyah A. Alsiddiqi^a, Vesna Stojanovik^b, and Emma Pagnamenta^b

5 ^a*Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*

6 ^b*School of Psychology and Clinical Language Sciences, University of Reading,*
7 *Reading, United Kingdom*

8
9 Address correspondence to Zakiyah A. Alsiddiqi, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi
10 Arabia E-mail zalsiddiqi@ksu.edu.sa

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ABSTRACT

28 **Purpose:** Although children with developmental language disorder (DLD) are known to
29 have difficulties with emergent literacy skills, few available studies have examined
30 emergent literacy skills in Arabic speaking children with DLD. Even though Arabic
31 language characteristics, such as diglossia and orthographic structure influence the
32 acquisition of literacy in Arabic-speaking children, research shows that oral language
33 skills, such as vocabulary, and cognitive skills, such as VSTM, predict literacy in Arabic-
34 speaking children. Moreover, linguistic and memory abilities are impaired in children
35 with DLD, including Arabic-speaking children. The current study examines the
36 relationships between oral language, verbal short-term memory (VSTM), and emergent
37 literacy skills in Arabic-speaking TD children and children with DLD.

38 **Method:** Participants were 40 typically developing (TD) children (20 girls; aged 4;0 to
39 6;11), and 26 children with DLD (9 girls; aged 4;0 to 6;11). All participants were
40 monolingual Arabic speakers and matched on age and socioeconomic status. A set of
41 comprehensive Arabic language (vocabulary knowledge, morphosyntactic, and listening
42 comprehension skills), VSTM, and emergent literacy (phonological awareness, and letter
43 knowledge skills) tests were administered.

44 **Results:** The DLD group scored significantly lower than the TD group on language,
45 VSTM, and emergent literacy measures. Results revealed that the contributions of oral
46 language and VSTM to emergent literacy skills across TD and DLD groups were
47 different. In the TD group, VSTM predicted emergent literacy skills, whereas in the DLD
48 groups, both vocabulary knowledge and VSTM predicted emergent literacy skills.

49 **Conclusion:** This study represents an important first step in understanding emergent
50 literacy skills and their relationships to language and memory in Arabic-speaking children
51 with and without DLD. The implications of these findings for clinical and education
52 provision are discussed.

53 Keywords: Developmental language disorder, verbal short-term memory,
54 emergent literacy, language skills, Arabic

55 **Introduction**

56 The process of learning to read begins in the early years of childhood, prior to formal
57 reading instruction (Rhyner, 2009). Emergent literacy, also known as early literacy,
58 reflects children's ability to understand reading and writing before they are considered
59 readers and writers (Teale & Sulzby, 1986). Similar to language development, emergent
60 literacy skills are acquired through an interactive and continuous process. As these skills
61 emerge, they concurrently interact with early oral language skills, and an interrelationship
62 between oral language skills and written language skills gradually appears and develops
63 over time. Thus, emergent literacy acts as a link between early language skills and literacy
64 skills (Rhyner, 2009). Different models have been suggested to provide researchers with
65 frameworks describing emergent literacy components and how they are related to each
66 other.

67 The simple view of reading (SVR) model (Gough & Tunmer, 1986) presents the
68 reading process by defining the two essential interrelated components: decoding and
69 language comprehension domains (Hoover & Tunmer, 2018a). Deficits in either of these
70 elements may lead to poor reading comprehension. The reading rope model
71 (Scarborough, 2001) supports the SVR model and advances it to include the underlying
72 subskills of decoding (i.e., phonological awareness, letter knowledge, sight-word
73 recognition), and language comprehension domains (i.e., background knowledge,
74 vocabulary knowledge, language structure, verbal reasoning). The reading rope model
75 also demonstrates how these subskills are related and influence each other's development,
76 highlighting the importance of each subskill for reading comprehension.

77 The connectionist model (Seidenberg, 2005) emphasizes the importance of
78 phonological representations to word recognition. It argues that word recognition is based
79 on the connection between orthographic and phonological knowledge, and that this

80 connection allows the development of direct connections between orthographic and
81 semantic knowledge.

82 Despite differences between the models discussed, all demonstrate how oral
83 language and literacy skills are fundamentally related to each other – a hypothesis
84 supported by numerous studies (Catts & Hogan, 2003; Psyridou et al., 2018; Snowling et
85 al., 2016; Tambyraja et al., 2015; Wilson & Lonigan, 2010).

86 Children with developmental language disorder (DLD) are known to have
87 language difficulties in one or more areas of language such as vocabulary and syntax
88 (Leonard, 2014) which may affect their reading and writing skills, leading to academic
89 difficulties (Botting, 2020; Boudreau & Hedberg, 1999; McGregor, 2020). McGregor
90 (2020) reported that school-age children with DLD are six times more likely than their
91 peers to have literacy and spelling difficulties. No study tested emergent literacy in
92 Arabic-speaking children with DLD, neither has any research tested the role of oral
93 language and memory skills to literacy in Arabic DLD. This question is particularly
94 informative given the fact that in Arabic, and given the diglossic context (Albirini, 2016),
95 children are required to develop literacy in a standard variety that is remarkably different
96 from the spoken vernacular they use for everyday oral communication (Saiegh-Haddad,
97 2018). The current study investigates the contribution of oral language skills to emergent
98 literacy skills in Arabic speaking young children aged 4;0 – 6;11 years old with and
99 without developmental language disorder (DLD). In particular, we focus on the roles of
100 different oral language skills: vocabulary knowledge, syntactic skills, morphological
101 skills, and listening comprehension.

102 Verbal short-term memory (VSTM) is a cognitive skill which refers to the ability
103 to listen and store information over a short period when other competing cognitive
104 demands are absent (Gathercole et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2020). Different studies have

105 reported that VSTM is correlated with reading skills in young children (Cunningham et
106 al., 2020; Cunningham & Carroll, 2013; Layes et al., 2021). Numerous studies have
107 shown that language difficulties in children with DLD are related to their memory deficits
108 (Archibald & Gathercole, 2007; Montgomery et al., 2010; Ullman et al., 2020).
109 Therefore, the study will also investigate the role of VSTM, alongside oral language
110 skills, in emergent literacy development.

111 ***Linguistic and Cognitive Predictors of Emergent Literacy Skills***

112 A growing body of research has highlighted the importance of oral language skills
113 in the development of emergent literacy and later literacy skills (Catts et al., 2015;
114 Dickinson et al., 2019; Kendeou et al., 2009; Lonigan et al., 2000; Muter et al., 2004). In
115 a longitudinal study, Catts et al., (2015) used the SVR framework to examine the early
116 predictors of reading comprehension. They followed the development of decoding-
117 related skills (e.g., phonological awareness, letter knowledge) and oral language skills
118 (e.g., narrative and vocabulary knowledge) in 336 children aged 5 to 9. They also assessed
119 word reading skills at the end of their second grade (aged 8) and reading comprehension
120 skills at the end of the third grade (aged 9). They found that at age 5, oral language skills
121 were positively correlated with letter knowledge and phonological awareness.
122 Additionally, second-grade word recognition skills were predicted by kindergarten
123 phonological awareness and letter knowledge skills, while kindergarten oral language
124 skills did not predict second-grade word recognition. Due to the strong associations
125 between phonological awareness and oral language skills, Catts et al. suggested that
126 children's phonological awareness skills act as a reflection of their language skills.

127 The crucial role of language skills on emergent literacy skills have been explored
128 in various varieties of English and the results show that language and literacy skills are
129 also related in different varieties of English. For example, Dickinson et al. (2019)

130 investigated the relationship between language (i.e., vocabulary, syntax, discourse, and
131 spontaneous production) and decoding-related skills of 489 African-American children
132 aged 4;5 years. They found that language and decoding-related skills are not separate
133 during the early stages of literacy acquisition (emergent literacy stage). Consistent with
134 the reading rope model (Scarborough, 2001), both skills are interrelated and influence the
135 development of each other. Furthermore, they found that, of the language skills,
136 vocabulary knowledge was the most important language predictor of phonological
137 awareness and letter knowledge. This evidence supports the connectionist model which
138 emphasizes the importance of the associations between semantic, phonological and
139 orthographic knowledge (Seidenberg, 2005).

140 Vocabulary knowledge is crucial for language development and reading skills. It
141 plays a foundational role in both decoding and comprehension (Ricketts et al., 2007;
142 Suggate et al., 2018). During the early stages of decoding, children rely more on their
143 semantic knowledge to facilitate the acquisition of the grapheme-phoneme mapping
144 process (Seidenberg, 2005). Thus, vocabulary knowledge facilitates the acquisition of
145 phonological awareness skills (Metsala & Walley, 1998). Phonological awareness has
146 also been found to support vocabulary development (Anthony & Lonigan, 2004;
147 Dickinson et al., 2019; Gillon, 2018).

148 Morphological awareness, which refers to the conscious ability to analyse words
149 into their component morphemes (i.e., smallest meaningful units) (Nagy et al., 2014),
150 contributes significantly to literacy acquisition (Dawson et al., 2017; Gillon, 2018; Green,
151 2009; James et al., 2020; Nagy et al., 2014). In a cross-sectional study, James et al. (2020)
152 found that, in all age groups (6;0 to 8;11, 9;0 to 11;11, and 12;0 to 13;11), morphological
153 awareness contributed significantly to reading comprehension skills above and beyond
154 vocabulary, phonological awareness, word reading, and nonverbal reasoning. As a result,

155 they recommended including morphological awareness strategies in classroom-reading
156 instructions.

157 Listening comprehension refers to the ability to listen to and understand spoken
158 language. During the early years of development – before exposure to written language –
159 children’s oral language comprehension skills are often tested using a listening
160 comprehension task (Hoover & Tunmer, 2018). Thus, listening comprehension is another
161 important component of reading comprehension (Gough & Tunmer, 1986). Both skills
162 are highly interrelated and tap into general language comprehension processes despite
163 their different modalities (Hoover & Tunmer, 2018; Wolf et al., 2019). Numerous studies
164 have included listening comprehension in language assessment together with other
165 language skills such as vocabulary and syntax and investigated the effect of these
166 variables on reading as one generic language construct (i.e., language comprehension).
167 Findings showed that children who had difficulties with language comprehension also
168 had difficulties with reading comprehension, despite having age-appropriate levels of
169 word reading fluency and accuracy (Foorman et al., 2015; Kendeou et al., 2009; Nation
170 et al., 2010; Storch & Whitehurst, 2002).

171 In addition to oral language skills, different cognitive skills such as verbal short-
172 term memory (VSTM) have also been found to contribute to the development of emergent
173 literacy skills. Numerous studies have shown that VSTM is the primary predictor of
174 phonological awareness in children (Cunningham et al., 2020; Layes et al., 2021;
175 Martinez Perez et al., 2012; McBride, 2015). Phonological awareness requires adequate
176 means of storage of phonological codes and an activation of phonological representations
177 to manipulate the syllabic or phonemic structures of the words. Therefore, any deficits in
178 VSTM may hinder the acquisition of phonological awareness skills. VSTM is
179 traditionally measured by digit span recall or nonword repetition tasks. It should be noted

180 that these two tasks address different underlying VSTM skills. Digit span recall examines
181 the ability to process the order of information given (i.e., order VSTM), while nonword
182 repetition tasks assess the ability to process the information's items (i.e., item VSTM)
183 (Majerus et al., 2008; Martinez Perez et al., 2012). Martinez Perez et al. (2012) conducted
184 a longitudinal study to examine the relationship between VSTM and decoding skills in
185 74 children of kindergarten age (mean age = 5;8) in the US. They found that order VSTM,
186 but not item VSTM, significantly predicted decoding skills in first grade. This finding
187 was attributed to the role of order VSTM capacities in acquiring new phonological
188 representations. When reading a new word (i.e., decoding), children must link different
189 graphemes to their corresponding phonemes in a particular order, then temporarily store
190 this coded sequence to read it out. Cunningham et al. (2020) investigated the effect of
191 memory on reading development in children aged 4, 5, 6, and 9. They found that VSTM
192 measured by digit span and phonemes repetition directly predicted word-level reading in
193 children aged 4 to 6, and indirectly via phonological awareness skills; furthermore,
194 VSTM when measured by nonword repetition predicted word-level reading in children
195 aged 6 to 9. This could be explained through knowledge that during early stages of
196 decoding, children rely more on their serial order VSTM to learn how to translate the
197 graphemes into their corresponding phonemes. Once children become proficient
198 decoders, they start to rely more on other linguistic and metalinguistic skills that are
199 crucial for reading comprehension. Similar findings were also reported in children with
200 reading difficulties (Hachmann et al., 2014)

201 ***Emergent Literacy Skills in Typically Developing Arabic Speaking Children***

202 Arabic is the official language of 27 countries and is spoken by over 300 million
203 people in the world (Hermenau & Reichle, 2020; Saiegh-Haddad, 2018). It belongs to the
204 Semitic language family (e.g., Hebrew, Amharic, and Maltese) and uses an abjad writing

205 system (i.e., consonantal orthographical system) (Daniels, 1992). The Arabic script is
206 cursive and is written from the right to left. Because the script is cursive, the shape of
207 letters differs depending on their placement in words (i.e., initial, medial, final following
208 a connecting letter, and final following a non-connecting letter). See Saiegh-Haddad and
209 Henkin-Rotifarb (2014) for more on the structure of Arabic language and orthography.
210 Arabic orthography is considered semi-transparent (i.e., mixed) because it includes both
211 vowelized and non-vowelized scripts (Hermen & Reichle, 2020). Vowelized scripts
212 representing mainly short vowels and consonant germination/doubling – those with
213 diacritical markers – are used only in children's books, poetry, and the Qur'an. Non-
214 vowelized scripts require the reader to rely on linguistic knowledge including the word's
215 derivational morphological structure (i.e., root and pattern) and morpho-syntactic
216 properties, as well as sentence context to identify words (Saiegh-Haddad, 2018). Like
217 Hebrew, Arabic is a morpheme-dense language and depends on its root-derived word
218 composition (i.e., root and pattern morphemes are linked in words). Arabic is commonly
219 known as a diglossic language in which speakers use two different varieties of the same
220 language in different contexts and for different functions (Ferguson, 1959); Spoken
221 Arabic (SpA) for everyday speech and Modern Standard Arabic (MSA or StA) for formal
222 functions and for reading/writing (Saiegh-Haddad, 2018). So, how do the unique
223 characteristics of the Arabic language affect reading acquisition in children?

224 In the sociolinguistic context of Arabic diglossia, children are only exposed to
225 SpA during the early years of their development, before they enter school. They begin to
226 learn MSA mainly once they start school and are exposed to formal reading instruction
227 (Ayari, 1996). This may pose a challenge to children while learning to read (Saiegh-
228 Haddad, 2022). Research might have found that diglossia has a negative impact on
229 literacy acquisition in Arabic-speaking TD children (Asaad & Eviatar, 2013; Asadi &

230 Abu-Rabia, 2021; Saiegh-Haddad, 2005, 2022). For instance, Saiegh-Haddad and
231 colleagues tested the role of the linguistic distance between SpA and MSA and found that
232 linguistic distance impacted the establishment of phonological representations for MSA
233 words. The linguistic distance between SpA and MSA was found to impact phonological
234 processing in memory (Saiegh-Haddad & Ghawi-Dakwar, 2017), and it has been found
235 to delay phonological awareness development in Arabic-speaking children (Saiegh-
236 Haddad, 2003, 2004, 2007; Saiegh-Haddad et al., 2020), morphological awareness
237 (Schiff & Saiegh-Haddad, 2018) and word reading (Saiegh-Haddad & Schiff, 2016). The
238 researchers argue that these effects of linguistic distance are grounded in linguistic
239 representations and difficulty establishing and accessing MSA linguistic structures given
240 limited early exposure and use of MSA among children (Saiegh-Haddad, 2018; Saiegh-
241 Haddad et al., 2022).

242 Moreover, the complexity of the Arabic orthography is an additional challenge
243 for Arabic-speaking children during literacy acquisition. Asaad and Eviatar, (2013) and
244 Khateb et al. (2014) suggested that the visual complexity of Arabic graphemes might slow
245 down reading acquisition in children. Other studies have emphasized the importance of
246 vowel diacritics on reading accuracy in children and found that vowels act as a facilitator
247 for word-reading in both skilled and unskilled readers (Abu-Rabia, 2007). Others argue
248 that vowel diacritics are only needed among beginning readers (Saiegh-Haddad & Schiff,
249 2016; Schiff & Saiegh-Haddad, 2017), yet they disrupt reading accuracy and fluency after
250 the second grade (Saiegh-Haddad, 2018). Given the linguistic and orthographic properties
251 of Arabic, as well as the diglossic context of language acquisition and use, it is important
252 to further understand emergent literacy skills development in Arabic speaking children
253 and the relationships between emergent literacy, on the one hand, and cognitive and
254 linguistic skills, on the other hand.

255 Asadi, Khateb, and Shany (2017) examined the contribution of the oral language
256 linguistic component of the SVR model in the Arabic language. Based on the unique
257 characteristics of the Arabic language, they predicted that orthographic knowledge and
258 morphological knowledge would contribute to reading comprehension more than
259 decoding. Consistent with their prediction, the authors found that decoding was not a
260 significant predictor of reading comprehension when orthographic and morphological
261 knowledge were added to the model. Their findings confirmed the validity of the SVR in
262 Arabic, but also highlighted the need to consider the unique Arabic characteristics when
263 assessing children's literacy.

264 The unique contribution of morphological awareness to Arabic reading was also
265 documented in Schiff and Saiegh-Haddad's (2018) study which examined the
266 contributions of phonological awareness and morphological awareness skills to word-
267 reading skills in school-aged Palestinian Arabic-speaking children in the 2nd thorough
268 the 10th grades. Findings indicated that morphological awareness skills were significant
269 predictors of word reading even after controlling for grade levels and phonological
270 awareness skills. Similar results were also noted in previous studies confirming the
271 crucial role of morphological skills in literacy acquisition in Arabic (Abu Ahmad et al.,
272 2014; Abu-Rabia, 2007; Abu-Rabia & Siegel, 2002; Asadi, Khateb, & Shany, 2017;
273 Saiegh-Haddad & Taha, 2017; Taha & Saiegh-Haddad, 2017).

274 With regards to VSTM, Saiegh-Haddad (2005) showed that this ability, tested
275 using the digit Span task (forward and backward), predicted word decoding fluency in
276 the first grade, alongside colour rapid naming and speed of letter-sound recording.
277 Similarly, recent evidence demonstrates the crucial role that VSTM plays in acquiring
278 literacy skills in children. For example, Asadi, Khateb, Ibrahim, et al. (2017) found that
279 VSTM, as measured by digit span testing and phonological working memory testing (i.e.,

280 backward digit span), contributed significantly to decoding and reading fluency skills.
281 Similar findings were reported by Hassanein et al. (2021) who found that VSTM,
282 measured by a digit span task, was a significant predictor of decoding skills in first and
283 second graders from Qatar.

284 ***Emergent Literacy in Children with Developmental Language Disorder***

285 Developmental language disorder (DLD) is a heterogeneous neurodevelopmental
286 disorder that emerges in early childhood and persists into adulthood. It affects
287 approximately 7.5% of children (Norbury et al., 2016) and is characterized by language
288 difficulties with no known differentiating condition such as autism spectrum disorder,
289 cerebral palsy, brain injury, or sensorineural hearing loss (Bishop et al., 2016, 2017).
290 These difficulties may affect one or several language domains including phonology,
291 morphology, syntax, semantics, and/or pragmatics. Language difficulties have been
292 related to delayed emergent literacy skills in children with DLD, with studies
293 documenting that these children are also at risk of having emergent and later literacy
294 difficulties (Catts & Hogan, 2003; Catts & Kamhi, 2005; Pratt et al., 2020; Snowling et
295 al., 2016; Tambyraja et al., 2015; Thatcher, 2010).

296 Tambyraja et al., (2015) documented significant difficulties with alphabet
297 knowledge, print knowledge, and rhyme awareness in children with DLD, with 75- 80%
298 of children with DLD being reported at risk of emergent literacy difficulties. Snowling et
299 al. (2016), in their longitudinal study, followed 220 children at risk of dyslexia and with
300 language difficulties from preschool to middle childhood. They identified three
301 developmental trajectories: resolving language impairment (LI), emerging LI, and
302 persistent LI, and explored the effect of language deficits on literacy acquisition among
303 these groups. Consistent with the previous evidence, results demonstrated that emerging
304 language impairment (LI) and persistent LI groups performed significantly lower than the

305 TD group on all literacy-related measures (i.e., letter knowledge, phoneme awareness,
306 rapid automatized naming, and single word reading) at ages 5;6 and 8. However, the
307 resolving LI group performed at a similar level to their TD peers on all literacy related
308 measures. Snowling et al. (2016) explained the findings by referring to the critical age
309 hypothesis (Bishop & Adams, 1990). Children who have language difficulties that are
310 present at the time of formal reading instruction, as observed in the emerging LI and
311 persistent LI groups, are at substantial risk of literacy difficulties. On the other hand,
312 children whose language difficulties resolve before formal reading instructions, as
313 observed in the resolving LI group, are at a lower risk. Another interesting finding was
314 that 48% of the emerging LI group and 41% of the persistent LI group were diagnosed
315 with dyslexia at age 8.

316 ***Emergent Literacy Skills in Arabic-Speaking Children with Developmental Language
317 Disorder***

318 There is emerging evidence that Arabic children with DLD have difficulties with
319 phonological processing skills and verbal short-term memory (Saiegh-Haddad & Ghawi-
320 Dakwar, 2017; Taha et al., 2021b, 2021a), morphological and morpho-syntactic skills
321 (Abdalla et al., 2013; Abdalla & Crago, 2008; Shaalan, 2017, 2020a; Taha et al., 2020),
322 listening comprehension (Asadi et al., 2022; Shaalan, 2017), vocabulary skills (Shaalan,
323 2017), and narrative skills (Rakhlin et al., 2020).

324 A limited number of studies have examined emergent literacy skills in children
325 with language difficulties and reading difficulties. In a recent longitudinal study,
326 Mansour-Adwan et al. (2023) examined the relationships between different linguistic
327 profiles of children in kindergarten and their reading skills in the first grade. Based on
328 the two-dimensional model (Bishop & Snowling, 2004), children were grouped into four
329 linguistic groups: low language (111 children), low phonology (120 children), low

330 language and low phonology (139 children), and typical language and typical phonology
331 (135 children). Their findings highlighted the importance of phonological and language
332 skills for reading and significant differences in reading performances among the different
333 linguistic groups. Children with low language and low phonology skills obtained lowest
334 scores on reading when compared with the other groups. Alsiddiqi et al., (2021)
335 compared the emergent literacy skills in TD children and children with DLD aged
336 between 4;0 – 6;11 years old. They found that, compared to the TD group, the children
337 with DLD had significantly lower scores on syllable segmentation, phoneme awareness,
338 and emergent literacy composite. Vocabulary knowledge and syntactic skills were
339 significantly positively correlated with emergent literacy composite scores in the TD
340 group, while all oral language skills (i.e., vocabulary knowledge, syntactic skills,
341 morphological skills, listening comprehension and mean length per utterance) were
342 positively significantly correlated to emergent literacy composite scores in the DLD
343 group.

344 VSTM received more attention in literacy skills development in children with
345 reading difficulties. For example, Elbeheri and Everatt (2007) tested working memory
346 skills in 332 children (40 children with dyslexia and 292 TD children) aged 9;4 to 11;6.
347 They reported significant differences between TD children and children with dyslexia on
348 the working memory test. Yet, the correlation analyses demonstrated weak associations
349 between working memory and reading skills in the TD group, and no associations were
350 found between working memory and reading skills in the dyslexic group. Lack of
351 associations in the TD group could be explained by the participants' age, with the average
352 age being 10;5. In the dyslexic group, lack of associations could be explained due to the
353 severity of their decoding skill deficit, which may mask the importance of working
354 memory skill. In contrast to previous findings, Zayed et al. (2013) found significant

355 correlations between working memory and PA skills, such as rhyme detection, syllable
356 blending, phoneme isolation, and phoneme blending tests in 40 preschool children (20
357 TD and 20 children at risk of literacy difficulties; their mean age was 5;6).

358 Given the unique linguistic and orthographic properties of Arabic, as well as its
359 unique diglossic sociolinguistic context, the study aims to investigate the role of linguistic
360 and cognitive skills in emergent literacy in Arabic-speaking children. Given observed
361 difficulties in linguistic and cognitive skills in Arabic-speaking children with DLD, the
362 second aim of the study is to compare the development of these abilities and their
363 relationship with emergent literacy in children with TD and DLD. The study addresses
364 the following research questions:

365 1. What is the contribution of oral language skills to emergent literacy skills in Saudi
366 Arabic speaking children with and without DLD?
367 2. What is the contribution of VSTM to emergent literacy skills in Saudi Arabic
368 speaking children with and without DLD?

369 Based on the existing literature, we predicted that linguistic skills such as vocabulary
370 knowledge and syntactic skills would make significant contributions to emergent literacy
371 skills in TD and DLD groups. Since numerous studies have shown significant correlations
372 between different VSTM measures and emergent literacy skills, we predicted that digit
373 recall and nonword repetition skills would be related to emergent literacy skills in TD and
374 DLD groups.

375 ***Method***

376 The study was approved by the XXXXX (blinded for review purposes), and the Higher
377 Ministry of Education in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. We used a between-groups design to
378 compare between the typically developing (TD) group and children with DLD group.

379 ***Participants***

380 Sixty-six Saudi children aged 4;0 – 6;11 were recruited for the study. According to
381 parental report, none of the children had a history of hearing loss or cognitive, motor,
382 behavioural, or neurological disorders. There were 26 children with DLD (17 boys and
383 nine girls) aged between 4;0 – 6;11 years old (mean age = 62.73 months, $SD = 10.77$
384 months), recruited from a speech and language clinic at XXXX and XXX (blinded for
385 review purposes). These children were diagnosed with DLD by a qualified speech-
386 language therapist (SLT) and had been receiving speech and language therapy. Since
387 standardized Arabic language assessments are not available, it was crucial to ensure that
388 children with DLD met criteria for DLD (Bishop et al., 2016). Inclusionary criteria for
389 this group were (1) a diagnosis of developmental language disorder, and (2) no known
390 differentiating condition (e.g., brain injury, cerebral palsy, sensorineural hearing loss,
391 autism, and other genetic conditions). There were 40 TD children (20 boys and 20 girls)
392 aged between 4;0 – 6;11-year-old, (mean age= 65.45 months, $SD = 9.37$ months),
393 recruited from four public kindergartens. The additional inclusionary criteria for this

394 group were: (1) age-appropriate language skills as reported by their parents, (2) no
395 hearing impairment, (3) no history of speech, language or communication disorder, and
396 (4) no other neurological, social, emotional, behavioural, emotional or sensory disorders.

397 All parents of potential participants were asked to sign consent forms and fill
398 demographic and developmental history questionnaires. The two groups did not differ
399 significantly on chronological age, $U = 432, z = -1.16, p = .248$, and did not differ in their
400 nonverbal abilities as measured by the Colored Progressives Matrices (CPM; Raven,
401 2007), $U = 420, z = -1.32, p = .188$. To measure socioeconomic status, parents completed
402 a demographic questionnaire including parental educational level, parental occupation,
403 and family income. These three main socioeconomic components are known to influence
404 parents' input and interactions with their children (Rowe, 2018). See Table 1 for
405 demographic information for both groups of participants.

406 **Table 1.** *Participants' demographic characteristics*

407 INSERT TABLE 1 AROUND HERE

408 **Materials**

409 A comprehensive Arabic language and emergent literacy test battery was administered.
410 We used the Arabic language battery, and the Arabic emergent literacy battery as
411 described in Alsiddiqi et al., (2021). The Arabic language battery composed of (a) Arabic
412 Receptive Vocabulary Test (Shaan, 2010), (b) Arabic Expressive Vocabulary Test -2
413 (AEVT-2), (c) Arabic Sentence Imitation Task (ASIT), (d) listening comprehension test,
414 and (e) spontaneous language sample. The emergent literacy battery included (a)
415 phonological awareness tests, and (b) letter knowledge test. Since most children in the
416 current study were only exposed to the spoken Arabic (SpA) dialect, and to control for
417 the diglossia effect (Saiegh-Haddad, 2018), all tests were administered using SpA.

418 General cognitive ability and verbal short-term memory tests were also
419 administered. Children's nonverbal reasoning abilities were tested using the Raven's
420 Coloured Progressive Matrices (CPM) (Raven, 1998). To assess the serial order VSTM
421 and the item order VSTM, digit recall and nonword repetition tests were used.

422 ***Procedure***

423 Each child was assessed in a quiet room in the nursery, school, or speech and language
424 therapy clinic. All assessments were conducted in two to three sessions depending on the
425 participant's age and motivation. Younger children (i.e., 4;0 – 4;11 years old) often
426 required more than one session due to their lower attention span. Each session lasted
427 approximately 1 hour and was audio recorded using Sony ICD-UX560F digital voice
428 recorder. The tests were administered in the following order: general cognitive ability, VSTM
429 tests, the Arabic language battery, and the Arabic emergent literacy battery. Typically
430 developing children were also required to complete the hearing screening in order to rule
431 out any hearing deficits. DLD children had already completed a hearing screening test
432 prior to their diagnosis. To engage participants during testing, each child was provided
433 with a task rewards chart to complete as a motivation for participation. They received a
434 big sticker when they completed the chart. All tests were administered by the 1st author,
435 who is a qualified speech and language therapist.

436 ***Reliability***

437 Interrater reliability was established by having a second qualified Saudi Arabic-speaking
438 speech and language therapist who independently scored the responses of 15 children
439 (23% of the sample). According to Cicchetti (1994), intraclass correlation coefficient
440 (ICC) values from .60 to .74 indicate good levels of agreement and values from .75 to 1.0
441 indicate excellent levels of agreement. For the language assessments, ICC values were
442 excellent, for receptive vocabulary ($\alpha = 1.0$), expressive vocabulary ($\alpha = .99$), listening

443 comprehension ($\alpha = .99$), sentence repetition ($\alpha = 1.0$), and mean morpheme per utterance
444 (MPU) ($\alpha = 1.0$). For the emergent literacy assessment, ICC values were excellent for
445 syllable segmentation, phoneme awareness, letter knowledge, and decoding ($\alpha = 1.0$).
446 Finally, ICC values were also excellent for nonword repetition and digit recall ($\alpha = 1.0$).

447 ***Analysis***

448 All descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS
449 Statistics, version 27. Raw scores were converted to percentages, and composite scores
450 of vocabulary knowledge (i.e., receptive and expressive vocabulary tests), listening
451 comprehension (i.e., inferential and literal questions), phoneme awareness (i.e., phoneme
452 isolation and deletion tests), letter knowledge (i.e., letter naming and letter sound tests),
453 and emergent literacy (i.e., syllable segmentation, phoneme awareness, and letter
454 knowledge) were obtained. Prior to the main analyses, Shapiro-Wilk's test was used to
455 test the normality of the distributions. Results revealed non-normal distribution of data (p
456 $< .05$), and therefore, nonparametric tests were used. First, we looked at the relationships
457 between oral language skills, VSTM, and emergent literacy skills. Then, we examined
458 the relative contributions of oral language and VSTM measures in predicting emergent
459 literacy skills in both groups. As a result, Spearman's rank order correlation coefficient
460 controlling for age was carried out first, and then we used hierachal regression analyses
461 to address each research question. Significance levels were set at $p < .05$.

462 **Results**

463 This study aimed to examine the relative contributions of language and VSTM measures
464 to emergent literacy skills in TD and DLD groups. Descriptive data for each group is
465 presented in Table 2.

466 INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

467 ***Research Question 1: Oral language predictors of emergent literacy skills in Arabic***

468 Our first research question sought to examine the role of language measures in
469 predicting emergent literacy skills. Following the Spearman's rank correlation
470 coefficient controlling for age— which has been carried out previously in Alsiddiqi et al.,
471 (2021), hierarchical multiple regression analyses were carried out using the emergent
472 literacy composite score as the dependent variable. A power analysis revealed that a
473 sample size of 26 was needed to achieve a large effect size with a p value of 0.05 based
474 on 4 predictors. In the first model age and nonverbal reasoning skill were entered as
475 covariate variables. In the second and third models, vocabulary knowledge and syntactic
476 skills were added respectively to investigate their significant contribution to explaining
477 variance in emergent literacy skills. Vocabulary knowledge was entered first because it
478 is one of the earliest acquired oral language skills and showed higher correlations with
479 emergent literacy skills in the DLD group (Alsiddiqi et al., 2021). Results of regression
480 analyses for the TD group and the DLD group are presented in Table 3 and Table 4
481 respectively.

482 **INSERT TABLE 3 and 4 HERE**

483 Results of the regression analyses demonstrated that age was the only predictor
484 that contributed significantly to emergent literacy skills in the TD group. However, results
485 of regression analyses for the DLD group were different. As Table 4 shows, the first
486 model, which included age and nonverbal reasoning skills as predictors, was significant
487 [$F(2,23) = 9.301, p < .001$], with $R^2 = .447$. Nonverbal reasoning skills was the only
488 significant predictor: $\beta = .452, t = 2.628, p = .015$, explaining 45% of variance. The
489 second model, which included vocabulary knowledge as a predictor, was also significant
490 [$F(3,22) = 8.758, p = .041$], with $R^2 = .544$, and accounted for an additional 7.5% of
491 variance. The third model, which included syntactic skills as a predictor, was not

492 significant $F(4,21) = 8.523, p = .056$, with R^2 change = .075. Overall, the regression
493 analyses demonstrated that vocabulary knowledge contributed significantly to emergent
494 literacy skills beyond age, non-verbal reasoning and syntactic skills in the DLD group in
495 the sample.

496 ***Research Question 2: VSTM predictors of emergent literacy skills in Arabic***

497 To examine the relationship between VSTM – as measured by digit recall (order
498 VSTM) and nonword repetition (item VSTM) tests – and emergent literacy skills in the
499 TD and DLD groups, we performed Spearman's rank correlation coefficient controlling
500 for age within each group. As Table 5 shows, results were different for the two groups.
501 In the TD group, only digit recall was found to be significantly correlated with an
502 emergent literacy composite. While, in the DLD group, digit recall, and nonword
503 repetition tests were both significantly correlated with the emergent literacy composite.

504 INSERT TABLE 5 HERE

505 To examine the relative contributions of these measures in predicting emergent
506 literacy skills in the DLD group, hierarchical multiple regression analyses were carried
507 out using the emergent literacy composite score as the dependent variable. A power
508 analysis revealed that a sample size of 26 was needed to achieve a large effect size with
509 a p value of 0.05 based on 4 predictors. An emergent literacy composite score was used
510 as the dependent variable, and in the first model age and nonverbal reasoning skill were
511 entered as covariate variables. In the second and third models, digit recall and nonword
512 repetition were added respectively to investigate their significant contribution to
513 explaining variance in emergent literacy skills. Digit recall was entered first because it
514 showed higher correlations with emergent literacy skills in both groups.

515 As Table 6 shows, similarly to the previous analyses (see Table 4) the first model
516 was significant and nonverbal reasoning skills were the only significant predictor

517 explaining 45% of variance. The second model, which included digit recall as a predictor,
518 was also significant [$F(3,22) = 17.375, p < .001$], with $R^2 = .691$, and accounting for an
519 additional 24% of variance. The third model, which included nonword repetition as a
520 predictor, was not significant [$F(4,21) = .871, p = .361$], with $R^2 = .703$. Overall, results
521 of the regression analyses demonstrated that digit recall was the only predictor that
522 contributes significantly to emergent literacy skills beyond age, nonverbal reasoning, and
523 nonword repetition in the DLD group.

524 INSERT TABLE 6 HERE

525 **Discussion**

526 The main aim of this study was to examine the relative contributions of language and
527 VSTM measures in predicting emergent literacy skills in Saudi Arabic-speaking children
528 with and without DLD aged 4;00 to 6;11. Two major findings have emerged from this
529 study. Firstly, vocabulary knowledge was found to be a significant predictor of emergent
530 literacy skills in the DLD group but not in the TD group. Secondly, digit recall was found
531 to be a significant predictor of emergent literacy skills in both TD and DLD groups. These
532 findings are discussed below.

533 ***Oral Language and Emergent Literacy Skills in TD children and children with DLD***

534 The first research question aimed to assess which of the oral language skills
535 measured (i.e., vocabulary knowledge, morphosyntactic, and listening comprehension
536 skills) were the most important predictors of emergent literacy skills (i.e., phonological
537 awareness and letter knowledge) in TD children and those with DLD. The key finding
538 was that variables were related in different ways in each group. In the TD group, the
539 regression analyses revealed that none of the oral language measures were significant
540 predictors of emergent literacy skills. This finding does not align with earlier research in
541 other languages (Catts et al., 2016; Dickinson et al., 2019) and in a sample of Saudi-

542 speaking children similar to the one targeted in this study (Alsiddiqi et al., 2021) in which
543 it was found that vocabulary knowledge and syntactic skills were correlated with
544 emergent literacy skills. The mixed results may be related to the fact that in the current
545 study a different analysis was used (a hierarchical multiple regression) which allowed for
546 the unique contribution of each variable to be separately determined. This includes the
547 contribution of age which might explain this finding in our sample. Previous research has
548 shown that the associations between oral language and emergent literacy skills in the early
549 years are significantly strong, but these relationships may weaken as children get older
550 (Kendeou, van den Broek, et al., 2009; Storch & Whitehurst, 2002). Kendeou et al. (2009)
551 reported that oral language skills (i.e., vocabulary knowledge and listening
552 comprehension) predicted emergent literacy skills (i.e., phonological awareness and letter
553 identification) at age 4, but this predictive power diminished when children reached the
554 age of 6. In our sample, the mean age of the TD group was 65 months which is closer to
555 age 6 than age 4. The current findings hence imply that oral language skills may not
556 predict emergent literacy skills in children who are over the age of 5.

557 In the DLD group, when comparing all measured language skills, hierarchical
558 multiple regression analyses demonstrated that only vocabulary knowledge, at this early
559 stage of literacy development, was a significant predictor of emergent literacy skills,
560 which suggests that it is important for emergent literacy skills development in children
561 with DLD. This is in line with studies suggesting that the growth of phonological
562 awareness skills is strongly related to the growth of vocabulary knowledge during the
563 preschool years (Carroll et al., 2003; Hipfner-Boucher et al., 2014; Ventura et al., 2007).
564 Findings such as these support the lexical restructuring model (Metsala & Walley, 1998)
565 and the connectionist model (Seidenberg, 2005). Children during the early stages of
566 development begin to acquire words as whole phonological units. Then, gradually as they

567 learn more words, the expansion of their vocabulary size enhances their phonological
568 sensitivity, and they become more aware of the phonemes in words.

569 ***Verbal Short-Term Memory and Emergent Literacy Skills***

570 The second research question focused on whether VSTM – as measured by digit
571 recall and nonword repetition tests – was related to emergent literacy skills in the TD and
572 DLD groups. Based on the existing literature on different languages (Cunningham et al.,
573 2020; Gorman, 2012; Layes et al., 2021; Martinez Perez et al., 2012), we predicted that
574 VSTM – as measured by digit recall and nonword repetition – would be a significant
575 predictor of emergent literacy skills in both groups. Results of the correlational analyses
576 demonstrated that variables were related in different ways in each group. In the TD group,
577 only digit recall was significantly correlated with emergent literacy and explained unique
578 variance in emergent literacy skills. In the DLD group, correlational analyses showed that
579 both digit recall and nonword repetition were significantly positively correlated with
580 emergent literacy skills, but only digit recall was found to explain unique variance in
581 emergent literacy skills. Consistent with various studies (Cunningham et al., 2020; Ehri,
582 2017; Hachmann et al., 2014; Martinez-Perez et al., 2012), these findings demonstrate
583 that different aspects of VSTM (i.e., serial order VSTM and item VSTM) are separable
584 as they showed different relationships with emergent literacy skills. Serial order VSTM,
585 as measured by digit recall, appears to be a significant predictor of emergent literacy skills
586 during the early stages of development (ages 4 to 6). During the early stages of decoding,
587 children begin to learn how to link different graphemes to their corresponding phonemes
588 in a particular order. This early stage of development demands that children rely more on
589 their serial order VSTM. Once they acquire their decoding skills, they begin to rely more
590 on other linguistic and metalinguistic skills that are important for later literacy skills. In
591 the current study, most of the children (aged 5 and 6) had not yet acquired decoding skills

592 at the time of being tested, which explains the significant role of digit recall in emergent
593 literacy skills in TD and DLD groups.

594 In the DLD group, and unlike in TD children, nonword repetition was found to be
595 significantly associated with emergent literacy skills beyond digit recall. Earlier research
596 reported that children with DLD are outperformed by their age-matched TD peers on
597 nonword repetition (Saiegh-Haddad & Ghawi-Dakwar, 2017). Moreover, nonword
598 repetition in children with DLD was found in this same study to be more strongly
599 impacted by phonological distance than in the TD children arguably reflecting low quality
600 phonological representations for MSA phonological structures. As emergent literacy in
601 the current study was tested, among other tasks, by a letter knowledge task, and as some
602 of the Arabic letters represent phonemes that are not within the spoken vernacular of
603 children (e.g., phoneme /dˤ/ and /q/ in MSA are substituted with /ðˤ/, and /g/ respectively
604 in the Saudi dialect spoken by children), it might be argued that this contributed to the
605 observed significant relationship between nonword repetition and emergent literacy in the
606 children with DLD (Saiegh-Haddad & Armon-Lotem, 2024). There is also overwhelming
607 evidence of limited processing capacity skills in children with DLD (Leonard, 2014).
608 Children with DLD are frequently reported to have difficulties with VSTM, in particular
609 nonword repetition, which has been identified to be one of the clinical markers of DLD
610 (Conti-Ramsden & Durkin, 2007; Jackson et al., 2020; Norbury et al., 2008; Shaalan,
611 2020; Taha et al., 2021a). Due to the limited processing skills in DLD children, more
612 demands are placed on all the cognitive resources that those children have, resulting in
613 stronger relationships between all skills in general, and particularly between VSTM (i.e.,
614 nonword repetition and digit recall) and emergent literacy skills. Despite this, as discussed
615 above, only serial order VSTM (i.e., digit recall) was found to be a significant predictor
616 for emergent literacy skills in the DLD group. In terms of studies evaluated within the

617 wider context of Arabic literacy research, our findings support those reported Asadi,
618 Khateb, Ibrahim, et al. (2017), Hassanein et al. (2021), Saiegh-Haddad (2005) on the
619 crucial role of VSTM on emergent literacy skills in Arabic-speaking children and
620 extended their findings by examining the effect of different underlying VSTM processing
621 skills (i.e., serial order VSTM measured by digit span, and item VSTM measured by
622 nonword repetition) on emergent literacy skills in Arabic-speaking children. Thus, this
623 study's evidence highlighted the importance of the serial order VSTM on emergent
624 literacy skills in TD and DLD Arabic-speaking children.

625 ***Limitations***

626 Findings of this study should be interpreted with caution due to the following limitations.
627 First, small sample sizes in both groups and differences in socioeconomic status that have
628 not been controlled for may constrained our results. Future studies should recruit larger
629 sample sizes to replicate the existing findings so more definitive conclusions can be
630 drawn. Also, future research is needed to highlight the importance of the socio-cultural
631 context on emergent literacy development among Arabic speaking children. Second, the
632 study uses a cross-sectional design. To have more accurate understanding of the
633 relationship between oral language and emergent literacy skills, future studies should
634 include longitudinal designs and investigate this relationship across different time points.
635 Third, the DLD group had a smaller sample size than the TD group. Future studies should
636 include larger and more balanced sample sizes in both groups to increase statistical power
637 and generalisability of results. In term of procedure, some children completed all the
638 assessments in a single session of up to one hour. However, due to the age and attention
639 levels of some of the children, the protocol was completed over 2-3 sessions. For more
640 consistent assessments' delivery, future studies should control number of sessions, and
641 administer less assessments. Also, it should be noted that multiple correlations were

642 carried out, such that, by chance, 1 in 20 may be significant due to chance. Finally, most
643 of the administered tasks were not standardized on Saudi Arabic-speaking children.
644 Further validation of these tasks is required for research and clinical purposes.

645 ***Clinical Implications***

646 This study fills a crucial gap in knowledge by examining the the relative
647 contributions of language and VSTM measures in predicting emergent literacy skills in
648 Arabic-speaking children. Comparing Arabic-speaking DLD children with their TD peers
649 has provided a preliminary insight into their emergent literacy skills. This insight will
650 facilitate the advancement of knowledge into different oral language factors that may
651 contribute to emergent literacy acquisition. Literacy difficulties are common, affecting
652 3% to 10% of students (Snowling & Hulme, 2013) who are often referred to special
653 educational teachers for support. However, despite this significant support, most
654 educators are not fully aware of the relationships between oral language and literacy
655 skills, as well as the importance of referring those students to SLTs for a comprehensive
656 language assessment. In Saudi Arabia, most educators are only familiar with dyslexia,
657 which is caused by phonological processing deficits (Adlof & Hogan, 2018). These
658 deficits are more apparent than DLD (McGregor, 2020). As a result, children with
659 phonological processing deficits are more likely to receive SLT services. DLD, on the
660 other hand, is known to be a hidden disorder and is consequently underserved and
661 relatively unknown. Children with DLD are known to have language difficulties in one
662 or several language domains, including phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics,
663 and/or pragmatics. Thus, any needs in these domains may affect the acquisition of
664 children's literacy skills, resulting in hyperlexia (i.e., difficulties with language
665 comprehension) or garden variety reading difficulties (i.e., difficulties with both decoding
666 and language comprehension; Catts, 2018). Therefore, this study strongly recommends

667 educators be made familiar with DLD and understand the impact of different language
668 needs in children's academic skills. The collaboration between SLTs and educators is
669 very important as it helps to identify students' receptive and expressive language skills,
670 and to understand how they are using their linguistic skills in academic settings in general
671 – literacy in particular (Justice, 2006; Squires et al., 2013). Educators should be mindful
672 of possible links between oral language, VSTM and emergent literacy skills and, where
673 literacy difficulties are identified, refer to SLTs to assess a student's language skills and
674 access appropriate support when needed.

675 ***Conclusion***

676 This study offers a valuable contribution to the field's knowledge regarding
677 Arabic-speaking children with DLD. It represents an important step in understanding
678 emergent literacy skills and their relationships to language and VSTM in Arabic-speaking
679 children with and without DLD. Findings are consistent with different theoretical
680 frameworks (Gough & Tunmer, 1986; Scarborough, 2001; Seidenberg, 2005), which
681 suggest significant associations between oral language and emergent literacy skills in
682 both groups. In fact, these associations are more evident in the DLD group due to their
683 oral language deficits. Like the reading rope model (Scarborough, 2001), results in the
684 DLD group show how different oral language skills are interrelated with different
685 emergent literacy skills, and that the development of one skill is influencing the other.
686 Results also indicate the importance of vocabulary knowledge for emergent literacy
687 acquisition (Seidenberg, 2005).

688 Regarding VSTM, this study's preliminary results demonstrate that different
689 aspects of VSTM (i.e., serial order VSTM and item VSTM) are separable – as made
690 evident by their different relationships with emergent literacy skills in TD and DLD
691 groups. This study's findings reveal that serial order VSTM, as measured by digit recall,

692 is more important on emergent literacy acquisition than item VSTM, as measured by
693 nonword repetition, during the early stages of development in Arabic-speaking children
694 aged 4;0 to 6;11 with and without DLD. At the same time, nonword repetition seems to
695 be more implicated in emergent literacy in children with DLD than in TD children as the
696 correlational analysis shows, and this may be related to the phonological distance in
697 Arabic diglossia (Saiegh-Haddad, 2022) and stronger effects of distance in children with
698 DLD (Saiegh-Haddad & Ghawi-Dakwar, 2014; Saiegh-Haddad & Armon-Lotem, 2024).
699 This question, however, remains open for future research.

700 To summarise, this study blazes a trail for future research into the relationship
701 between oral language and early literacy skills in the Arabic language, and thus also paves
702 the way for boosting the clinical and education provision that children with DLD receive.

703 **Data Availability Statement**

704 The data sets analysed that support the findings of this study are available at
705 <https://doi.org/10.17864/1947.000418>

706 **Acknowledgements**

707 This work was part of a PhD scholarship funded by King Saud University, Riyadh,
708 Saudi Arabia. We would like to thank the children and their parents who contributed
709 their time and energy to this study. We also thank the teachers and the speech and
710 language therapists who facilitated recruitment of the children.

711

712 **Author Notes Declaration of interest**

713 ***Disclosure:*** The authors report no declarations of interest.

714 **References**

715 Abdalla, F., Aljenaie, K., & Mahfoudhi, A. (2013). Plural noun inflection in
716 Kuwaiti Arabic-speaking children with and without Specific Language
717 Impairment. *Journal of Child Language*, 40(1), 139–168.
718 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000912000499>

719 Abdalla, F., & Crago, M. (2008). *Verb morphology deficits in Arabic-speaking*
720 *children with specific language impairment*. 29, 315–340.
721 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716408080156>

722 Abu-Rabia, S. (2007). The role of morphology and short vowelization in reading
723 arabic among normal and dyslexic readers in grades 3, 6, 9, and 12. *Journal of*
724 *Psycholinguistic Research*, 36(2), 89–106.

725 Adlof, S. M., & Hogan, T. P. (2018). Understanding dyslexia in the context of
726 developmental language disorders. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services*
727 *in Schools*, 49(4), 762–773. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_LSHSS-DYSLC-18-0049

729 Albirini, A. (2016). *Modern Arabic Sociolinguistics: Diglossia, variation,*
730 *codeswitching, attitudes and identity*. Routledge.

731 Alsiddiqi, Z. A., Stojanovik, V., & Pagnamenta, E. (2021). Emergent literacy skills
732 of Saudi Arabic speaking children with and without developmental language
733 disorder. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 1–18.
734 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699206.2021.1955299>

735 Anthony, J. L., & Lonigan, C. J. (2004). The Nature of Phonological Awareness:
736 Converging Evidence From Four Studies of Preschool and Early Grade
737 School Children. In *Journal of Educational Psychology* (Vol. 96, Issue 1, pp.
738 43–55). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.43>

739 Archibald, L., & Gathercole, S. (2007). Nonword repetition in specific language
740 impairment- More than a phonological short-term memory deficit.
741 *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14, 919–924.

742 Asaad, H., & Eviatar, Z. (2013). The effects of orthographic complexity and
743 diglossia on letter naming in Arabic: A developmental study. *Writing Systems
744 Research*, 5(2), 156–168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17586801.2013.862163>

745 Asadi, I. A., & Abu-Rabia, S. (2021). The Impact of Diglossia on Phonological
746 Processing. *Reading Psychology*, 42(7), 685–699.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2020.1864608>

748 Asadi, I. A., Khateb, A., Ibrahim, R., & Taha, H. (2017). How do different
749 cognitive and linguistic variables contribute to reading in Arabic? A cross-
750 sectional study from first to sixth grade. *Reading and Writing*, 30(9), 1835–
751 1867. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-017-9755-z>

752 Asadi, I. A., Khateb, A., Mansour-Adwan, J., & Khoury-Metanis, A. (2022). When
753 Developmental Language Disorder Meets Diglossia: A Cross-Sectional
754 Investigation of Listening Comprehension Among Native Arabic-Speaking
755 Preschoolers. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*.
756 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-022-09885-5>

757 Ayari, S. (1996). Diglossia and illiteracy in the Arab world ¹. *Language, Culture
758 and Curriculum*, 9(3), 243–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908319609525233>

759 Bishop, D. V. M., & Snowling, M. J. (2004). Developmental dyslexia and specific
760 language impairment: Same or different? *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(6),
761 858–886. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.130.6.858>

762 Bishop, Snowling, M. J., Thompson, P. A., Greenhalgh, T., Adams, C., Archibald,
763 L., Baird, G., Bauer, A., Bellair, J., Boyle, C., Brownlie, E., Carter, G., Clark,

764 B., Clegg, J., Cohen, N., Conti-Ramsden, G., Dockrell, J., Dunn, J., Ebbels,
765 S., ... Whitehouse, A. (2016). CATALISE: A multinational and
766 multidisciplinary Delphi consensus study. Identifying language impairments
767 in children. *PLoS ONE*, 11(7), 1–26.
768 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158753>

769 Botting, N. (2020). Language, literacy and cognitive skills of young adults with
770 developmental language disorder (DLD). *International Journal of Language
771 and Communication Disorders*, 55(2), 255–265. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12518](https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-
772 6984.12518)

773 Boudreau, D. M., & Hedberg, N. L. (1999). A Comparison of Early Literacy Skills
774 in Children with Specific Language Impairment and Their Typically
775 Developing Peers. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 8(3),
776 249–260. <https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360.0803.249>

777 Carroll, J. M., Snowling, M. J., Hulme, C., & Stevenson, J. (2003). The
778 Development of Phonological Awareness in Preschool Children.
779 *Developmental Psychology*, 39(5), 913–923. [https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.39.5.913](https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-
780 1649.39.5.913)

781 Catts, H. W. (2018). The Simple View of Reading: Advancements and False
782 Impressions. *Remedial and Special Education*, 39(5), 317–323.
783 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932518767563>

784 Catts, H. W., Herrera, S., Nielsen, D. C., & Bridges, M. S. (2015). Early prediction
785 of reading comprehension within the simple view framework. *Reading and
786 Writing*, 28(9), 1407–1425. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-015-9576-x>

787 Catts, H. W., & Hogan, T. P. (2003). Language basis of reading disabilities and
788 implications for early identification and remediation. *Reading Psychology*,
789 24(3–4), 223–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02702710390227314>

790 Catts, H. W., & Kamhi, A. G. (2005). *The Connections Between Language and*
791 *Reading Disabilities*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Publishers.

792 Conti-Ramsden, G., & Durkin, K. (2007). Phonological short-term memory,
793 language and literacy: Developmental relationships in early adolescence in
794 young people with SLI. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and*
795 *Allied Disciplines*, 48(2), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01703.x>

797 Cunningham, A. J., Burgess, A. P., Witton, C., Talcott, J. B., & Shapiro, L. R.
798 (2020). Dynamic relationships between phonological memory and reading: a
799 five-year longitudinal study from age 4 to 9. *Developmental Science*, 0–2.
800 <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12986>

801 Cunningham, A. J., & Carroll, J. M. (2013). Early predictors of phonological and
802 morphological awareness and the link with reading: Evidence from children
803 with different patterns of early deficit. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 36(3), 509–
804 531. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716413000295>

805 Daniels, P. T. (1992). The syllabic origin of writing and the segmental origin of the
806 alphabet. In *The Linguistics of Literacy* (pp. 83–110).

807 Dawson, N., Rastle, K., & Ricketts, J. (2017). Morphological Effects in Visual
808 Word Recognition: Children, Adolescents, and Adults. *Journal of*
809 *Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 44(4), 645–654.
810 <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000485>

811 Dickinson, D. K., Nesbitt, K. T., & Hofer, K. G. (2019). Effects of language on
812 initial reading: Direct and indirect associations between code and language
813 from preschool to first grade. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 49, 122–
814 137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2019.04.005>

815 Ehri, L. C. (2017). *Orthographic mapping and literacy development revisited* (pp.
816 127–146). <https://doi.org/10.1075/swll.15.08ehr>

817 Elbeheri, G., & Everatt, J. (2007). Literacy ability and phonological processing
818 skills amongst dyslexic and non-dyslexic speakers of Arabic. *Reading and*
819 *Writing*, 20(3), 273–294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-006-9031-0>

820 Foorman, B. R., Herrera, S., Petscher, Y., Mitchell, A., & Truckenmiller, A.
821 (2015). The structure of oral language and reading and their relation to
822 comprehension in Kindergarten through Grade 2. *Reading and Writing*, 28(5),
823 655–681. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-015-9544-5>

824 Gathercole, S. E., Alloway, T. P., Willis, C., & Adams, A. M. (2006). Working
825 memory in children with reading disabilities. *Journal of Experimental Child*
826 *Psychology*, 93(3), 265–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2005.08.003>

827 Gillon, G. T. (2018). *Phonological Awareness from Research to Practice* (Second).
828 The Guilford Press.

829 Gough, P. B., & Tunmer, W. E. (1986). Decoding, Reading, and Reading
830 Disability. *Remedial and Special Education*, 7(1).

831 Green, L. (2009). Morphology and literacy: Getting our heads in the game.
832 *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 40(3), 283–285.
833 [https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461\(2009/08-0091\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461(2009/08-0091))

834 Hachmann, W. M., Bogaerts, L., Szmałec, A., Woumans, E., Duyck, W., & Job, R.
835 (2014). Short-term memory for order but not for item information is impaired

836 in developmental dyslexia. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 64(2), 121–136.

837 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-013-0089-5>

838 Hassanein, E. E. A., Johnson, E. S., Alshaboul, Y. M., Ibrahim, S. R., & Megreya,

839 A. M. (2021). Examining Factors That Predict Arabic Word Reading in First

840 and Second Graders. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*.

841 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2021.1907637>

842 Hermena, E. W., & Reichle, E. D. (2020). Insights from the study of Arabic

843 reading. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 14(10), 1–26.

844 <https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12400>

845 Hipfner-Boucher, K., Milburn, T., Weitzman, E., Greenberg, J., Pelletier, J., &

846 Girolametto, L. (2014). Relationships between preschoolers' oral language

847 and phonological awareness. *First Language*, 34(2), 178–197.

848 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0142723714525945>

849 Hoover, W. A., & Tunmer, W. E. (2018). The Simple View of Reading: Three

850 Assessments of Its Adequacy. *Remedial and Special Education*, 39(5), 304–

851 312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932518773154>

852 Jackson, E., Leitão, S., Claessen, M., & Boyes, M. (2020). *Working, Declarative,*

853 *and Procedural Memory in Children With Developmental Language*

854 *Disorder*. <https://doi.org/10.23641/asha>

855 James, E., Currie, N. K., Tong, S. X., & Cain, K. (2020). The relations between

856 morphological awareness and reading comprehension in beginner readers to

857 young adolescents. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 1–21.

858 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.12316>

859 Justice, L. M. (2006). Evidence-Based Practice, Response to Intervention, and the
860 Prevention of Reading Difficulties. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services
861 in Schools*, 37(4), 284–297. [https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461\(2006/033\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461(2006/033))

862 Kendeou, P., van den Broek, P., White, M. J., & Lynch, J. S. (2009). Predicting
863 Reading Comprehension in Early Elementary School: The Independent
864 Contributions of Oral Language and Decoding Skills. *Journal of Educational
865 Psychology*, 101(4), 765–778. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015956>

866 Layes, S., Lalonde, R., & Rebai, M. (2021). Reading-related abilities underlying
867 phonological awareness: a cross-sectional study in children with and without
868 dyslexia. *Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology*, 46(3), 110–117.
869 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14015439.2020.1768283>

870 Leonard, L. (2014). *Children with Specific Language Important*.
871 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9152.001.0001>

872 Lonigan, C., Burgess, S., & Anthony, J. (2000). Development of emergent literacy
873 and early reading skills in preschool children: evidence from a latent-variable
874 longitudinal study. *Developmental Psychology*, 36(5), 596–613.
875 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.36.5.596>

876 Mansour-Adwan, J., Shalhoub-Awwad, Y., Cohen-Mimran, R., & Khateb, A.
877 (2023). Reading in kindergarten Arabic-speaking children with low linguistic
878 skills: A longitudinal study. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 44(2), 265–291.
879 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s014271642300019x>

880 Martinez Perez, T., Majerus, S., & Poncelet, M. (2012). The contribution of short-
881 term memory for serial order to early reading acquisition: Evidence from a
882 longitudinal study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 111(4), 708–
883 723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2011.11.007>

884 McBride, C. (2015). *CHILDREN'S LITERACY DEVELOPMENT A Cross-Cultural*
885 *Perspective on Learning to Read and Write* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
886 www.psypress.com/books/series/DEVP,
887 McGregor, K. K. (2020). How We Fail Children With Developmental Language
888 Disorder. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 51(4), 981–
889 992. https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_lshss-20-00003
890 Metsala, J. L., & Walley, A. C. (1998). Spoken Vocabulary Growth and the
891 Segmental Restructuring of Lexical Representations: Precursors to Phonemic
892 Awareness and Early Reading Ability. In *Word recognition in beginning*
893 *literacy Publisher*: (pp. 99–130). Routledge.
894 http://ebookcentral.proquest.com'._blank
895 Montgomery, J. W., Magimairaj, B. M., & Finney, M. C. (2010). Working
896 Memory and Specific Language Impairment: An Update on the Relation and
897 Perspectives on Assessment and Treatment. *American Journal of Speech-*
898 *Language Pathology*, 19(1), 78–94. [https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360\(2009/09-0028\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360(2009/09-0028)
900 Muter, V., Hulme, C., Snowling, M. J., & Stevenson, J. (2004). Phonemes, rimes,
901 vocabulary, and grammatical skills as foundations of early reading
902 development: Evidence from a longitudinal study. *Developmental*
903 *Psychology*, 40(5), 665–681. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.40.5.665>
904 Nagy, W. E., Carlisle, J. F., & Goodwin, A. P. (2014). Morphological Knowledge
905 and Literacy Acquisition. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 47(1), 3–12.
906 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219413509967>
907 Nation, K., Cocksey, J., Taylor, J. S. H., & Bishop, D. V. M. (2010). A
908 longitudinal investigation of early reading and language skills in children with

909 poor reading comprehension. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*
910 and *Allied Disciplines*, 51(9), 1031–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02254.x>

911

912 Norbury, C., Gooch, D., Wray, C., Baird, G., Charman, T., Simonoff, E.,
913 Vamvakas, G., & Pickles, A. (2016). The impact of nonverbal ability on
914 prevalence and clinical presentation of language disorder: evidence from a
915 population study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied
916 Disciplines*, 57(11), 1247–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12573>

917 Norbury, C., Tomblin, B. J., & Bishp, D. V. M. (2008). Understanding
918 Developmental Language Disorders. In *Taylor & Francis*.

919 Pratt, A. S., Grinstead, J. A., & McCauley, R. J. (2020). Emergent literacy in
920 Spanish-speaking children with developmental language disorder: Preliminary
921 findings of delays in comprehension-and code-related skills. *Journal of
922 Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 63(12), 4193–4207.
923 https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_JSLHR-19-00239

924 Rakhlin, N. V., Li, N., Aljughaiman, A., & Grigorenko, E. L. (2020). Narrative
925 language markers of Arabic language development and impairment. *Journal
926 of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 63(10), 3472–3487.
927 https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_JSLHR-20-00082

928 Rhyner, P. M. (2009). *Emergent Literacy and Language Development: Promoting
929 Learning in early childhood*. Guilford Press.

930 Ricketts, J., Nation, K., & Bishop, D. V. M. (2007). Vocabulary is important for
931 some, but not all reading skills. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 11(3), 235–257.
932 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888430701344306>

933 Rowe, M. L. (2018). Understanding Socioeconomic Differences in Parents' Speech
934 to Children. *Child Development Perspectives*, 12(2), 122–127.
935 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12271>

936 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2003). Linguistic distance and initial reading acquisition: The
937 case of Arabic diglossia. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 24, 431–451.

938 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2004). The impact of phonemic and lexical distance on the
939 phonological analysis of words and pseudowords in a diglossic context.
940 *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 25, 495–512.
941 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716404001249>

942 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2005). Correlates of reading fluency in arabic: Diglossic and
943 orthographic factors. *Reading and Writing*, 18(6), 559–582.
944 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-005-3180-4>

945 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2007). Linguistic constraints on children's ability to isolate
946 phonemes in Arabic. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 28(4), 607–625.
947 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716407070336>

948 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2018). MAWRID: A Model of Arabic Word Reading in
949 Development. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 51(5), 454–462.
950 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219417720460>

951 Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2022). *A Psycholinguistic-Developmental Approach to the*
952 *Study of Reading in Arabic Diglossia: Assumptions, Methods, Findings and*
953 *Educational Implications* (pp. 135–163). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80072-7_8

955 Saiegh-Haddad, E., & Armon-Lotem, S. (2024). Diglossia and developmental
956 language disorder (DLD) in Arabic. The role of linguistic distance and

957 linguistic proximity. In K. Grohmann (Ed.), *Multifaceted Multilingualism*
958 (Vol. 22, pp. 154–183). John Benjamins.

959 Saiegh-Haddad, E., & Ghawi-Dakwar, O. (2017). Impact of diglossia on word and
960 non-word repetition among language impaired and typically developing
961 Arabic native speaking children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(NOV).
962 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02010>

963 Saiegh-Haddad, E., Laks, L., & McBride, C. (2022). *Handbook of Literacy in*
964 *Diglossia and in Dialectal Contexts Psycholinguistic, Neurolinguistic, and*
965 *Educational Perspectives*. <https://link.springer.com/bookseries/7206>

966 Saiegh-Haddad, E., & Schiff, R. (2016). The Impact of Diglossia on Voweled and
967 Unvoweled Word Reading in Arabic: A Developmental Study From
968 Childhood to Adolescence. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 20(4), 311–324.
969 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2016.1180526>

970 Saiegh-Haddad, E., Shahbari-Kassem, A., & Schiff, R. (2020). Phonological
971 awareness in Arabic: the role of phonological distance, phonological-unit size,
972 and SES. *Reading and Writing*, 33(6), 1649–1674.
973 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-020-10019-3>

974 Scarborough, H. (2001). *Handbook for research in early literacy*. Guilford Press.

975 Schiff, R., & Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2017). When diglossia meets dyslexia: The effect
976 of diglossia on voweled and unvoweled word reading among native Arabic-
977 speaking dyslexic children. *Reading and Writing*, 30(5), 1089–1113.
978 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-016-9713-1>

979 Schiff, R., & Saiegh-Haddad, E. (2018). Development and relationships between
980 phonological awareness, morphological awareness and word reading in
981 spoken and standard Arabic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9(APR), 1–13.

982 Seidenberg, M. S. (2005). *Connectionist Models of Word Reading. Current*
983 *directions in psychological science*, 14(5), 238-242.

984 Shaalan, S. (2017). Reliability and Validity of Four Arabic Language Tests: A
985 comparison of performance of Qatari School-aged children with and without
986 language impairment. In *Arab Journal of Applied Linguistics* 2(1).

987 <http://www.arjals.com/>

988 Shaalan, S. (2020). *Nonword Repetition Skills in Gulf Arabic-Speaking Children*
989 *With Developmental Language Disorder*. <https://doi.org/10.23641/asha>

990 Snowling, M. J., Duff, F. J., Nash, H. M., & Hulme, C. (2016). Language profiles
991 and literacy outcomes of children with resolving, emerging, or persisting
992 language impairments. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and*
993 *Allied Disciplines*, 57(12), 1360–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12497>

994 Snowling, M. J., & Hulme, C. (2013). Children's reading impairments: From
995 theory to practice. *Japanese Psychological Research*, 55(2), 186–202.
996 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5884.2012.00541.x>

997 Squires, K. E., Gillam, S. L., & Ray Reutzel, D. (2013). Characteristics of Children
998 Who Struggle with Reading: Teachers and Speech-Language Pathologists
999 Collaborate to Support Young Learners. *Early Childhood Education Journal*,
1000 41(6), 401–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-013-0577-6>

1001 Storch, S. A., & Whitehurst, G. J. (2002). Oral language and code-related
1002 precursors to reading: evidence from a longitudinal structural model.
1003 *Developmental Psychology*, 38(6), 934–947.

1004 Suggate, S., Schaughency, E., McAnally, H., & Reese, E. (2018). From infancy to
1005 adolescence: The longitudinal links between vocabulary, early literacy skills,

1006 oral narrative, and reading comprehension. *Cognitive Development*, 47, 82–
1007 95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2018.04.005>

1008 Taha, J., Stojanovik, V., & Pagnamenta, E. (2020). Expressive verb morphology
1009 deficits in Arabic-speaking children with Developmental Language Disorder.
1010 *Speech Language and Hearing Research*, 1–18.
1011 https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_JSLHR-19-00292

1012 Taha, J., Stojanovik, V., & Pagnamenta, E. (2021a). *Nonword Repetition*
1013 *Performance of Arabic-Speaking Children With and Without Developmental*
1014 *Language Disorder: A Study on Diagnostic Accuracy*.
1015 <https://doi.org/10.23641/asha>

1016 Taha, J., Stojanovik, V., & Pagnamenta, E. (2021b). Sentence Repetition as a
1017 Clinical Marker of Developmental Language Disorder: Evidence From
1018 Arabic. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 64(12), 4876–
1019 4899. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_jslhr-21-00244

1020 Tambyraja, S. R., Schmitt, M. B., Farquharson, K., & Justice, L. M. (2015).
1021 *Person-centered approach to examining emergent literacy risks in children*
1022 *with specific language impairment* (Vol. 5).

1023 Thatcher, K. L. (2010). The development of phonological awareness with specific
1024 language-impaired and typical children. *Psychology in the Schools*, 47(5),
1025 467–480. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20483>

1026 Ullman, M. T., Earle, F. S., Walenski, M., & Janacsek, K. (2020). The
1027 neurocognition of developmental disorders of language. *Annual Review of*
1028 *Psychology*, 71, 389–417. <https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-PSYCH-122216-011555>

1030 Ventura, P., Kolinsky, R., Fernandes, S., Querido, L., & Morais, J. (2007). Lexical
1031 restructuring in the absence of literacy. *Cognition*, 105(2), 334–361.
1032 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2006.10.002>

1033 Wolf, M. C., Muijselaar, M. M. L., Boonstra, A. M., & de Bree, E. H. (2019). The
1034 relationship between reading and listening comprehension: shared and
1035 modality-specific components. *Reading and Writing*, 32(7), 1747–1767.
1036 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-018-9924-8>

1037 Zayed, A. M., Roehrig, A. D., Arrastia-Lloyd, M. C., & Gilgil, N. M. (2013).
1038 Phonological Awareness and Working Memory in Arabic-Speaking Egyptian
1039 Preschool Children at Risk for Dyslexia. *International Journal of
1040 Psychological Studies*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijps.v5n1p139>

1041